Reprogramming of somatic cells and nuclei by *Xenopus* oocyte and egg extracts
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**ABSTRACT** Differentiated somatic cells and nuclei can be reprogrammed to a pluripotent undifferentiated state in the cytoplasm of oocytes and eggs. The ability of the gamete cells to induce reprogramming is not species-specific, so the extracts prepared from the oocytes and eggs of the African clawed frog *Xenopus laevis* can reprogram somatic mammalian cells. Thus, *Xenopus* egg extract-mediated reprogramming may constitute an alternative or complement other experimental reprogramming approaches, such as nuclear transfer, cell fusion, and transcription factor transduction. Here, we discuss the major reprogramming events induced by the extracts in somatic nuclei and cells, including remodeling of nuclear structure, replacement of somatic proteins with their embryonic counterparts, epigenetic modification of DNA and histones, transcriptional reprogramming, and initiation of DNA replication. We also address the advantages and limitations of the extract-based reprogramming approach.
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**Introduction**

Fertilized eggs from sexually reproducing species are totipotent cells; they can develop into complete organisms, containing all types of differentiated tissue cells. Also, in some cases, enucleated eggs injected with differentiated somatic nuclei are able to produce complete organisms. However, somatic cells by themselves are not capable of generating a complete organism. Hence, it was concluded that the nuclei of differentiated somatic cells are remodeled and reprogrammed in the zygotic cytoplasm to allow embryo development. Nuclear reprogramming following nuclear transfer has been successful in many species. Historically, the procedure of nuclear transplantation was established in the 1950s and 1960s using frog eggs and oocytes (Briggs and King, 1952; Elsdale et al., 1960; Gurdon, 1962a). The advantage of this system is ample availability of amphibian eggs and oocytes and their large size, exceeding 1000-fold the volume of mammalian eggs which makes injection of somatic nuclei less technically demanding. Two frog species, *Rana pipiens* and *Xenopus laevis*, were initially used in the nuclear transfer experiments. Before the transplantation, eggs are enucleated either manually (*Rana pipiens*) or by ultraviolet irradiation (*Xenopus laevis*), and somatic nuclei or cells are injected with a transfer glass micropipette. Amphibian eggs do not require activation after the nuclear transfer, as they are activated by micro-pipette pricking. Complete nuclear reprogramming of differentiated somatic nuclei transplanted to *Xenopus* eggs was witnessed by the finding that some eggs injected with nuclei from intestinal epithelial cells of tadpoles undergo normal early embryonic development (Gurdon, 1962a; Gurdon and Uehlinger, 1966). Also, nuclei from various cultured cells derived from adult frog tissues, including heart, skin, lung, etc., could yield normal feeding tadpoles, albeit with a low success rate not exceeding several percents (Laskey and Gurdon, 1970). It was concluded that the ratio of normal development decreases as nuclei are taken from increasingly differentiated cells. Thus, resulting reprogramming events vary depending on cell types. It was also revealed that the cross-species nuclear transfer between *Rana pipiens* and *Xenopus laevis* results in embryonic death (Gurdon, 1962b). Importantly, despite the failure of normal embryo development, a number of nuclear reprogramming events specified further in this paper still occur in cross-species nuclear transfer experiments. Thus, *Xenopus* oocytes and eggs were used to reprogram differentiated cells and nuclei from various species, including mammals.

Markedly, oocyte and eggs represent essentially different gamete cells, which allow to study different aspects of nuclear reprogramming events.
and the remodeling of somatic nuclei injected into embryos are active in DNA replication rather than in transcription, Kirschner, 1982a,b). Thus, activated eggs and dividing early cycles before the MBT rapidly oscillate between DNA synthesis transcription of the zygotic genome starts. Early embryonic cell transition (MBT), occurring after the 12

Calcium-dependent degradation of cyclin B is thought to
arrest. Calcium-dependent degradation of cyclin B is also included to reduce the viscosity of the extracts. Re-centrifugation under appropriate conditions is used to obtain low-speed and high-speed cytoplasmic fractions. The resulting extracts are supplemented with glycerol (2–5%), frozen in aliquots in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. Cell-free experimental format provides great advantages for dissecting regulatory events of the developmental processes. It allows direct access to and targeting of the individual molecular components involved in the regulation of these processes using specific inhibitors and activators. Large volumes (several milliliters) of highly synchronized homogenous extract can be produced from the oocytes and eggs of a single frog. In the case of somatic cell reprogramming, Xenopus oocyte and egg extracts have been used to pinpoint the essential molecular components necessary for nuclear reprogramming. The extracts proved to be especially helpful in identifying the factors that are required for nuclear remodeling, DNA replication, transcriptional changes, exchange of somatic chromosomal proteins, and epigenetic modifications of DNA and histones.

It should be noted that reprogramming of somatic cells, in contrast to nuclei, requires plasma membrane permeabilization because large molecules, such as proteins, cannot easily be transported from extracts to the cytoplasm via the plasma membrane. Cells are usually permeabilized with streptolyisin O or digitonin and resealed by the addition of calcium, so that they can be further cultured to study transcriptional changes and dedifferentiation during cell culture. Analysis of reprogramming in reversibly permeabilized and non-permeabilized porcine fibroblasts treated with Xenopus
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Fig. 1. Progression from Xenopus oocytes to early embryos. The major developmental processes, such as oogenesis, meiotic maturation, fertilization, early embryo divisions and their timing are indicated. Cell cycle stages and activity of the key cell cycle regulators, cytostatic factor (CSF) and maturation-promoting factor (MPF), are also shown (see text for details).
egg extracts demonstrated that some reprogramming events may be induced even in non-permeabilized cells, suggesting that the necessary factors can also enter the cells via endocytosis. However, non-permeabilized cells usually show reduced efficiency of reprogramming after extract treatment (Miyamoto et al., 2007). On the other hand, reprogramming efficiency in the experiments using permeabilized cells is highly variable, because it is difficult to control the level of membrane permeabilization. Notably, high concentrations of digitonin permeabilize both the plasma membrane and nuclear envelope. Permeabilized nuclei were also employed to analyze nuclear reprogramming by *Xenopus* egg extracts (Kikyo et al., 2000; Gonda et al., 2003).

In the present work, we summarize our current knowledge about the major reprogramming events induced by *Xenopus* egg and oocyte extracts in somatic nuclei and cells. They include remodeling of nuclear structure, transcriptional reprogramming, initiation of DNA replication, epigenetic modification of DNA and histones, and replacement of somatic proteins with their embryonic counterparts, as outlined in Fig. 2. Following sections of this review address the individual reprogramming events in detail. For the purpose of comparison, we also refer to other experimental reprogramming techniques, especially to the technique of nuclear transfer, and discuss the advantages and limitations of the extract-based reprogramming approach.

**Remodeling of nuclear structure**

Nuclear reprogramming is associated with dramatic remodeling of nuclear ultrastructure and morphology, reminiscent of changes that happen to sperm at fertilization. Major morphological changes associated with the remodeling of transplanted nuclei in the cytoplasm of *Xenopus* oocytes and eggs include nuclear swelling, rearrangement of the nuclear envelope, chromatin reorganization, and dissolution of nucleoli. One of the most visible manifestations of nuclear remodeling is extensive swelling of nuclei. Mammalian nuclei transferred into *Xenopus* oocytes undergo up to several hundred times increase in volume in the course of several days (Gurdon, 1976). Nuclear enlargement is accompanied by the dispersion of chromatin, increase in the rate of RNA synthesis, loss of protein from transplanted nuclei, and uptake of histone and non-histone proteins from oocyte cytoplasm (Gurdon et al., 1976). The decondensed interphase chromatin represents one of the hallmarks of reprogramming observed in somatic nuclei introduced into oocytes or oocyte extracts arrested in the first meiotic prophase with low activity of MPF and CSF (Gurdon, 1968; Tamada et al., 2006). It is assumed that chromatin loosening facilitates the access of cellular transcriptional machinery to repressed genes, because swollen nuclei in oocytes show more active transcription than not swollen (Gurdon et al., 1979). Importantly, the oocyte and egg cytoplasm exhibit quite different nuclear remodeling activities. The transfer of somatic nuclei into MII-arrested eggs results in the breakdown of the nuclear envelope and premature chromatin condensation due to high activity of MPF. This factor controls phosphorylation of nuclear pore proteins, lamin proteins, and inner nuclear membrane proteins, leading to changes in pore permeability, disassembly of the nuclear lamina, and breakdown of the nuclear envelope (reviewed in Prunuske and Ullman, 2006; Guttinger et al., 2009). Destabilization of the nuclear envelope allows direct interaction of somatic chromatin with egg-specific remodeling factors. Condensed chromatin rearranges after the loss of MPF activity in metaphase, accompanied by the formation of nuclear envelope and functional nuclei. Chromatin reorganization is thought to be a primary event of somatic nuclei reprogramming related to DNA replication and transcriptional regulation. In addition to epigenetic modifications and protein exchange (see following sections), the remodeling of the nuclear envelope and chromatin reorganization contribute to transcriptional reprogramming and acquisition of the nuclear architecture similar to that found in undifferentiated cells.

Along the changes of overall nuclear morphology and chromatin organization, the nucleolus of somatic cells also undergoes dramatic remodelling during nuclear reprogramming. The nucleolus is the most prominent nuclear organelle responsible for ribosome biogenesis, including processing and synthesis of rRNA. Transcription of rRNA genes seems to be essential for maintaining nucleolar integrity (Simard, 1970). The functional nucleolus in somatic nuclei is composed of well-defined fibrillar and granular compartments containing rRNA-encoding genes, transcription factors, nascent rRNA, proteins engaged in rRNA processing and ribosome subunit formation (reviewed in Hernandez-Verdun, 2006). The nucleolus is reduced to a small nucleolar remnant in grown up oocytes. It is completely dissolved during oocyte maturation in meiosis and reappears after meiotic exit in the form of nucleolar precursor bodies. Subsequent nucleologenesis during early embryonic divisions leads to formation of a functional fibrillogranular nucleolus by the time of zygotic genome activation (reviewed in Ostrup et al., 2015). In accordance with these events the morphology of nucleoli changes in differentiated somatic cells incubated in the cytoplasm of oocytes and eggs. The nucleoli of somatic nuclei are rapidly disassembled in interphase eggs and reassembled again when transcription starts in early embryos, reproducing the natural nucleolar dynamics of early embryogenesis. For instance, more than 70% of *Xenopus* embryonic fibroblast XL2 nuclei loose definitive nucleoli and the remainder of the nuclei retain only a small nucleolar remnant after a two-hour incubation in S-phase egg extracts (Gonda et al., 2003). Furthermore, an early event of *Xenopus* egg extract-mediated nuclear reprogramming in somatic cells was shown to be the remodeling of ribosomal genes (Ostrup et al., 2011). It is mediated by a member of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers, the nucleolar remodeling complex NoRC, which causes heterochromatin formation and transcriptional silencing (Strohner et al., 2004; Mayer et al., 2008). Nucleolar remodeling in this system involves a rapid and stable decrease in ribosomal gene transcription and promoter targeting of a NoRC component, the ATPase SNF2H. Previously, it was demonstrated that NoRC, comprising SNF2H and the nucleolar protein TIP5, recruits DNA methyltransferases, histone deacetylases, and histone methytransferases to the promoters of ribosomal RNA genes, triggering their transcriptional silencing (Strohner et al., 2001; Strohner et al., 2004). It has been proposed that nucleolar transformation can be used as a marker of chromatin reprogramming in various experimental approaches (Ostrup et al., 2015).

**Protein exchange**

It is thought that, at the molecular level, the reprogramming of somatic cells and nuclei in the cytoplasm of oocytes and eggs is mediated in large part by replacement of somatic proteins of differentiated nuclei with their embryonic counterparts. It was dem-
onstrated that somatic nuclei transferred into *Xenopus* oocytes or eggs loose more than 80% of their original proteins within several hours, and cytoplasmic proteins migrate into transferred nuclei (Gurdon et al., 1976; Gurdon et al., 1979). The abundant proteins of the oocyte cytoplasm, such as embryo-specific histones, lam-
inues, nucleoplasm, etc., were found to be intensely incorporated into transferred nuclei. Thus, protein exchange between somatic nuclei and the cytoplasm of eggs and oocytes represents one of the major phenomena of reprogramming. Selective trafficking of macromolecules between the cytoplasmic and nuclear environments occurs through nuclear pore complexes of the nuclear envelope. In the metaphase egg extracts, chromosomal protein exchange is facilitated by the disruption of nuclear envelope due to high activity of MPF and CSF.

It was shown, by the real time monitoring of gene expression and chromatin protein exchange, that a loss of somatic H1 linker histone and incorporation of oocyte-specific B4 linker histone pre-cede transcriptionsal reprogramming in somatic nuclei transferred to *Xenopus* oocytes. Furthermore, the loss of H1 histone is not required, but the incorporation of B4 histone is crucial for reacti-
vation of the pluripotency genes, such as *ocdt4* and *sox2*, during nuclear reprogramming (Jullien et al., 2010; Jullien and Gurdon 2011). It was proposed that the binding of oocyte-specific B4 linker histone represents a key event in the reprogramming of somatic nuclei transplanted to amphibian oocytes. Assembly of egg-specific histone B4 on porcine chromatin was also observed in Xenopus egg extracts (Miyamoto et al., 2007). It was demonstrated that the molecular chaperone nucleoplasmin plays an important role in the selective removal of linker histone variants H1 and H1* from somatic chromatin (Dimitrov and Wolff, 1996). The acidic nuclear protein nucleoplasmin is present in *Xenopus* eggs at a very high concentration of ~7mg/ml, and it was found to be involved in chromatin decondensation and dispersal (Leno et al., 1996; Tamada et al., 2006). Besides linker histones, other nuclear proteins show high levels of exchange during the first hours of reprogramming in the *Xenopus* oocyte and egg cytoplasm. They include various polypeptides, such as lamins, high mobility group (HMG) proteins, transcription repressor heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1), nuclear actin, acetylases and deacetylases of histones, DNA methyltrans-
fases and demethylases, DNA replication machinery, cell cycle regulators, etc. (Kikyo and Wolff, 2000). These components are loaded onto somatic chromatin from the abundant oocyte stock, replacing and supplementing their somatic counterparts already present in somatic cells. Their exchange is accompanied by an increase in chromatin mobility similar to that observed in pluripo-
tent cells (Jullien et al., 2011). Notably, core histones, such as H3 and H4, do not display high levels of exchange at the beginning of nuclear reprogramming due to, probably, their intrinsically low mobility in the chromatin (Kimura and Cook, 2001).

As a result of protein exchange, oocyte and egg extracts fun-
damentally modify the nuclear lamina of somatic cells leading to structural changes in their nuclei with implications for transcriptional activity. Lamin A/C, but not lamin B2, is removed from somatic cells incubated in oocyte and post-meiotic egg extracts for 3 hours. Lamin A/C removal was found to be independent of MPF activity. The energy-dependent incorporation of embryonic-specific lamin III, the major lamin component available in egg extracts, was ob-
erved into nuclear envelopes of somatic cells incubated in egg extracts, however the lamin III inclusion could not be detected in oocyte extracts (Alberio et al., 2005; Miyamoto et al., 2007). It was suggested that lamin A/C dissolution may be promoted by kinase activities in the extracts, whereas lamin III incorporation is driven by its nuclear localization signal (Peter et al., 1990; Doring and Stick, 1990).

Anumber of other proteins, which translocate from the oocyte/egg cytoplasm and play important roles in the reprogramming of somatic nuclei, have been identified. It was found, using S-phase *Xenopus* egg extract, that a single protein, FRGY2a/b, can trigger reversible disassembly of nucleoli, a hallmark of chromatin reprogramming (see the section “Remodeling of nuclear morphology”). This finding is quite surprising, considering that the nucleolus is maintained by numerous RNA-protein and protein-protein interactions. FRGY2a/b protein is expressed specifically in *Xenopus* oocytes and early embryos until the gastrula stage (Gonda et al., 2003). Similarly, it was shown that nuclear reprogramming of permeabilized hu-
man somatic cells, such as human primary leukocytes and 293T cells, by *Xenopus* egg extract requires the chromatin remodeling ATPase BRG1 (Hansis et al., 2004). Notably, reprogramming in this system was incomplete because the reprogrammed leukocytes had only a limited life span and did not express pluripotential surface antigens. Another chromatin-remodeling ATPase, ISWI-D is also implicated in nuclear reprogramming. The protein was shown to be required for the specific release of a TATA-box binding protein (TBP) from somatic nuclei incubated in *Xenopus* oocyte extracts, a process accompanied by chromatin condensation (Kikyo et al., 2000). It has been concluded that ATPase–dependent remodeling may act in concert with transcriptional reprogramming to achieve pluripotency in somatic mammalian cells.

Interestingly, although protein exchange is a universal process involving a large number of oocyte and egg proteins, it is suggested that the provision of maternal gene-specific transcription factors is not a general transcription reprogramming mechanism in oocytes and eggs, and most genes are probably regulated by a nonspecific transcriptional machinery (Gurdon and Wilmut, 2011). Of special interest might be the basal oocyte-specific transcription apparatus comprising TFIa/b-like factor (ALF) and TATA-box-binding protein 2 (TBP2). The latter was shown to replace somatic TBP from association with chromatin (Kikyo et al., 2000; D’Alessio et al., 2009). In addition to general transcriptional regulators, the proteins related to epigenetic modifications of DNA and histones are widely involved in the transcriptional reprogramming of somatic nuclei in the oocyte and egg cytoplasm, as specified in the following section.

**Epigenetic modifications of DNA and histones**

DNA methylation and post-translational modifications of histones have been implicated in chromatin remodeling and transcriptional reprogramming. DNA methylation on cytosine is a universal epigen-
etic mark associated with gene suppression. The control regions of individual genes inactive in somatic cells are heavily methylated, which contributes to their silencing. DNA methylation is thought to be responsible for mammalian X-chromosome inactivation, genomic instability, and imprinting (reviewed in Bird, 2002; Niehrs, 2009). DNA methylation is epigenetically stable in differentiated somatic cells, however it is overwritten in gametes and early embryos. Notably, the epigenetic state of somatic chromatin was found to be modified after nuclear transfer or incubation in oocyte and egg extracts. It was reported that the treatment of somatic cells with
Xenopus egg extract reduced global DNA methylation of donor cells and increased the success rate of following nuclear transfer embryo development (Yang et al., 2012). Demethylation of oct4 gene promoter, preceding transcriptional reprogramming, was observed in somatic nuclei transplanted to non-dividing Xenopus oocytes. This demethylation affects mCpG sites proximal to the promoter (Simonsson and Gurdon, 2004). Epigenetic marks in somatic chromatin, including DNA methylation, were shown to be reversed by amphibian oocyte extracts to resemble those in pluripotent nuclei (Bian et al., 2009). Evidently, eggs and oocytes possess the factors that promote demethylation, which is required for transcriptional reactivation of silenced genes in embryogenesis. Some of them have been revealed in recent studies. It was shown that the growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible protein 45 alpha (Gadd45a), a protein involved in maintenance of genomic stability and DNA repair, plays an important role in DNA demethylation. Gadd45a specifically localizes to the site of demethylation during active demethylation of oct4 in Xenopus oocytes and reverses epigenetic gene silencing by promoting DNA repair that erases methylation marks (Simonsson and Gurdon, 2004; Barreto et al., 2007). Other protein components of the base excision and nucleotide excision repair processes (BER and NER) are also involved in active DNA demethylation (Niehrs, 2009). In addition, a Zn-dependent activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) has been implicated in DNA demethylation. It was reported that AID-dependent DNA demethylation is important for reprogramming to a pluripotent state in mammals (Bhutani et al., 2010; Popp et al., 2010). Furthermore, in mouse eggs and early embryos, the TET enzymes were shown to promote active DNA demethylation via hydroxylation of methylated cytosines. The balance between hydroxymethylation and methylation was proposed to be linked to pluripotency and lineage commitment in mouse ES cells and during differentiation (Tahiliani et al., 2009; Ficz et al., 2011).

Another mechanism of epigenetic reprogramming of somatic chromatin in oocytes, eggs, and their extracts involves post-translational modification (PTM) of histones. Alongside the replacement of somatic linker histones with their embryonic counterparts, as described above in the “Protein exchange” section, numerous PTMs of nucleosome core histones were implicated in the chromatin reprogramming. For example, reprogramming of somatic nuclei transplanted into Xenopus oocytes was reported to be accompanied by increased phosphorylation, increased methylation, and rapidly decreased acetylation of several amino acids in H3 histone. Specifically, methylation of histone H3 at Lys 4 was found to be associated with transcriptional activation of somatic nuclei by Xenopus oocytes; its timing correlated with pluripotency gene activation (Murata et al., 2010). In another study, deacetylation of histone H3 at Lys 9 was detected in nonpermeabilized somatic cells incubated in Xenopus egg extracts (Miyamoto et al., 2007). The extracts were reported to contain histone deacetylases, and deacetylation is thought to stabilize nucleosomal organization, leading to decrease of transcriptional activity (Ryan et al., 1999; Rice and Allis, 2001). It was suggested that deacetylation of histone H3 at Lys 9 may be involved in erasing epigenetic memory of somatic cells to prepare chromatin for following site-specific acetylation in differentiating cells (Miyamoto et al., 2007). Furthermore, it was shown that the core histones H2A and H4 of somatic nuclei are phosphorylated in the Xenopus egg cytoplasm (Dimitrov and Wolffe, 1996). Also, phosphorylation of histone H3 at Ser 10 was observed in the nuclei of mammalian somatic cells incubated in Xenopus oocyte extracts. Aurora B kinase was found to be responsible for this phosphorylation (Murata et al., 2010). Admittedly, the exact role of individual histone PTMs in the chromatin reprogramming is not understood, however, it was proposed that, in general, histone modifications can serve to target active DNA demethylation due to direct interaction of DNA methyltransferases and methyl-CpG-binding proteins with histone-modifying enzymes, such as histone methyltransferases and histone deacetylases (Niehrs, 2009). Thus, histone modifications bolster the chromatin state that promotes repair-mediated DNA demethylation and gene activation. In accordance with this, it was found that the histone H3 variant, H3.3, associated with epigenetic memory of an active gene state in Xenopus nuclear transfer embryos, is enriched with the marks of active chromatin, such as Lys 4 methylation, Lys 9 acetylation, and Lys 79 methylation (McKittrick et al., 2004; Ng and Gurdon, 2008). Remarkably, histone modifications are often associated with the regulatory regions of pluripotency genes.

Transcriptional reprogramming and initiation of DNA replication

So far, no reports of complete transcriptional reprogramming in oocyte and egg extracts, leading to cell divisions and new cell types generation, have been presented. However, the transferred somatic nuclei undergo extensive transcriptional reprogramming in the extracts. Differentiated somatic cells express only limited subsets of genes related to the specific functions of these cells, as compared to oocytes and embryonic cells where most genes are available for transcription. Also, the capacity of differentiated cells to multi-round replication and cell division is much restricted. Thus, reprogramming of differentiated somatic cells to a pluripotent undifferentiated state requires extensive chromatin remodeling in order to enable gene transcription and DNA replication. It was found that somatic nuclei transplanted to grown-up frog oocytes arrested in the first meiotic prophase experience dramatic changes in gene expression, which take place in the absence of DNA replication and cell division. On the other hand, the nuclei transferred to matured Xenopus eggs arrested in the second meiotic metaphase initiate DNA replication rather than transcriptional reprogramming (Gurdon and Wilmot, 2011). This finding can be attributed to the fact that rapid genome replication is a unique signature of early embryonic cell cycles in frogs. Notably, the first 12 embryonic divisions in fertilized Xenopus eggs occur in the absence of significant transcription, and only after passing this stage, called the mid-blastula transition, the zygotic transcription starts (Newport and Kirschner, 1982a,b). In sum, the changes in the chromatin of differentiated cell seen in oocyte extracts are likely to be related to transcriptional reprogramming, whereas the changes observed in egg extracts concern mainly the initiation of DNA replication.

It was revealed that nuclear swelling and chromatin decondensation observed upon nuclear transfer (see the section “Remodeling of nuclear structure”) directly correlate with RNA synthesis, and this increase in transcription is associated with successful reprogramming (Gurdon et al., 1976). The nuclei of normal, non-dividing, terminally differentiated mammalian cells, such as adult mouse thymocytes and adult human blood lymphocytes, injected into the germline vesicle of Xenopus oocytes can be directly reprogrammed to express the mammalian stem cell pluripotency marker oct4 at
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a rate comparable to that in mouse ES cells (Byrne et al., 2005). Transcriptional activation of other pluripotent genes, such as sox2 and nanog, was observed in several cell lines of permeabilized somatic cells injected into Xenopus oocytes. Interestingly, different kinds of somatic nuclei differ considerably in the extent of activation of the pluripotent genes (Halley-Stott et al., 2010). Reactivation of the pluripotent genes oct4, sox2 and nanog was also detected in permeabilized mammalian somatic cells incubated in the interphase extracts of activated Xenopus eggs. The pluripotent marker gene expression and colony formation were observed in the cultured extract-treated cells, suggesting their partial reprogramming to an embryonic state (Miyamoto et al., 2007; Miyamoto et al., 2008).

Markedly, oocytes can reactivate not only the pluripotency genes, such as oct4, sox2 and nanog, but also many other genes, especially those actively transcribed in oocytes. This pattern is similar to the genome-wide transcription observed in ES cells. Prophase I-arrested Xenopus oocytes were found to display a strong transcription-inducing activity. Particularly, transcription of several myogenic genes actively expressed in Xenopus oocytes was greatly induced in the permeabilized mammalian cells C2C12 and C3H10T1/2 transplanted to the oocytes, however the reactivated transcripts were mainly unspliced, suggesting low efficiency of splicing in the oocytes (Biddle et al., 2009). Interestingly, the myogenic factor MyoD, which is actively transcribed in Xenopus oocytes, as well as other known myogenic factors were not required to transcriptionally induce the studied muscle genes, indicating that the provision of maternal gene-specific transcription factors is not a general transcriptional reprogramming mechanism in the oocytes. Proteomic analysis of early reprogramming events in murine somatic cells incubated with Xenopus oocyte extracts revealed 69 nuclear proteins whose expression increased or decreased after the treatment. They included proteins of various functional classes, such as pluripotency markers, structural proteins, transcription factors, and translation regulators (Rathbone et al., 2013).

In contrast to oocytes, Xenopus eggs and egg extracts arrested in metaphase II do not support active transcription. It was reported that polymerase I- and polymerase II-dependent transcriptions are maintained in somatic cells incubated in Xenopus oocyte extracts, provided that the nuclei were first treated with trypsin, heparin, and the extracts prepared from meiotically arrested eggs (Aquiles Sanchez et al., 1995). Accordingly, the nuclei from mature erythrocytes swell, replicate efficiently, and enter mitosis in interphase extracts, provided that the nuclei were first treated with trypsin, heparin, and the extracts prepared from meiotically arrested eggs (Aquiles Sanchez et al., 1995). The best replicating extracts in the study were produced from the eggs that have just reached G1/S boundary of the first cell cycle. The detailed study of DNA replication in Xenopus egg extracts revealed that they support a wide range of activities related to this process, including chromatin assembly, DNA duplication, cohesion and separation of sister chromatids, etc. (Arias and Walter, 2004; Gillespie et al., 2012). The extracts were especially successful in identifying pivotal molecules and mechanisms involved in the control of DNA replication. A key role of S phase CDKs and Dbf4-dependent kinases (DDKs) in recruitment of replication origins is demonstrated (reviewed in Gonzalez et al., 2005). These mechanisms serve to ensure fast and faithful genome duplication during the first embryonic cell cycles.

**Conclusions and perspectives**

The data presented in this review demonstrate that differentiated somatic nuclei can be partially reprogrammed to a less differentiated state by Xenopus oocyte and egg extracts. A plethora of reprogramming events, as summarized in Fig. 2, occur in the nuclei and cells incubated in the extracts, including remodeling of nuclear structure and morphology, replacement of somatic proteins with their embryonic counterparts, epigenetic modification of DNA and histones, transcriptional reprogramming and initiation of genome replication. Admittedly, cell-free experimental format has some intrinsic limitations. Most markedly, quality of the extracts is quite variable. On the one hand, it depends on the quality of oocytes and eggs, which may differ greatly, depending on the season, frog age, conditions of frog maintenance, etc. On the other hand, even the small variations in the procedure of extract preparation affect dramatically their quality and capacity to recapitulate nuclear reprogramming events. Besides that, although egg and oocyte extracts recreate most closely intracellular environment of early embryo, they don’t reflect the in vivo conditions in full. Although Xenopus oocyte and egg extracts are not able to induce the complete reprogramming of mammalian

![Fig. 2. Reprogramming events in Xenopus oocyte and egg extracts. The main nuclear reprogramming events observed in Xenopus oocyte and egg extracts are presented in diagram (see text for details).](image-url)
somatic cells and nuclei to a pluripotent state, they can be used to increase reprogramming efficiency in combination with other experimental approaches. For instance, pretreatment of donor cells with Xenopus egg extract before nuclear transfer was shown to increase the rate of successful embryo development in pigs (Yang et al., 2012). Synergistic reprogramming of mammalian cells by combined exposure to mitotic Xenopus egg extracts and transcription factors has been demonstrated (Ganier et al., 2011). The maintenance of multipotency in human dermal fibroblasts treated with Xenopus egg extracts was achieved in the presence of exogenous fibroblast growth factor-2 (Kole et al., 2014). Notably, different capacities of meiotic, interphase and mitotic extracts to support transcription and replication suggest that the differential use of the extracts or their use in combination can achieve a higher degree of somatic cell reprogramming. For instance, differentiated erythrocyte nuclei, which replicate inefficiently in Xenopus eggs, were found to reset the chromatin structure for embryonic DNA replication after a single mitotic cell cycle (Lemaître et al., 2005). Mitotic remodeling in this system involves the reduction of chromatin loop domains and increasing recruitment of replication initiation factors, resulting in a shortening of interorigin spacing typical of early embryo stages. The combined experimental reprogramming approaches require further investigation. We believe that Xenopus egg and oocyte extracts may be very helpful in developing the alternative methods of somatic cell dedifferentiation and reprogramming with a grand purpose to generate pluripotent stem cells in various species.
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