Homeostasis, regeneration and tumour formation in the mammalian epidermis
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ABSTRACT The epidermis is the outer covering of the skin and provides a protective interface between the body and the environment. It is well established that the epidermis is maintained by stem cells that self-renew and generate differentiated cells. In this review, we discuss how recent technological advances, including single cell transcriptomics and in vivo imaging, have provided new insights into the nature and plasticity of the stem cell compartment and the differing roles of stem cells in homeostasis, wound repair and cancer.
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Introduction

The skin is one of the largest organs of the human body. It forms a protective interface between the body and the external environment. It is capable of sensing stimuli such as pain and temperature, allowing it to thermoregulate, to form a barrier against water loss, while simultaneously controlling evaporation, and to relay somatosensory information to the brain to inform reflexes and behaviours. To achieve this protection, regulation, and sensation, the skin has evolved as a complex organ capable of interacting with the immune system, muscles, and the brain (Watt 2014).

The outer layer of the skin, the epidermis, is a multi-layered epithelium, known as the interfollicular epidermis (IFE), with associated adnexal structures, comprising hair follicles (HF), sweat glands and sebaceous glands (Fig. 1). Maintenance and repair of the epidermis are dependent on stem cells that both self-renew and give rise to cells that undergo terminal differentiation. Multiple stem cell populations have been identified within mammalian epidermis; these are distinguished by their location and the markers that they express (Schepeker et al., 2014; Goodell et al., 2015).

Below the epidermis lies the dermis, a connective tissue comprising fibroblasts and adipocytes (Fig. 1). The papillary dermis lies closest to the IFE while the reticular dermis consists of the fibroblasts that provide the bulk of collagenous extracellular matrix (ECM) necessary for the structural support of the skin. Beneath the reticular dermis lies the hypodermis, also known as the dermal white adipose tissue. The dermis is highly vascularised and innervated, and cells of the immune system traffic through both the dermis and epidermis (Lynch and Watt 2018).

This review will discuss how recent technical advances, such as live-cell imaging, cell ablation experiments, single-cell analysis, lineage tracing and high-throughput genomics, have provided new insights into the properties of epidermal stem cells and their environment, and how the skin responds to the challenges of wounding and cancer. These studies reveal the skin as a far more heterogeneous and malleable organ than was previously appreciated. In addition, they show parallels with repair and regeneration in model organisms such as zebrafish (Antonio et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2016).

Epidermal homeostasis

The epidermis has one of the highest cell turnover rates in the mammalian body, with an average transit time for a cell in the human IFE basal layer to the epidermal surface of just over 571-582 months (Lynch and Watt 2018).
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Homeostasis is achieved by a balance between cell production via proliferation and cell loss through terminal differentiation. Several different populations of stem cells have been identified in adult mouse epidermis through the use of lineage tracing and flow cytometry (Yang et al., 2017). These include stem cells of the junctional zone between the IFE, HF and sebaceous gland, which express the receptor tyrosine kinase regulator Lrig1 (Page et al., 2013), and cells of the lower hair follicle that express Lgr5 and CD34. In addition, Gli1+ and Lgr6+ stem cells are found in the upper hair follicle and with the latter scattered within the IFE (Kretzschmar et al., 2016) (Fig. 4A). Lgr5 and Lgr6 are R-spondin receptors and thus participate in Wnt signalling.

Until recently, the focus was primarily on stem cell subtypes within the HF, but now there is an increasing interest in IFE stem cells. Early studies of mouse epidermis revealed heterogeneity in the propensity of basal IFE cells to proliferate, and the concept arose that stem cells renew infrequently, while their progeny undergo a small number of amplifying divisions prior to the onset of terminal differentiation (Jones et al., 2007). Such so-called transit amplifying cells were also identified in studies of colony formation by cultured human epidermal cells. However, lineage tracing studies of the progeny of Lrig1+, Lgr5+ and Lgr6+ stem cells indicate that various stem cell populations differ in their proliferative frequency under steady state conditions, both in the IFE and HF (Kretzschmar et al., 2016). In addition, in vivo clonal analysis of mouse IFE stem cells showed that clone size could be explained by a single population of cells that proliferated or differentiated through a stochastic process (Clayton et al., 2007).

The epidermis of the mouse tail lends itself to clonal analysis because of the highly patterned arrangement of HFs, and the ease with which sheets of epidermis can be prepared for whole-mount labelling (Braun et al., 2003). Although clonal dynamics of tail IFE can be explained by neutral drift of a single cell population (Clayton et al., 2007), it has recently emerged that there are two types of differentiated epidermis, scale and interscale, each of which is maintained by a distinct stem cell population (Gomez et al., 2013). Recently, Sada et al., used lineage tracing in Slc1a3CreER and Dlx1CreER mice to show that IFE stem cells are present as fast and slow dividing populations with distinct patterns of differentiation kinetics (Sada et al., 2016). Interestingly, both of these populations contribute to long-term regeneration, challenging the classic ‘quiescent versus active stem cell’ theory (Adam et al., 2015), and the hypothesis that there is a hierarchy of stem cells and committed progenitors within the IFE basal layer (Mascré et al., 2012). Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of cultured human epidermal cells (Tan et al., 2013) and mouse epidermis (Joost et al., 2016) support the concept of IFE stem cells...
cell heterogeneity. Both of the studies found at least two distinct transcriptional signatures in IFE stem cells and potential novel markers and regulators, such as CD46 and Dll1 in human and THBS1 and BHLHE1 in mouse.

Intrinsic and extrinsic regulators of the epidermal stem cell niche

The behaviour of epidermal stem cells is dependent on complex interactions between stem cells and other cellular components of the skin, and is controlled by secreted factors, ECM, physical parameters, immunological and metabolic factors. Together, these individual components of the stem cell microenvironment constitute the niche. Thus the niche comprises a number of external factors involved in the maintenance of epidermal stem cells in a tissue context-dependent manner (Lane et al., 2014). The signalling pathways classically associated with epidermal stem cell maintenance and differentiation include the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway (Jung et al., 2016), as well as Wnt (Lim et al., 2013; Augustin 2015), and Notch signalling (Okuyama et al., 2008). These major pathways, along with multiple more recently identified regulators, are controlled by external signals provided by the ECM, secreted molecules and contact with neighboring cells.

The ECM maintains epidermal homeostasis via components of the epidermal basement membrane such as collagen VII, which is the major component of anchoring fibrils (Martins et al., 2016). Both epidermal and dermal cells synthesise basement membrane proteins. Integrin ECM receptors are expressed by the epidermal stem cell compartment (Arwert et al., 2010) and mediate both adhesion and suppression of differentiation. Pathways that regulate epidermal homeostasis via secreted molecules include those mediated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) and transforming growth factor β (TGFiβ) receptors (Schmuth et al., 2014). Bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4) signalling is particularly important in controlling HF stem cell homeostasis (Genander et al., 2014). BMP signal-transduction occurs via SMAD proteins, but in HF stem cells SMAD2 is also regulated by SOX9, a transcription factor that is necessary for HF stem cell proliferation (Kadaja et al., 2014). Additionally, Lay and colleagues have shown that the transcriptional regulator Forkhead box C1 (FOXC1), which is a downstream target of BMP-SMAD1 signalling, plays a major role in regulating stem cell activity in HFs and thus the hair growth cycle (Lay et al., 2016).

Extrinsic factors that affect cell fate are not limited to ECM molecules and secreted ligands. The influence of niche cells on epidermal stem cells has recently become evident. As one example, adipocytes in the lower dermis provide signals including BMP4 and
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**Fig. 2. Switch in super-enhancer usage in different cellular states.** Super-enhancers are extensive regions within the genome containing multiple TF-recruiting sequences, i.e. enhancers. (A) Super-enhancer usage changes during differentiation of keratinocytes. Recruitment of control regions, involved in homeostasis and integrin signalling, is reduced, and replaced by areas responsible for genes involved in cell-cell junctions, apoptosis and EGFR signalling. (B) Enhancer recruitment differs in various types of cells, such as HF or IFE cells. In tumours, these enhancers determine the properties of the tumour by engagement of different genes. For example, if the cancer-originating cell is of HF origin, genes that are primed open will more likely cause metastasis (C). On the contrary, IFE cells contain enhancers primed towards cell differentiation, and hence tumours are better differentiated and rarely metastasise (D).
EGF to HF stem cells, regulating their activation and therefore hair growth (Schmidt and Horsley 2012). Regulation of the HF cycle by direct interaction of Tregs and HF stem cells in a Notch-dependent manner (Ali et al., 2017) and effects of macrophages on HF stem cells in a Wnt-dependent manner (Castellana et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015) provide important insights into immune regulation of epidermal stem cells.

Epidermal homeostasis is also controlled by complex endogenous mechanisms. The terminal differentiation of keratinocytes is accompanied by dynamic changes in nuclear morphology, creating distinct patterns that correlate with cell fate and cell cycle stage (Karasek et al., 1972). These morphological phenotypes have been associated with the redistribution of active chromatin territories and nucleolar positioning, thus regulating gene activation and silencing (Gdula et al., 2013). As cells undergo terminal differentiation the number of heterochromatin clusters increases. This relates transcriptional gene silencing to transitions in the nuclear architecture of differentiating keratinocytes.

Cell-specific transcriptional changes are also controlled by genomic regions that contain multiple regulatory regions called super-enhancers (Yang et al., 2015). These super-enhancers are capable of dynamically recruiting numerous sets of transcription factors (Fig. 2A). As stem cells differentiate, the use of enhancer regions shifts, with more than half of active super-enhancer regions unique to the stage of keratinocyte differentiation (Adam et al., 2015). For example, transcription factors acting on super-enhancer regions in keratinocyte progenitors relate to homeostasis and integrin signaling, whereas in more differentiated keratinocytes the transcription shifts to cell-junction and apoptosis-related genes (Fig 2B) (Cavazza et al., 2016).

Other epigenetic changes that are associated with epidermal homeostasis include targeted histone modifications. Histone modifications can open up the chromatin or create specific binding sites for chromatin modifying proteins. These modifications can occur at very specific gene loci. For instance, differentiating keratinocytes lose repressive histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylation (H3K9me3) selectively at Double Homebox 4 (Dux4) and Dux4-like genes, which upregulates the expression of this transcription factor (Gannon et al., 2016). Other mechanisms control a more global change in gene expression. DNA methyltransferase 1 (Dnmt1) is required for the maintenance of basal epidermal stem cell multipotency, while Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b bind to active enhancer regions via the p63 master regulator to control the levels of DNA methylation and/or hydroxymethylation of active enhancers (Rinaldi et al., 2016). This enzymatic regulation of epigenetics affects downstream gene expression, most of which is involved in self-renewal and interaction with the niche. While recent in vivo studies suggest that Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are not essential for epidermal homeostasis, the loss of Dnmt1 results in differentiation of epidermal stem cells, and loss of Dnmt3a results in the formation of epidermal squamous tumors (Rinaldi et al., 2016).

**Wound healing: inflammation, re-epithelialization and tissue remodelling**

As the skin is the outermost protective organ of the body, it is highly susceptible to wounding and tissue damage. On wounding, epidermal homeostasis is disrupted; the repair process involves re-establishment of homeostasis. The wound healing process is divided into three main stages: inflammation, re-epithelialization, and epidermal remodelling (Landén et al., 2016). During the inflammatory phase of wound healing, a blood clot forms and innate immune cells, including macrophages and neutrophils, are mobilised. The re-epithelialization process involves the formation of new connective tissue, the reconnection and reopening of blood- vessels, and the replenishment of squamous epidermal cells. Finally, the dermis and epidermis are remodelled to regain their original architecture (Sun et al., 2014). The tissue returns to normal following superficial injuries, but repair is accompanied by scarring following healing of deeper wounds (Eming et al., 2014). In a wound context, stem cells from the HF, IFE, and infundibulum all contribute to epidermal regeneration (Arwert et al., 2012).

Immediately after injury, haemostasis and platelet aggregation control bleeding from damaged vessels. The resulting activation of the blood coagulation cascade results in fibrin clot formation, which not only stops the bleeding, but also acts as a scaffold for cell attachment at a later stage (Xue and Jackson 2015). Next, resident skin cells near the injury site, such as keratinocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells, become exposed to a variety of danger signals. Host cells undergo necrosis as a result of injury, causing them to release damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPS) in the form of DNA and RNA (Fig. 3). Due to the barrier rupture, pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) associated with microorganisms from the skin microbiome, as well as invading pathogenic organisms, will reach resident cells (Strbo et al., 2014). These DAMPs and PAMPs are picked up by receptors, and trigger the activation of both adaptive and innate immune responses. This immune signalling cascade can be broadly divided into an early and a late stage. The early phase involves neutrophil recruitment and prevention of infection, whilst the late phase involves infiltration and maturation of monocytes.

Neutrophils play an essential role in epidermal injury. As well as inducing phagocytosis of invading microorganisms and host necrotic tissue, they secrete cytokines including tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα), interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and interleukin-16 (IL-16) to act as chemoattractants for additional inflammatory cells, thus amplifying the immune response (Eming et al., 2014). Approximately three days after the injury is sustained, monocytes reach the wound (Reinke and Sorg 2012), where they mature into a spectrum of macrophage phenotypes (broadly characterised as pro-inflammatory M1 and pro-repair M2 macrophages) to support the neutrophils in phagocytosis and chemokine secretion, resulting in inflammation (Martinez and Gordon 2014). Unlike neutrophils, which are only present for the initial wound healing response, macrophages will persist at the wound site throughout all stages of repair (Eming et al., 2007). At each stage, macrophages play different roles, including the induction of granulation tissue in the early stage and stabilising vascular structures in the mid-stage (Lucas et al., 2010). Macrophages present in the granulation tissue in the mid-stage are crucial for progressing repair into the final stages of tissue maturation, whereas macrophages present during the late stage play a less vital role (Lucas et al., 2010).

After this early innate response, a specific adaptive immune response is carried out by antibody producing B-cells and cytokine secreting T-cells. In the skin there is a particular subpopulation of antimicrobial T-cells that carry a T cell receptor composed of κ and δ subunits, known as dendritic epidermal T cells (DET cells) similar to dendritic cells that monitor keratinocytes for damage.
After injury, DETCs retract their dendrites to allow proliferation and migration of both keratinocytes and neighbouring DETCs, a key process in wound healing (Strbo et al., 2014). The importance of DETCs in the wound healing process was demonstrated by deletion of IL-17A+ DETCs, which resulted in delayed wound closure (MacLeod et al., 2013).

Following inflammation, epidermal cells proliferate and migrate to re-epithelialise the wound. Recent work has identified the dynamics of two epidermal compartments which are differentially regulated during re-epithelialisation of mouse tail epidermis: a proliferative compartment containing HF, IFE-derived progenitors and stem cells, and a migratory leading edge composed of non-proliferating cells (Fig. 4B; Aragona et al., 2017). Initially, progenitor populations differentiate rapidly, and are therefore rapidly depleted. In response to this depletion quiescent stem cells become activated and begin replenishing the stem cell pool by generating new progenitor cells, which in turn promote tissue expansion. While this proliferation promotes tissue re-growth, the second compartment containing migratory non-proliferating cells provides the scaffold for ECM, remodelling the connective tissue to progress toward the wound centre (Aragona et al., 2017). In vivo imaging in injured mice has elucidated how the two epidermal compartments contribute to wound healing with minimal disruption to homeostasis (Park et al., 2016). Proliferative cells migrate and proliferate in the direction of the wound to allow epidermal stratification, and the rates of this stratification are determined by wound proximity, with areas nearest the wound being differentially regulated compared to normal re-epithelialization, a difference that can lead to scar tissue formation (Park et al., 2016).

The final stages of wound healing involve fibroblast proliferation and ECM remodelling. Different fibroblast lineages play different roles during wound healing. The upper dermal lineage is required for HF neogenesis, while the lower dermal lineage contributes pre-adipocytes and adipocytes, and cells that secrete fibrillar ECM (Driskell et al., 2013). During repair, fibroblasts in the lower dermis are mobilised first, followed by recruitment of upper dermal fibroblasts. Expansion of both fibroblast lineages can be activated by the canonical Wnt pathway, and in the upper dermis Wnt signalling promotes the formation of new HFs (Driskell et al., 2013). The failure of postnatal wounds to regenerate hair follicles within the
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**Fig. 3. Inflammatory response to injury.** During injury, resident skin cells, including keratinocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells, are exposed to danger signals from host cells, DAMPs (damage associated molecular patterns), invading microorganisms and PAMPs (pathogen associated molecular patterns). Neutrophil invasion is required initially for phagocytosis and cytokine secretion, to amplify the immune response. Infiltration and maturation of monocytes into a spectrum of macrophage phenotypes occurs to support inflammation. Dermal dendritic cells (DCs) aid in T cell polarisation to TH1, TH17 and Treg through presentation of antigens and release of cytokines. Langerhans cells are involved in immune surveillance and are the first-line of defence in epidermal wounds. Upon inflammation, cells become activated and promote re-epithelialisation through induction of keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation. DETCs are the only resident T cells in mouse epidermis and are crucial for immune surveillance and homeostasis. Signals from damaged keratinocytes activate DETCs, which regulate further immune responses. Mast cells and dermal DCs establish the balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory responses through secretion of specific cytokines. An adaptive immune response occurs after the initial inflammation, and involves B and T cells. B cells produce antibodies against invading pathogens whilst T cells secrete cytokines and growth factors.
wound bed is linked to loss of the papillary fibroblasts and altered dermal Wnt signalling (Rognoni et al., 2016).

ECM remodeling following wound closure can take several months and involves many components. Collagens, elastins, fibronectins and laminins provide flexibility whilst proteoglycans and hyaluronans interact with integrins to regulate cell signaling and adhesion between the ECM and surrounding cells (Xue and Jackson 2015). Restoration of a functional epidermal barrier after a superficial injury is normally highly efficient; however damage to deep dermal layers is not, and this is when scarring occurs (Eming et al., 2014). When scar formation occurs, the wound is considered to be repaired, whereas regeneration refers to full recreation of the original tissue. During normal wound healing, keratinocytes express laminin that binds preferentially to α3β1 integrin, an interaction that promotes wound healing by stabilising the epidermal basement membrane. However, a lack of α3β1 integrin induces a rupture of the basement membrane beneath the epidermis of the wound (Longmate et al., 2014). This is characterised by extensive blistering, illustrating how dysregulated ECM remodeling can prevent resolution of wound healing. Interestingly, micro-RNAs are thought to regulate ECM remodeling during wound healing. For example, miR-378 upregulates integrin β3 and vimentin, whilst miR-29 is involved in the synthesis of ECM protein collagens (Li et al., 2014; Ti et al., 2014).

**Injury-associated epidermal cell plasticity**

In response to injury, stem cells in a range of tissues exhibit plasticity - by switching from one stem cell type to another or by generating a wider range of differentiated lineages than under steady state conditions (Rajagopal and Stanger 2016). This type of stem cell plasticity is observed during epidermal wound healing (Blanpain and Fuchs 2014; Donati and Watt 2015). Genetic lineage tracing and intravital microscopy in mice showed that it is the location of cells in the HF niche that directs their fate towards remaining as uncommitted stem cells, switching towards hair-germ progenitor fate, or terminally differentiating to contribute to the regenerated tissue (Fig. 4C; Rompolas et al., 2013). When HF stem cells are removed by laser ablation, neighboring epithelial cells with distinct functions replace them, suggesting a potent role for extracellular niche factors in inducing cell plasticity (Rompolas et al., 2013).

A recent study of epidermal wound healing has demonstrated that terminally differentiated Gata6+ sebaceous duct cells can migrate into the IFE, where they dedifferentiate into self-renewing stem cells capable of giving rise to multiple epidermal lineages (Donati et al., 2017) (Fig. 4D). Analysis of genetically-labelled Gata6+ cells and Lrig1+ stem cells using live imaging, transcriptomics, histology, and skin reconstitution assays reveals that both cell types exhibit stem cell characteristics after wounding. However, Gata6+ cells show an improved multi-lineage differentiation capacity, which could be attributed to Gata6 acting as a pioneer transcription factor that regulates genes involved in cell motility during the wound repair process. The study showed that both cell types contribute to the wound-healing process: Lrig1+ stem cells enter the wound together, whereas the Gata6+ cells migrate independently in the suprabasal layer and dedifferentiate into stem cells close to the wound (Donati et al., 2017).

One potential mechanism underlying this plasticity lies with epigenetic super-enhancers (Adam et al., 2015). The binding epicenters of these super-enhancers appear to shift upon injury in HF stem cells, which both inhibits the binding of HF stem cell transcription factors and enhances the binding of wound-repair-related transcription factors, thus enabling the transitional plasticity of HF stem cells during healing. The activation of super-enhancers is determined by SOX9, which here may act as a microenvironment sensor, regulating chromatin changes to determine cell fate, identity, and plasticity of the epidermal stem cell niche (Adam et al., 2015).

Much like Gata6+ sebaceous duct cells and HF cells, terminally differentiated keratinocytes are also capable of proliferation and migration in response to injury. The particular contribution of these cells is also determined by their location relative to the wound, and this location-dependent specification appears to rely on epigenetic mechanisms. For example, miR-203 is a key regulatory skin miRNA involved in stem cell differentiation (Yi et al., 2008), and it is differentially expressed in keratinocytes depending on their location with respect to the wound (Viticchié et al., 2012). Highly proliferative and migratory wound-adjacent keratinocytes show downregulated expression of miR-203, whereas cells distant from the wound have higher expression of miR-203 and undergo differentiation. During re-epithelialization, gene expression changes are also affected by other epigenetic mechanisms, such as histone modifications by Junonjii-domain containing histone demethylase D3 (JMJD3), which is capable of reversing the repressive mark of histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) (Xiang et al., 2007). JMJD3 is upregulated in wound-proximal keratinocytes, where it also interacts with the Nuclear Factor-κB (NF-κB) inflammatory signaling pathway (Na et al., 2016). Consistent with the downregulation of miR-203 at the wound edge, JMJD3 is also involved in epigenetic suppression of differentiation (Chen et al., 2016). Similarly, histone methyltransferase Ash1l is involved in the control of re-epithelialization. Ash1l mutant mice show delayed re-epithelialization and have increased keratinocyte proliferation at the wound edge (Li et al., 2017a). Together these elegant lineage tracing and chromatin studies suggest a strong role for epigenetic mechanisms in injury-induced plasticity of skin cells.

**Epidermal stem cells and cancer**

There is a fine balance between wound healing and tumorigenesis, as similar cell types and signaling pathways contribute to both processes. However, while wound healing is inherently a self-limiting process, tumour formation is characterized by the constitutive or aberrant activation of stem cell and regeneration associated pathways like Wnt signaling. Tumours of the epidermis, basal cell carcinomas (BCCs) and squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs), are the most common types of cancer in humans, and both can develop in response to wounding (Arwert et al., 2012).

By genetically targeting oncogenes to different epidermal compartments in the mouse, it has been established that the response to a given oncogenic change depends on the cell that is targeted. For example, expression of mutant oncogene Ras in the differentiated cell layers results in benign lesions, while targeting it to the basal layer, where the stem cells are located, leads to malignant lesions (reviewed by Owens and Watt 2003). Ectopic activation of β-catenin similarly results in different types of epidermal tumour formation, depending on whether the Lrig1, Lgr5 or Lgr6+ stem cell populations are targeted (Kretzschmar et al., 2016). It remains to be resolved whether the different outcomes are determined by...
the intrinsic nature of the stem cells or by their different niches. However, recent studies involving intra-vital imaging of mouse epidermis have shown a positive role of genetically wild-type cells in eliminating cells in which the Wnt pathway is abnormally activated (Brown et al., 2017).

The term ‘cancer stem cell’ (CSC) often refers to a cell that has tumour-propagating properties in transplantation experiments. The transient plasticity of skin stem cells during wound healing contributes to repair; however, a link between regeneration and higher susceptibility towards cancer has been established both in mouse models (Guasch et al., 2007) and on the molecular level (Chang et al., 2004). A recent study explored this connection by looking at different enhancer engagement and transcriptional states of cancer stem cells compared to wound-induced HF stem cells.

**Fig. 4. Mechanisms of re-epithelialization.** Epidermal stem cell compartments that maintain skin homeostasis and their associated markers (A). Re-epithelialization upon injury occurs via several paths: contribution of the proliferative hub (IFE hair-follicle stem cells and their progeny) and non-proliferative migratory cells (at the leading edge) to the initial stages of re-epithelialization (B). When stem cell compartments from the IFE, infundibulum, junctional zone and hair follicle bulge and germ exhibit plasticity, they contribute to the replenishment of stem cells lost on wounding (C). Terminally differentiated cells such as GATA6+ cells de-differentiate and contribute to re-epithelialization of damaged IFE and re-populate the sebaceous gland and lower hair follicle during wound healing (D).
and epidermal stem cells (Ge et al., 2017). Deletion of SOX9 and Klf5 indicated that these transcription factors contribute to both wound repair and cancer. During healing, these transcription factors interact with low-stress enhancers that mark transient lineage infidelity to resolve tissue damage, whereas in cancer, specific tumour-associated high-stress enhancers induce permanent lineage infidelity.

One phenomenon that has been explored in the context of epidermal tumours is epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT; reviewed by Canel et al., 2013). In one experimental model, IFE-derived cancer cells retain epithelial characteristics, whereas HF-derived cancer cells appear more prone to undergo EMT (Fig. 5). Transplantation of cancer cells derived from these two cell lineages, followed by chromatin and transcriptional profile analysis, revealed that it is the intrinsic epigenetic and transcriptional state that controls SCC fate (Latil et al., 2017). Transcription factors such as p63, Klf5, and C/ebpα prime IFE stem cells to become fully differentiated SCC, whereas transcription factors Tcf7l1 and Tbx1 prime HF stem cells to develop EMT-based SCC. Therefore, the chromatin states allow interaction with tumour-specific factors to induce different SCCs.

Shifts in super-enhancer regions occur during wound-induced plasticity, but also in tumour-initiating cells, potentially as a result of the altered cellular microenvironment (Oshimori et al., 2015). For example, super-enhancer regions that appear active in the cells that initiate SCCs are very different from those in normal HF stem cells, associating with known oncogenes, such as Myc and SCC-specific Cd44 (Yang et al., 2015). It has been shown that tumour profile may depend on epigenetic modifications in the originating cells type (Latil et al., 2017). For example, SCC caused by the same oncogenic mutations in HF originating cells exhibit EMT more frequently (Fig. 2C) compared to SCC originating from IFE stem cells (Fig. 2D) and differ in their metastatic potential. Due to the different chromatin states in these two types of cell, the accessibility to EMT genes varies and correlates with the ability to undergo EMT.

**Deregulation of signalling pathways in skin cancer**

EMT conversion in cancer is triggered by multiple signalling pathways, most notably TGFβ and canonical Wnt signalling. Loss of epithelial E-cadherin not only promotes migration and metastasis by reducing intra-epithelial junctions, but it releases the Wnt-mediator β-catenin into the cytoplasm, allowing this protein to translocate into the nucleus, a state that is highly correlated with BCC development in mice (Pantazi et al., 2017). Another abnormal activation commonly found in BCC is that of TGFβ, which causes overexpression of transcription factor SNAIL, associated with EMT.
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**Fig. 5. Deregulated signalling influences skin cancer progression.** Components of the extracellular matrix (ECM), such as collagens and fibronectin, interact with cell surface integrins and cadherins. Depending on which surface molecule they interact with, the cancer hallmarks (uncontrolled proliferation, invasiveness and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT)) can either be activated or repressed. Activation of the MAPK/PI3K pathway, mediated by integrin stimulation, facilitates nuclear translocation of β-catenin, resulting in EMT and increased invasiveness. EMT is also induced by TGFβ signalling, through collagen- and fibronectin-integrin associations. Other stimuli, including secreted cytokines, can contribute to cell transformation. For example, YAP signalling is activated by IL-5, in turn causing increased proliferation and loss of contact-dependent growth inhibition, which is typical behaviour associated with cancer cells.
ETM can also be caused by transcriptional upregulation of integrins and ECM-degrading matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) (Luo et al., 2016). MMPs degrade the surroundings of mesenchymal cells to allow them to migrate within the body, which also affects the mechanical properties of the surrounding matrix. These changes are processed by nuclear mechanosensing Yes-associated protein (YAP) of the HIPPO signalling pathway (Gregoireff et al., 2015; Hong et al., 2016). Activation of the Src/EGFR/MAPK/P13K pathway in response to matrix property changes allows transcription factor YAP to enter the nucleus, promoting transcription of genes that are associated with cell growth, cell cycle progression, and cell-matrix interaction (Elbedwy et al., 2016). Additionally, YAP activation is altered in response to the mechanically stiffer environment of fibrotic and tumorous tissue. YAP localisation to the nucleus is also elevated in the presence of IL-6, which is present during wound healing and inflammation (Taniguchi et al., 2015).

Integrins play an essential role in the regulation of MAPK/P13K, Wnt, and Yap/Taz pathways (Huang et al., 2011). Integrin β1 is particularly important for the expression of matrix remodeling and invasion genes. In skin cancer, interference with integrin β1 activity can have markedly different outcomes, from increased invasiveness and migration to a complete loss of invasive phenotype. Interference with integrin β1 clustering by TSPAN8 or FRA1 causes impaired phosphorylation of AKT, manifesting itself in enhanced invasiveness and migration (El Kharbili et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2016). Integrin αv on the other hand controls the expression of oncogene c-Myc, through Focal-Adhesion Kinase dependent activation of p38 and p90RSK pathways (Srivistava et al., 2016). When integrin αv heterodimerizes with either integrin β5 or β6, it becomes essential for de novo tissue generation and neoplastic invasion (Duprevert et al., 2015). Integrin αvβ6 controls TGFβ3 activation, and inhibits β-catenin signalling, thereby regulating EMT (Rognoni et al., 2014).

While stiffness and adhesion mediating proteins are important components of EMT and metastasis, the actual makeup of the ECM also plays a crucial role in balancing wound healing and carcinogenesis. Collagen XVII is essential for cell adhesion and migration, and the interaction between collagen XVII and integrin β4 can cause cells to acquire a non-directional, invasive, and migratory phenotype (Tasanen et al., 2004). Collagen XVII is upregulated during re-epithelialisation of wounds, as well as at the invasive front of SCC (Löffek et al., 2014). Additionally, knockdown of either collagen XVII, integrin β4 or laminin322 in SCC has been linked to reduced cell migration and invasion (Moilanen et al., 2017). This migratory and invasive potential is counteracted, at least in invasive melanoma cells, by inhibition of the FAK/P13K/ AKT/mTOR pathway if Collagen XIX binds integrin α13β3. Finally, Collagen VII positively regulates E-cadherin, implying a role for collagen VII in the maintenance of an epithelial, rather than mesenchymal phenotype (Cammareri et al., 2016). Consequently, loss of collagen VII in SCC causes increased TGFβ3 signalling.

**Interplay between wound healing, inflammation and cancer formation**

During normal wound healing, inflammation occurs transiently and resolves once the wound has healed. However, in some cases the inflammatory response remains activated, leading to chronic inflammation. This is often the case in wounds that have become infected (Eming et al., 2014). There is now a wealth of information linking chronic inflammation to tumour development, and it is known that 15-20% of all cancer deaths are related to inflammation and/or infection (Anwert et al., 2012; Lund et al., 2016). Inflammation can promote cancer through deregulated or excessive IL-1α, IL-1β, TNFα, and EGF production, all of which are secreted from chronically activated leukocytes, contributing to tumour progression (Cousssens et al., 2013). Chronic inflammation may also promote cancer development by promoting fibrosis (Rosique et al., 2015), since fibrotic tissue is highly conducive to tumour development, in part due to its rigid mechanical properties.

Macrophages also play a key role in both the resolution of wound healing, and the progression from wound healing to tumorigenesis. Whilst macrophages in the early stages of healing control the level of scar formation, and depletion of this population results in improved healing and reduced scar formation, depletion of mid-stage macrophages causes impaired tissue maturation and wound closure (Lucas et al., 2010). Furthermore, a deficit in the ability of macrophages to effectively phagocytose neutrophils from the injury site can lead to non-healing wounds (Koh and DiPietro 2011). Since macrophages secrete growth factors and cytokines, they are able to stimulate both growth of healthy epithelial cells required for wound repair, and the growth of cells that have spontaneously acquired oncogenic mutations (Noy and Pollard 2014). Macrophages are the most abundant haematopoietic population in normal skin, are heavily recruited to wound sites and are the most abundant stromal cell population in the tumour microenvironment (Landén et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017b), providing a clear link between their roles in homeostasis, wound healing and cancer. Macrophages, as well as neutrophils, release reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species, which can induce DNA mutations.

In a mouse model of skin wound-induced inflammation and tumour development, a high level of macrophage infiltration 5 days post-injury was found to be predictive of whether a wound would subsequently develop a tumour (Weber et al., 2016). At this time point, there is peak expression of the M2 macrophage marker, arginase-1, which stimulates proliferation of keratinocytes (Sica and Mantovani 2012). One of the main stages where wound healing can develop into tumorigenesis is during the transition from M1 macrophages to M2 macrophages. Typical features of M2-macrophages include the ability to promote angiogenesis and extracellular matrix remodelling, both characteristics that are associated with cancer development (Helm et al., 2014). It is therefore not surprising that tumour-associated macrophages are now thought to have M2-phenotypes (Yang and Zhang 2017). However the exact fate of infiltrating monocytes, and how they contribute to the overall macrophage pool is still unclear (Minutti et al., 2017). Given the crucial roles macrophages play in inflammation and resolution of wound healing, better characterisation of the different subtypes may increase the understanding of how they can be transformed from wound healing cells to tumour promoting cells.

Just as wounding can promote tumour formation in the skin, the presence of existing tumours may affect the healing process of subsequent wounds. For example, wound healing is delayed in mice carrying non-malignant oral squamous cell carcinomas, due to suppressed inflammatory signalling, including a lack of macrophage maturation and disrupted migration of myeloid cells in and out of the wound site (Piter et al., 2016).
Conclusions

The ability of the epidermis to self-renew under steady state conditions and the capacity of the skin to repair following injury have made the epidermis a popular model of adult stem cell behaviour for decades. However recent technological developments have exponentially accelerated our understanding of the epidermis. Novel applications like high-throughput genetic screens and reporter-line based lineage tracing have revealed the complexity of this organ and enabled the identification of multiple populations of stem cells in the epidermis. The addition of single-cell transcriptomic resolution to whole population analysis has allowed a more detailed classification of the heterogeneous and complex epidermal stem cell groups. Therefore, we now have a clearer picture of the dynamic interactions between epidermal cell types, as well as of how they enable the many physiological roles of skin.

Arguably the most important conclusion from recent studies is that the niche plays a central role in determining cell fate. This discovery is especially salient because we now appreciate that the transitions between stem cells and differentiated cells are not unidirectional. Instead, there is emerging evidence of plasticity in various cell populations in the epidermis. This plasticity becomes especially apparent in injury-models, allowing the skin to regenerate and replace damaged tissue from the closest stem cell population, with wound–proximity acting as a manner of master-regulator of cell fate.

This review has discussed how epigenetic, inflammatory, and signalling pathways interact to maintain epidermal homeostasis and mediate wound-healing, and how the pathways that allow for proliferation and regeneration also overlap with carcinogenic risk factors. It is well-known that mammalian capacity for regeneration decreases with age, while the risk of developing cancer increases, and plasticity in the epidermis sheds a novel perspective on the link between these two related processes. As we increase our understanding of epidermal stem cell populations, it may become possible to not only develop a better understanding of the process of ageing, but also shed light on how carcinomas develop, opening new avenues for treatments.
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