Expression of the mediators of dioxin toxicity, aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) and the AHR nuclear translocator (ARNT), is developmentally regulated in mouse teeth
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ABSTRACT Dioxins are persistent and ubiquitous environmental poisons that become enriched in the food chain. Besides being acutely lethal, the most toxic dioxin congener, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), is developmentally toxic to many animal species. We have previously found that developing teeth of children may be sensitive to environmental dioxins via their mother’s milk and that rat and mouse teeth are dioxin-sensitive throughout their development. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) together with the AHR nuclear translocator (ARNT) protein is believed to mediate the toxic effects of dioxins. To study the potential involvement of the AHR-ARNT pathway in the dental toxicity of TCDD, we analysed the expression of AHR and ARNT by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry in developing mouse teeth. AHR mRNA first appeared in the epithelium of E12 first molar tooth buds and both proteins were weakly expressed in the bud. After cytodifferentiation the expression was upregulated and became intense in secretory odontoblasts and ameloblasts. The coexpression of AHR and ARNT during early tooth development as well as during the formation and mineralization of the dental matrices is suggestive of the AHR-ARNT pathway as a mediator of dental toxicity of TCDD.
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Introduction

Dioxins and related halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons are highly toxic compounds that are formed as by-products in different industrial and combustion processes. These persistent and ubiquitous environmental poisons are bioaccumulated in tissue lipid and become enriched in the food chain. A well-established high-exposure effect in humans is chloracne (Assennato et al., 1989; Sweeney and Mocarelli, 2000) and currently, the most toxic dioxin congener, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) is classified as a human carcinogen (IARC, 1997). The consequences of dioxin poisoning of exposed animals vary from the eventually fatal wasting syndrome to chronic effects such as immunosuppression, thymic atrophy, teratogenesis and carcinogenesis (Peterson et al., 1993; Pohjanvirta and Tuomisto, 1994; Birnbaum, 1995). Dioxins also affect development and reproduction, alter hormone and receptor levels in the endocrine system and give rise to epithelial hyperplasia and metaplasia (Birnbaum, 1995). Among the developmental defects are cleft palate, hydrenephrosis, accelerated eye opening and incisor eruption (Madhukar et al., 1984, 1988), and morphological changes in reproductive organs (Abbott et al., 1987; Abbott and Birnbaum, 1989; Roman et al., 1998; Peters et al., 1999; Hurst et al., 2000). Even very small amounts are still sufficient to produce adverse developmental effects (Hamm et al., 2000). We have earlier found that mineralizing defects in children’s permanent first molars may be associated with exposure to envi-
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AHR and ARNT

The responses to the toxicity of polyhalogenated hydrocarbons are thought to mainly be mediated by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR, “dioxin receptor”), a ligand-activated transcription factor, which acts in concert with the AHR nuclear translocator (ARNT) protein. Both molecules are members of the basic helix-loop-helix/Per-ARNT-Sim (bHLH/PAS) family of transcription factors (Okey et al., 1997; Schmidt and Bradfield, 1996).

Upon binding a ligand in the cytoplasm, AHR dissociates from its chaperone protein, the 90-kDa heat shock protein (Hsp90), and is translocated to the nucleus where it forms a heterodimer with ARNT. The heterodimer complex binds to DNA at a xenobiotic-responsive element (XRE) located upstream the target genes of many drug-metabolizing enzymes to activate their transcription (Sogawa and Fuji-Kuriyama, 1997). This activated gene battery is also thought to play an important role in both the cells’ defence against oxidative stress and in cell cycle control (Rowlands and Gustafsson, 1997; Gonzalez and Fernandez-Salguero, 1998; Nebert et al., 2000).

AHR apparently primarily dimerizes with ARNT, while ARNT can form dimers with other members of the PAS family (Sogawa et al., 1995). AHR and ARNT are widely and co-ordinately expressed in many cells and organs of the developing embryo and the adult. Among tissues and organs expressing AHR and ARNT are the neuroepithelium, heart, liver, ectoderm, muscle, bone, cartilage and adrenal glands. Generally, AHR and ARNT are found in the same or adjacent tissue areas during development, suggesting a coordinated regulation of expression (Abbott et al., 1995; Abbott and Probst, 1995). Jain et al. (1998) reported no AHR but wide ARNT expression in mice at E9.5, especially in neuroepithelial and the branchial arches. At later embryonic stages they reported AHR expression in the palatal shelf, nasal sepal cartilage, olfactory epithelium and the dorsal surface of the tongue while ARNT expression continued at a high level in various tissues of mesodermal and endodermal origin, e.g., lung and tongue musculature.

Both AHR and ARNT null mutant mice have been generated, the phenotypes of which greatly differ. AHR knockout mice show no obvious phenotypic abnormalities at birth, survive into adulthood and are fertile (Gonzalez and Fernandez-Salguero, 1998; Peters et al., 1999; Shimizu et al., 2000). Foetal development therefore seems fairly unaffected by the absence of AHR, maybe due to gene redundancy. However, AHR null mice were resistant to the acute toxicity of TCDD (Mimura et al., 1997). ARNT null embryos, in contrast, are not viable past embryonic day 10.5, they showed defective angiogenesis of the yolk sac and branchial arches or failure of the embryonic component of the placenta to vascularize which eventually affected further growth and development (Kozak et al., 1997; Maltepe et al., 1997). The reason for this is thought to be the role that ARNT plays in the cellular response to hypoxia.

Tooth Development and its Relation to TCDD Exposure

Tooth development is regulated by inductive interactions between cells of the ectodermal lining of the first branchial arch and the underlying mesenchyme. Development begins with a thickening of the oral epithelium, which grows into the mesenchyme forming a bud and inducing the condensation of mesenchymal cells. Morphogenesis proceeds as the epithelial cells proliferate and form the enamel organ surrounding the mesenchymal dental papilla. The tooth crown shape is finally established during the bell stage by folding of the dental epithelium at the sites of future tooth cusps. At the late bell stage, the tooth-specific cells are formed as the dental papilla cells nearest to the epithelium differentiate into odontoblasts and cementoblasts and the inner dental epithelial cells into ameloblasts. Root formation starts only when the crown is fully developed and already for the most part is mineralized. Rodent incisor teeth differ from molars and from human teeth in that their eruption is continuous (Thesleff and Nieminen, 1996).

To see if the AHR-ARNT pathway could be involved in the dental toxicity of TCDD, we analysed by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry the expression of AHR and ARNT during mouse molar tooth development from the early dental lamina to the onset of tooth eruption.

Results

In Situ Hybridization

The first signs of AHR mRNA expression in the dental tissues were seen in the forming epithelial bud of the first molar tooth germ at E12. The expression was most intense in some cells next to the mesenchyme (Fig. 1A).

After the differentiation of the tooth-specific cells by postnatal day 1 AHR mRNA expression had concentrated and was intense in both secretory odontoblasts and ameloblasts. Interestingly AHR transcripts were seen in scattered cells in the odontoblast cell layer. In the ameloblasts, intense expression was seen in the tips of the cusps and it corresponded to the areas of secretory ameloblasts (Fig. 1E). Scattered AHR expressing cells were present also in the stellate reticulum and developing bone.

Immunostaining

AHR. Our antibodies did not detect AHR protein at early stages of tooth development in either the mesenchymal or epithelial compartment (Fig. 1B).

However, at E14 the dental epithelium in incisors showed expression of AHR protein, as did osteogenic cells in the area of the future alveolar bone. Whisker follicles as well as some but not all cells in the basal cell layer of the oral epithelium also expressed AHR intensely (Fig. 1C). As development of the incisor continued expression was downregulated and only reappeared when matrix secretion started (Fig. 1D).

In the dental mesenchyme of the molar tooth germ AHR protein was evident next to the inner dental epithelium at the bell stage (E17-newborn). After the terminal differentiation of odontoblasts the expression was upregulated in these cells and persisted throughout the deposition of the dentin matrix (E19–P12).

In the inner dental epithelium AHR protein expression was first detected in newly differentiated presecretory ameloblasts. The expression followed a pattern similar to that in odontoblasts but with a slight delay in accordance with the onset of secretion (Fig.
ARNT. ARNT protein was intensely expressed in the oral epithelium and in the area of bone formation at E13. The expression was particularly strong in the invaginating dental epithelium of the incisor and in the labial sulcus (Fig. 2A). The perichondrium of Meckel’s cartilage was negative (not shown). In molar tooth buds expression was detected in the dental lamina (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, whereas the dental mesenchyme was totally negative the surrounding osteogenic cells expressed ARNT intensely.

At E14 no ARNT expression was detected in either the epithelium or mesenchyme of the cap-staged tooth. In the area of the developing mandibular bone surrounding the tooth germ intense ARNT expression continued as well as in oral epithelium (Fig. 2C). However, after odontoblasts and ameloblasts differentiated in the E17 incisor ARNT expression was upregulated in these tissues (Fig. 2D).

After the differentiation of odontoblasts and ameloblasts and the beginning of matrix secretion in neonatal molars staining for ARNT was mainly located in secretory ameloblasts. Presecretory odontoblasts stained faintly and some expression was also visible more centrally in the mesenchyme (Fig. 2E). In fully differentiated odontoblasts and ameloblasts ARNT protein was intensely expressed (Fig. 2F).

The expression of AHR and ARNT in the incisors showed similar cellular and tissue distribution patterns to those in the molars (Figs. 1D and 2D).

Discussion

The present study shows that developing mouse teeth express both AHR and ARNT and that their expression patterns are related to the stage of tooth development. Expression of both AHR and ARNT was detected in the epithelium of early tooth germs, but not during morphogenesis from the bud to the bell stage. The proteins were intensely expressed in secretory odontoblasts and ameloblasts, as well as in osteogenic cells and oral epithelium.

Two cellular pathways for the mediation of dioxin response in cells have been proposed. The effects of TCDD are thought primarily to be mediated by a heterodimeric complex formed by AHR and ARNT binding to a xenobiotic-responsive element on DNA and thereby activating gene expression. Transcriptional regulation of the target genes requires both AHR and ARNT, but both of these proteins can also dimerize with other members of the bHLH/PAS family of transcription factors (Schmidt and Bradfield, 1996). Especially ARNT is thought to be a partner in the regulation of the bHLH/PAS family of transcription factors (Schmidt and Bradfield, 1996). We have also shown that EGFR may act as a mediator of developmental toxicity of dioxin. Using EGFR null mutant mice (Miettinen et al., 1995) we showed that the effects of TCDD on cultured mouse embryonic teeth are dependent on the expression of EGFR (Partanen et al., 1998).
This study shows AHR and ARNT protein expression in the epithelium during budding of tooth germs. AHR mRNA as well as AHR and ARNT protein was seen in a few cells of the dental epithelial bud facing the condensed dental mesenchyme. During cap and early bell stages we detected only very little AHR mRNA and no AHR and ARNT protein in dental structures.

We have recently shown that rats exposed to TCDD through their dam’s milk show retardation or complete inhibition of the development of the third molar, which is at the bud stage in neonatal rats at the onset of lactation. The first and second molars, which already have undergone morphogenesis in neonatal rats, developed normal crowns, but both showed arrest of root development (Lukinmaa et al., 2001). Rat lines A, B, and C, differing in their TCDD sensitivity and AHR structure, accounting for the resistance of rats to the acute lethality of TCDD, differ in responsiveness to the early dental toxicity of TCDD (Pohjanvirta et al., 1999). Development and eruption of the third molar are less affected in the more resistant rat lines carrying the Ahrnw or Bhw alleles (lines A and B, respectively) than in a line lacking these genes (line C), thereby suggesting a role for AHR as a mediator (Kattainen et al., 2001).

At E14 the mouse first molar tooth germ is at an early cap stage, here found to lack AHR and ARNT expression. In many studies the cap stage has been shown to be a particularly vulnerable stage of tooth development (Thesleff and Åberg, 1999).

As a conclusion, epithelial expression of AHR and ARNT in the early tooth bud could imply mediation of TCDD toxicity via the AHR-ARNT pathway, resulting in the arrest of tooth development. During the developmental stages of dental morphogenesis and cellular differentiation when the expression of both AHR and especially ARNT were weak or absent, pathways other than the AHR-ARNT complex, for example, the tyrosine kinase pathway involving c-src and EGFR could potentially mediate the effect of dioxins (Partanen et al., 1998; Tiffee et al., 1999).

Later, AHR mRNA as well as the protein was strongly upregulated in the newly differentiated odontoblasts and ameloblasts and the expression remained intense throughout the secretory stage. In the same cells ARNT showed a corresponding pattern of upregulation and protein distribution.

Dental hard tissue formation is a sequence of highly controlled events including the secretion of extracellular matrix, processing and degradation of the matrix proteins followed by mineral deposition and in the case of enamel, maturation. Disturbing any of these mechanisms could lead to defective matrix formation, degradation and mineralization.

Our previous study on rat pups exposed to TCDD during lactation showed that odontoblasts in the incisors were increasingly depolarized which resulted in disturbed dentinogenesis (Lukinmaa et al., 2001). Coexpression of AHR and ARNT in secretory odontoblasts and ameloblasts, shown here, implies that dental toxicity of TCDD involving matrix deposition and mineralization, could proceed via the AHR-ARNT pathway, although these findings still have to be verified in the rat.

The consistent spatial and temporal coexpression of AHR and ARNT in bone-forming cells may imply involvement of the AHR-ARNT pathway in the skeletal toxicity of TCDD, evident, e.g., as reduced dimensions and poor or retarded mineralization of the skull bones as well as reduced growth and torsional strength of tibial bone (Alaluusua et al., 1993; Jämsä et al., 2001; Lukinmaa et al., 2001).

---

**Fig. 2. Immunohistochemical staining for ARNT in different stages of mouse tooth development.**

(A) Frontal section of an E13 mouse head. Intense expression is seen in the oral epithelium, in the invaginating incisor epithelium and in the labial sulcus. No expression is seen in the dental epithelium adjacent to the mesenchyme (arrowheads). ARNT protein is also localized to the area of the future maxillary bone. (B) Frontal section of an E13 lower first molar. ARNT protein is seen in the oral epithelium and in the future alveolar bone area but in the tooth germ neither the epithelium nor the mesenchyme expresses ARNT. (C) Sagittal section of an E14 incisor. ARNT expression is only seen in the oral epithelium and in the future bone area. ARNT is not seen in the dental tissues. (D) Sagittal section of an E17 incisor. The undifferentiated dental tissue does not express AHR but as odontoblasts and ameloblasts differentiate expression is upregulated. (E) Sagittal section of a 1 day postnatal molar. Faint staining is seen in secretory odontoblasts and a somewhat stronger expression in ameloblasts. Intense expression continues in the bone area and in the oral epithelium. (F) Intense staining is seen in the secretory ameloblasts and odontoblasts and in the stratum intermedium. a, ameloblasts; b, bone; e, dental epithelium; i, incisor epithelium; m, dental mesenchyme; o, odontoblasts; s, labial sulcus; si, stratum intermedium; t, tongue. Scale bars A, C, 200 μm; B, D, E, 100 μm; F, 50 μm.
Thus, despite the fact that rat bone and molar teeth differ from the incisor teeth in terms of the dependence of TCDD sensitivity on the mutated AHR, mineralized tissues may share the AHR-ARNT pathway of mediation of TCDD toxicity.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of Tissue Sections

Mice of the NMRI strain were used for the experiments. The age of the embryos was set according to the day of the vaginal plug, which was designated day 0. The mice were anaesthetised with CO₂ and killed by cervical dislocation. The use of animals has been approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the Faculty of Science of the University of Helsinki.

Whole heads or lower jaws from 12-d embryos to 12-d postnatal mice were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, washed in PBS, dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. Postnatal tissues were demineralized with 2.5% PFA + 12.5% EDTA in PBS for up to 2 weeks before dehydration and embedding. For the immunostainings, sections of 7 µm thickness were prepared.

Probes

A mouse cDNA was reverse transcribed from mouse liver total RNA with random primers. The primers 5'-AAT-CCT-TCT-AAG-CGA-CAC-AGA-GAC-3' and 5'-AGT-GGA-GTA-GCT-ATT-GCA-AAC-AAA-G-3' were used to synthesize a 700 bp fragment by PCR corresponding to exons 2–7 of the mouse AHR gene. The fragment was cloned into the pCR II -TOP1 plasmid vector (Invitrogen BV, Groningen, The Netherlands) and subcloned into E.coli. Isolated plasmid was linearized with Not1 and digoxigenin-labelled riboprobes were transcribed using SP6 or T7 RNA polymerases, respectively. The labelled probes were precipitated with ethanol, dissolved in RNase free water and used at 0.5 µg/ml concentrations.

In Situ Hybridization

In situ hybridization was carried out according to Braissant and Wahl (1998). In short, the deparaffinized sections were pre-treated with proteinase K (Promega, Madison, WI 53711-5399, USA) and active DEPC, hybridized with the labelled probes in a humid chamber overnight at 58ºC, and washed with random primers. The primers 5'-AAT-CCT-TCT-AAG-CGA-CAC-AGA-GAC-3' and 5'-AGT-GGA-GTA-GCT-ATT-GCA-AAC-AAA-G-3' were used to synthesize a 700 bp fragment by PCR corresponding to exons 2–7 of the mouse AHR gene. The fragment was cloned into the pCR II -TOP1 plasmid vector (Invitrogen BV, Groningen, The Netherlands) and subcloned into E.coli. Isolated plasmid was linearized with BamH1 and Not1 and digoxigenin-labelled riboprobes were transcribed using SP6 or T7 RNA polymerases, respectively. The labelled probes were precipitated with ethanol, dissolved in RNase free water and used at 0.5 µg/ml concentrations.

Antibodies

The rabbit polyclonal antibody to rat AHR was from BioMol Feinchemikalien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany, and recognizes both mouse and rat AHR. It was a kind gift from Dr. Allan B. Okey. The rabbit polyclonal antibody to ARNT/HIF-1β was from NOVUS Biologicals Inc., Littleton, CO 80160, USA.

Immunohistochemistry

The sections were deparaffinized and pre-treated with 10 µm citric acid for 3 x 5 min in a microwave oven, blocked with normal goat serum and BSA for 30 min at room temperature, washed in PBS and incubated with the rabbit primary antibodies to AHR or ARNT/HIF-1β for 30 min at 37°C. After washes in PBS, biotinylated secondary antibodies against rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) were applied for 30 min at room temperature and washed. For the detection of the biotinylated antibodies the Vectastain ABC Elite Kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame Inc., CA, USA) was used and the colour reaction was generated with amino ethyl carbazole.

To confirm the specificity of the staining reactions the primary antibodies were replaced with non-specific rabbit IgG (Technical Grade, Sigma, St.Louis, MO).
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