Indirect flight muscles in *Drosophila melanogaster* as a tractable model to study muscle development and disease
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ABSTRACT Myogenesis is a complex multifactorial process leading to the formation of the adult muscle. An amalgamation of autonomous processes including myoblast fusion and myofibrillogenesis, as well as non-autonomous processes, such as innervations from neurons and precise connections with attachment sites, are responsible for successful development and function of muscles. In this review, we describe the development of the indirect flight muscles (IFMs) in *Drosophila melanogaster*, and highlight the use of the IFMs as a model for studying muscle development and disease, based on recent studies on the development and function of IFMs.
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Vertebrate myogenesis takes place in two major stages: developmental (prenatal) myogenesis and adult (postnatal) myogenesis (reviewed in Taylor, 2006). The first step in developmental myogenesis is primary myogenesis, which aims to establish the basic pattern of the musculature. This is followed by secondary myogenesis, marked by a period of growth and maturation of the muscle. Adult myogenesis occurs postnatally, and comprises of growth and repair of damaged muscle tissue in the adult organism. Primary and secondary myogenesis are essential for development of the musculature, while adult myogenesis is required for maintenance and function of the adult musculature.

In *vitro* model systems like primary cell cultures established from human patients, and mouse C2C12 myoblasts, and *in vivo* model systems like the mouse, zebrafish and chick embryo, have contributed immensely to the understanding of developmental and adult myogenesis. However, the use of *in vitro* model systems to understand myogenesis is limited, as myogenesis is a combinatorial process involving inputs from the developing muscle, neurons, and the target attachment sites. Despite providing a wealth of information with regard to developmental myogenesis, use of the available *in vivo* model systems (mice and zebrafish) is limited by factors such as the long time period of muscle development, complexity of the genome of the organism, and limitations in the genetic manipulations that can be performed. In contrast to these systems, the indirect flight muscles (IFMs) of *Drosophila melanogaster* offer several advantages as a model system for studying muscle development and function, as compared to both *in vitro* and other *in vivo* models. The smaller size of the its genome, and the shorter developmental time have made the fruit fly a popular model for studying developmental and cell biological processes. In this review, we describe the development of the indirect flight muscles (IFMs) of *Drosophila melanogaster*, and spotlight them as an attractive *in vivo* model system to study developmental and adult myogenesis, as well as muscle disease.

Architecture and development of the indirect flight muscles

The fly, being a holometabolous insect, is characterized by two distinct mobile stages in its development, namely the larval and the adult stages. These stages have a dedicated musculature, suitably tailored to meet the demands of that particular stage.

The IFMs are the major occupants of the thorax of the adult fly, and are responsible for powering the wing stroke during flight (Josephson *et al.*, 2000; Agianian *et al.*, 2004). These muscles consist of two opposing sets of muscles, namely the dorso-longitudinal muscles (DLMs) (Fig. 1, oriented anterior to posterior; highlighted in orange), and the dorso-ventral muscles (DVMs) (Fig. 1, oriented in a dorsal-to-ventral manner; highlighted in purple). The DVM bundles are subdivided into DVM I (3 fibers), DVM II (2 fibers) and DVM III (2 fibers). The ultrastructure of the DLMs and the DVMs is identical (Fernandes and Keshishian, 1996; Fernandes *et al.*, ...
The adult musculature largely develops after histolysis of the larval musculature, during the interim pupal stage. However, adult myogenesis is initiated in the embryonic stages of fly development, when few asymmetric divisions of myogenic progenitors (derived from the mesoderm) gives rise to a founder cell (required in development of the embryonic musculature), and a twist-expressing adult muscle precursor (AMP). These AMPs continue to remain dormant throughout the subsequent stages of embryonic development. The AMPs destined for development of the IFMs are housed in the notum region of the wing imaginal disc, where they proliferate during the larval stage of IFM development. A few cells from the pool of AMPs are set aside as satellite cells, with an aim to repair damaged muscle in case of injury or disease (Fernandes et al., 1991; Chaturvedi et al., 2017) (Fig. 2).

The hallmarks of the pupal stage of IFM myogenesis are myoblast fusion, innervation and formation of attachments with the tendon cells, followed by myofibrillogenesis. Myoblast fusion occurs in the first quarter of metamorphosis. The DLMs and DVMs develop differentially during pupation, wherein DVMs form solely by de novo fusion of myoblasts, similar to vertebrate muscles. DLMs, on the contrary, are formed by fusion of myoblasts with pre-existing templates. These templates, also called as the larval oblique muscles (LOMs), are derived from the dorsal oblique muscles (DO1) of the larva. After the initiation of metamorphosis, vestigial-expressing AMPs detach from the evertwing wing disc and migrate towards the templates. Formation of DLMs is primarily guided by these LOMs, which consist of Dumbfounded (Duf)-expressing cells. Myoblasts migrate towards the three LOMs, and the developing fibers split to give rise to 6 primitive fascicles of the IFM. In the case of DVM development, Duf-expressing myoblasts fuse with nearby myoblasts to give rise to a primary fiber, which in turn acts as a seed for further fusion events. Myoblast fusion continues for the first quarter of metamorphosis, while epidermal contact and innervation is established as the fiber develops, and is discussed later (Farrell et al., 1996; Fernandes and Keshishian, 1996; Fernandes et al., 1991; Guruharsha et al., 2009; Rivlin et al., 2000) (Fig. 3).

As myoblast fusion comes to an end, the developing fiber segues into myofibrillogenesis, during which the nascent myotube matures into a myofiber. Each myofiber consists of multiple myofibrils which span the entire length of the fiber. Each myofibril in turn, consists of repeating contractile units called sarcomeres formed by arrays of actin and myosin filaments. Myofibrillogenesis of the IFMs is initiated by contraction of the muscle fiber and spans the remaining duration of metamorphosis. The mechanical tension generated by this contraction is instrumental in the initiation of myofibrillogenesis. Immature myofibrils assemble simultaneously, and short sarcomeres are added to each myofibril. This is followed by maturation of the growing sarcomere over the remainder of metamorphosis, to attain its final dimensions, density of mitochondria (Rai et al., 2014) and isoforms of structural proteins tailored to the functional requirements of the IFMs (Chechenova et al., 2013; Katzemicich et al., 2012; Nongthomba et al., 2004; 2007; Orlanos et al., 2015; Reedy and Beall, 1993; Reedy et al., 2000; Shwartz et al., 2016; Spletter et al., 2018). Myofibrillogenesis involves formation of stress fibre-like structures (SFLS) (Reedy and Beall, 1993), orthologous to the vertebrate I-Z-I bodies (Ehler et al., 1999; Ojima et al., 1999), which are precursors to the assembly of sarcomeres. Major structural proteins, like myosin, actin, tropomyosin, troponin, and α-actinin, etc., that are involved in the assembly of organized sarcomeres are conserved in vertebrates and Drosophila, and dispense similar functions (Bernstein et al., 1993; Vigoreaux, 2001). Transcripts for most of these structural proteins are expressed very early during IFM development. However, actual assembly of these proteins for formation of the sarcomere takes place only after differentiation (Nongthomba et al., 2004). Flightin, a thick filament-associated protein that interacts with the myosin rod, is required for the establishment of normal thick filament length during late pupal development, and the maintenance of the stability of the thick filaments in adults (Reedy et al., 2000; Ayer and Vigoreaux 2003). Similarly, the Tropomodulin (Tmod1) gene sanpodo, like its vertebrate counterpart, is required to define thin filament length (Mardahl-Dumesnil and Fowler, 2001). Many Z-band proteins like Kettin are required for connecting the muscle fiber to tendon cells, and are essential for the formation and maintenance of normal sarcomeric structure and muscle tendons (Hakeda et al., 2000). Preservation of a balanced stoichiometry between thick and thin filaments proteins during assembly is also a prerequisite for proper development and function of the IFMs (Beall et al., 1989, Nongthomba et al., 2004; Firdaus et al., 2015).

**Fig. 1.** Schematic highlighting the indirect flight muscles (IFMs) of Drosophila melanogaster. They comprise of the DLMs (orange) and DVMs (purple), which are arranged across the anterior-posterior axis and the dorso-ventral axis of the adult fly, respectively.

**Fig. 2.** Schematic depiction of specification and proliferation of the adult muscle precursors (AMPs) during the embryonic and larval stages respectively (MP, muscle precursor; FC, founder cell; FCM, fusion competent myoblasts).
Neurons guiding indirect flight muscle development

Muscle function and physiology is contingent on pertinent innervation of the muscle by motor neurons, in addition to the development of the musculature itself. Successful innervation includes development of synapses, comprising of the terminals of a motor neuron axon and a muscle fiber. Since a dialogue between the nerve and the muscle is essential for the development, and subsequent maintenance, of the vertebrate neuromuscular junction (NMJ), the muscle and its associated neuronal branching develop simultaneously.

Delving into development of IFM innervation, the neuromuscular system in the adult fly develops mainly by re-specification of larval motor neurons during metamorphosis (Fernandes and Keshishian, 1998). The timeline of development of the innervation of the IFMs has been described previously (Fernandes and Vijayraghavan, 1993; Hebbar and Fernandes, 2004). Briefly, at the onset of metamorphosis, retraction of the larval NMJs is observed, followed by adult specific motor neuron outgrowth over the larval scaffolds to innervate the newly developing adult musculature. This is followed by the development of transverse second-order branches. IFM development and neuronal innervation occur simultaneously, wherein higher-order synapses appear as the nascent fiber develops, thereby allowing for nerve-muscle interactions to shape the developing neuromuscular pattern. By 24h APF, the DLMs and DVMs are innervated by multiple second-order branches and their higher-order arbors (Hebbar and Fernandes, 2004). From 24-38h APF, defasciculation of the primary neuronal branches ensues in the DLMs, accompanied by pruning of the second-order branches and initiation of the formation of boutons. These boutons continue to develop in number and size through the course of metamorphosis, to finally give rise to the neuromusculature of the adult fly. Innervation is necessary for the formation of the IFMs, as laser ablation of the mesothoracic nerve innervating the IFMs led to a patterning defect, which included delayed growth of the DLMs and no growth of the DVMs (Fernandes and Keshishian, 1998). This effect was primarily due to decreased myoblast proliferation. However, migration and localization of the myoblasts remained unaffected. Neuronal input was also shown to be required for the expression of the founder cell specific marker, \textit{Duf}, in the myoblasts forming the DVMs, while its expression in the LOMs forming the DLMs remained unchanged (Fernandes and Keshishian, 2005). Laser ablation of the DLMs led to a nerve-dependant formation of muscle fibers, similar to the \textit{de novo} method of DVM development (Fernandes and Keshishian, 1998). Evidence of a dialogue between the components of the neuromusculature came from studies where the defective innervation pattern in the DLMs of the \textit{Fasciclin 2} (\textit{Fas2}) mutant was rescued by its ectopic neuronal and muscle expression (Hebbar and Fernandes, 2005).

Thus, the simultaneous development of the IFMs and its innervations allows researchers to dissect out mechanisms by which synaptic partners may influence development and/or function of each other. Due to their inherent mode of development, the DLMs and DVMs provide a dual system to study development of the neuromusculature under template-dependant and template-independent conditions respectively. This is unlike \textit{in vitro} model systems, where muscle fibers develop independent of the neuronal input. Combining these characteristics with the ease to genetically modulate synaptic components, and its susceptibility to laser ablation, makes the IFM a tractable model for studying NMJ development.

Impact of epidermal cues on indirect flight muscle development

The myotendinous junction (MTJ) is found at the cusp of the muscle and epithelial tendon cell, where contractile forces are transmitted from the muscle onto the tendon cells. The three distinct stages of MTJ development are (1) migration of the growing myotube towards their specific tendon targets, followed by (2) attachment initiation, where the myotube and tendon cells interdigitate and initiate attachment, finally leading to (3) attachment maturation (Weitkunat \textit{et al.}, 2014). Similar to the flight muscles, cells...
destined to form attachment sites are prefigured on the notum region of the wing imaginal discs of late third instar larva. These cells are defined by the expression of stripe, and are vital for IFM development (Ghazi et al., 2000).

As the muscle is a contractile unit, it requires attachment to tendon cells on either side of the sarcolemma. Hence, precise development of the IFMs hinges on the development and subsequent attachment with tendon cells. The first evidence of a role of the epidermis on IFM development came from studies on the dumpy mutants. These mutants showed defective attachments for all muscles of the thorax, displaced muscles with decreased muscle mass, which eventually led to breakdown of muscles (Metcalfe, 1970).

Subsequently, a number of genes have been identified which regulate gene expression and development of the IFMs, even though they are expressed in the attachment sites. The most prominent is stripe, which marks the anterior and posterior attachment sites of the IFMs. These stripe-expressing epidermal cells contact the developing muscles, leading to the formation of the MTJ (Fernandes et al., 1996). The DLMs in pannier mutants attach dorsoventrally (like the DVM-III) instead of antero-posteriorly (Ghazi et al., 2003). Stripe expression is pivotal for maintaining the expression of Duf in the LOMs of the DLMs (Dutta et al., 2004). Apterous, a known regulator of stripe expression, also regulates IFM patterning. Apterous is highly expressed at the posterior attachment sites of the DLS, and apterous mutants have thinner posterior attachments accompanied with incomplete splitting of the templates, leading to a patterning defect (Ghazi et al., 2000).

The IFMs in mutants of the Broad-complex transcription factors, like BRC-Z1, show defective attachment to the epidermis. Additional defects observed were: incomplete splitting of the DLMs, reduced number of DVMs, and missing DLM fibers in some cases. Genetic mosaic experiments corroborated the role for the dorsal epidermis in guiding precise attachment of muscles on to target sites on the epidermis (Sandstrom and Restifo, 1999; Sandstrom et al., 1997). IFMs in semi-lethal flapwing mutants develop normally up to 24 hr APF, but consequently break away from their posterior attachment sites and collect at the anterior segment boundaries as ball-like structures. The protein phosphatase, Pp1B9C, encoded by the Jhonsa and Nongthomba, unpublished data). Thus, highlighting its use as a model system to study muscle diseases/disorders and their aetiology/mechanisms.

Additionally, IFM can also serve as a valuable system for dissecting functions of the novel genes and their regulatory networks, identified through large-scale gene expression profiling during differentiation of the human skeletal myoblast cell lines (Sterrenburg et al., 2004) and C2C12 myoblasts (Tomczak et al., 2003; Blais et al., 2005). Thus, the wide repertoire of experimental tools available, and the characteristics of the tissue, facilitate the synthesis of a comprehensive description of how muscle develops and functions in case of a disease/disorder.

Moonlighting functions of indirect flight muscles and role in homeostasis:

Muscles, whilst most known for their functions in movement, digestion, contraction of the heart, and respiration, are now being highlighted for their functions in maintaining homeostasis, directly or
indirectly, via communication with other organ systems. This action is performed by secreted cytokines and growth factors, collectively called myokines, which act in autocrine, paracrine or endocrine manners, to regulate biological processes at their target tissues. Evidence for regulation of biological processes by myokines in non-muscle cells came through multiple studies (Bouzakri et al., 2011; Quinn et al., 2008; Seldin et al., 2012; Zeng et al., 2010). These studies have shown the role of muscle-derived factors in regulating systemic lipid homeostasis, pancreatic B-cell function, muscle injury and regeneration, and fat deposition.

Similarly, in Drosophila, muscle-derived factors like Myoglianin, dawdle, and ImpL2 have been highlighted for their role in regulating physiology and lifespan, and IFMs, being the largest muscles, could be a source of factors like Myoglianin and ImpL2. At the inter-organ level, muscle-derived myoglianin was shown to reduce nucleolar size in fat bodies, decrease rRNA levels, increase lifespan, and enhance healthy aging at the organism level (Demontis et al., 2014). Surprisingly, muscle mitochondria have also been shown to be instrumental in inter-organ communication. Ectopic expression of ImpL2 in flight muscles, or limited perturbation of mitochondrial function in adult muscles, leads to ImpL2 secretion, which non-autonomously inhibits insulin secretion and extends lifespan (Owusu-Ansah et al., 2013). This extension of lifespan is likely through the removal of protein aggregates by the actions of FOXO, and its target 4EBP, which activates autophagy. FOXO expression in the muscle led to both autonomous and non-autonomous increase in 4E-BP activity, and consequent clearance of age-related protein aggregates, thus, leading to healthy aging (Demontis and Perrimon, 2010). Extension of lifespan was also observed by increasing autophagy, and by inhibiting activin signalling in the muscle (Bai et al., 2013). In a recent study, Zhao and Karpac put IFMs at the core of energy homeostasis in Drosophila, wherein they demonstrate that FOXO-mediated expression of upd in IFMs activates Adipokinetic Hormone (AKH) secretion, from specific neuroendocrine cells, which regulates systemic lipid synthesis, and circulating lipid levels (Zhao and Karpac, 2017). In addition to their role in inter-organ communication and aging, IFMs moonlight as regulators of immune response to infections. Specifically, IFMs produce anti-microbial peptides upon infection, and this immune response is crucial for survival upon bacterial infection (Chatterjee et al., 2016). Taken together, IFMs can be used a model system to study muscle-mediated regulation of function of distant organs.

Concluding remarks

In conclusion, in IFMs we have a system where we can combine powerful, inclusive genetics, with molecular and cellular analyses of muscle development, and structure/function studies to establish a link between genotype and phenotype, which can provide valuable insight into understanding human muscle development, and disease progression.
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