Identification and gastrointestinal expression of *Xenopus laevis* FoxF2
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ABSTRACT FoxF genes are essential for visceral mesoderm development from *Drosophila* to human. However, part of the difficulty of studying the visceral mesoderm is its relative inaccessibility during early development. Owing to its external development *Xenopus laevis* presents considerable advantages for the study of visceral mesoderm formation, yet FoxF2 has not been identified in this system. Here, we describe the cloning and expression pattern of XFoxF2 during embryonic development, metamorphosis and adulthood, and compare and contrast it to the expression of FoxF1 in *Xenopus laevis* and FoxF2 in mouse.
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The FoxF family of forkhead genes are a highly conserved group of genes necessary for visceral mesoderm development from *Drosophila* to humans (Clevidence et al., 1993, Mahlapuu et al., 1998, Zaffran et al., 2001). In *Drosophila*, mutant embryos null for the Fox homologue biniou, do not form visceral mesoderm (Zaffran et al., 2001). In mouse, Foxf1 null embryos die before embryonic day 10 from impaired extra-embryonic membrane and vascular development (Mahlapuu et al., 2001). However, Foxf1 heterozygous animals develop to term with a perinatal mortality of 90% owing to lung and foregut abnormalities (Mahlapuu et al., 2001). In mouse, there is a second Foxf gene: Foxf2. Like Foxf1, it is also expressed in the developing gastrointestinal tract, predominantly in the hindgut (Ormestad et al., 2004). However, Foxf2 expression is more diffuse, while Foxf1 expression is confined to epithelial-mesenchymal interfaces (Ormestad et al., 2004). Moreover, its expression is identified in the oral mesenchyme, presumptive genitalia, and developing limbs. Importantly, it is not expressed in the extraembryonic membranes, allowing for the study of its role during organogenesis since Foxf2−/− mice develop to term (Ormestad et al., 2004). The two proteins are very similar in their DNA-binding domains and their C-termini, but otherwise divergent (Pierrou et al., 1994). Accordingly, evidence from murine experiments suggests that in spite of structural similarities and overlapping expression domains, they have distinct functions (Ormestad et al., 2006).

*Xenopus laevis* presents considerable advantages for the study of visceral mesoderm formation for several reasons. First, its development is external, which is advantageous for the study of early patterning events and tissue interactions. Second, *Xenopus* metamorphosis is characterized by extensive remodeling of the intestine associated with profound architectural changes, involving proliferation of the mesodermally-derived mesenchyme and muscularis. In *Xenopus laevis*, FoxF1 is expressed in the lateral plate mesoderm and the head mesenchyme (El-Hodiri et al., 2001). FoxF1 targeted knockdown using antisense morpholinos-oligonucleotides leads to severe defects in gut elongation and coiling at least in part due to abnormal cell proliferation of the lateral plate mesoderm (Tseng et al., 2004). Yet, FoxF2, which, based on mouse studies, would be hypothesized to also play an important role during gut development, has not been identified in this system. Here, we describe the cloning and expression pattern of FoxF2 during embryonic development, metamorphosis, and adulthood, and compare and contrast it to the expression of FoxF1 in *Xenopus laevis* and mouse.
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Results

Cloning of FoxF2

We isolated a *Xenopus laevis* 1.1kb cDNA (GenBank accession GU228254) which was homologous to the *X. tropicalis* sequence BC136004.1 (Fig. 1A). The predicted amino acid sequence showed 91% identity with the *X. tropicalis* sequence (AAI36004.1). The forkhead domain was highly conserved when compared to other vertebrate sequences (Fig. 1B). The protein appears to be highly conserved across species except for additional domains present in the mammalian species (Fig. 1B). FoxF2 amino-acid sequence alignment shows that the conservation between species is greater than the similarities between FoxF1 and FoxF2 in *X. laevis* (Fig. 1C) suggesting a necessary and conserved function for each gene. Consistent with what has been described in other species (Hellqvist et al., 1998, Hellqvist et al., 1996, Pierrou et al., 1994), the most conserved areas of the protein are the DNA (forkhead) binding domain and the C-terminus (Fig. 1B).

Expression of FoxF2 during embryogenesis of *X. laevis*

By *in situ* hybridization, the earliest detected signal is in the lateral plate mesoderm of the neurula (not shown). By early tailbud stage (Nieuwkoop & Faber stage 30), faint

---

**Fig. 1. Alignment of FoxF2 with vertebrate homologues.** (A) DNA sequence of FoxF2. The translation start site is highlighted in grey. (B) *Xenopus laevis* FoxF2 predicted amino acid sequence is closely related to other vertebrate sequences. The putative *X. laevis* and *X. tropicalis* sequences demonstrate 91% identity using BLAST (not shown). However, they differ from the mammalian sequences in five domains (underlined) in which the mouse and human sequences are most similar to each other. Notably, the mouse and human sequences contain two domains not present in the amphibian sequences. Additionally, the human sequence contains a domain rich in alanine not present in any of the other species. The following sequences were used for the alignment: mouse: NP_034355, human NP_001084262.1. (C) Alignment of *X. laevis* FoxF2 and *X. laevis* FoxF1 (NP_001093702). The two forkhead domains show 3 amino acid differences. The remainder of the proteins show little similarity except for a conserved N-terminus. Alignment of sequences was performed using EBI ClustalW2 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/index.html) and formatting using BOXSHADE 3.21 at the EMBnet website.
expression in the presumptive visceral mesoderm is noticeable, similar to FoxF1 (El-Hodiri et al., 2001) (Fig. 2A). At this stage, FoxF2 is expressed in the head mesenchyme, in particular in the periorbital region and the mesenchyme surrounding the branchial arches (Fig. 2 A, B), not unlike FoxF1 (El-Hodiri et al., 2001), and in keeping with findings in mouse (Ormestad et al., 2004). Also in keeping with murine expression is the signal detected in the mesoderm beneath the pharyngeal endoderm (Fig. 2B). At this stage, a message is also detected in the otic vesicle (Fig. 2A), which gives rise to the inner ear, and is consistent with expression during early ear development in the mouse (Ormestad et al., 2004). Faint expression is noted in the lens at this stage (Fig. 2A), but a few hours later it is no longer detected (Fig. 2C).

Indeed, at stage 35, cross-sectional analysis reveals that expression is confined to the head mesenchyme (Fig. 2B) and the contiguous periorbital mesenchyme (Fig. 2C). However, at this stage, there is no expression in the lens or otic vesicle. Conversely, in the mouse, the cochlear precursors do express Foxf2 (Ormestad et al., 2004). We only observed very faint expression in the lining of the mesencephalon and neural tube, unlike the neuroepithelial expression described in rodents (Aitola et al., 2000).

By late tailbud stage (Nieuwkoop and Faber stage 39) (Fig. 2D), there is marked expression in the visceral mesoderm (VM) surrounding the presumptive gut (Fig. 2D) and mimicking the expression of FoxF1. The significance of the reticular pattern noticeable in the VM at this stage is unclear, but might suggest a role in vascular development. Thus, it appears that not all VM cells express FoxF2 equally (Fig. 2D). This is an important observation because the VM gives rise to several tissues (blood, muscle, mesenchyme, kidney), and understanding which cell fates require FoxF2 for their specification would aid in unraveling the molecular network governing the formation of mesodermally derived organs. At this stage two areas are notable for their absence of FoxF2 expression: the presumptive liver and the presumptive proctodeum (Fig. 2D). Again, this resembles FoxF1 expression, but differs somewhat from the mouse where Foxf2 is characterized by its distal intestinal expression and associated with colonic malformation and imperforate anus in Foxf2-/- animals (Ormestad et al., 2006). At the anterior-most border of visceral mesoderm expression, a distinct circular structure is visualized, which is accepted to be the presumptive gallbladder (Zorn and Mason, 2001).

This finding fits with the distinctive gallbladder expression noticed in the larval stage (Fig. 2E). However, while malformation of the gallbladder has been associated with Foxf1 loss-of-function in the mouse (Kaliniciochenko et al., 2002), this has not been reported for Foxf2. Consistent with the lack of Foxf2 expression in the liver of the late tadpole stage, expression in the liver and pancreas is not noticeable in the larval gut (Fig. 2E). Nevertheless, expression in the presumptive stomach, esophagus and lung is prominent, as it is in the midgut and hindgut, recapitulating the findings in the mouse (Ormestad et al., 2004). We did not appreciate differential expression along the anterior - posterior axis of the larval gut (Fig. 2E), unlike what is described during mouse development (Ormestad et al., 2004). The midgut expression has retained some of the reticular pattern visible in the tadpole (Fig 2 D,E), foreshadowing the adult expression examined below.

**Intestinal expression of FoxF2 during metamorphosis**

Metamorphosis in *Xenopus* species is a unique developmental stage under the control of thyroid hormone characterized by distinctive changes in the gastrointestinal tract. First, the intestine undergoes dramatic shortening. Second, the primary epithelium undergoes apoptosis, later giving rise to the secondary epithelium, and these changes probably are in part controlled by the adjacent mesenchyme (Shi and Ishizuya-Oka, 1996). Third, the mesodermally-derived mesenchymal layers undergo rapid expansion from a mono- or bi-layer in the larva to a thick and complex mesenchyme comprised of smooth muscle cells, enteric neurons, vessels, lymphoid cells, subepithelial fibroblasts and mesenchyme. Fourth, the epithelium organizes into folds and troughs similar to the mammalian crypt-villus axis (Shi and Ishizuya-Oka, 1996). Because of these significant changes, we examined the froglet intestine, immediately following metamorphosis, for FoxF2 expression. At this time, the epithelial folds are starting to form, but the mesenchyme is still very thin. Expression was noted at the mesenchymal epithelial interface in rare cells adjacent to
Expression of FoxF2 in the adult intestine of X. laevis

In the adult intestine, FoxF2 expression is confined to the mesenchymal and serosal layers, which contrasts with descriptions in rodents in which serosal expression was not observed except in the developing lung (Aitola et al., 2000). In mouse, Foxf2 is expressed at low levels in the subepithelial mesenchyme and muscularis externa (Aitola et al., 2000). In contrast, there is no expression in the thick muscularis of the adult frog. Rather, the expression is confined to the mesenchymal layer with a clear interface between mesenchymal and muscularis (Fig. 4 A-D). This diffuse pattern of mesenchymal expression is similar to what has been reported for murine Foxf2, and contrasts with murine Foxf1 which expression is strongest at the epithelial-mesenchymal interface (Aitola et al., 2000). Of note, we examined expression of FoxF1 in adult intestine and found a very similar expression pattern to FoxF2 with little differential expression along the radial axis (not shown). Both the mesenchyme at the base of the troughs and in the intestinal folds show expression. The vessel walls in the mesenchyme also express FoxF2, something which has been reported in mouse but not shown in Xenopus (Ormestad et al., 2004). In the distal intestine, there is marked expression in both the mesenchyme and vessel walls. Distal intestinal expression is also very similar to FoxF1 (not shown). We did not detect a FoxF2 message in the adult lung or liver by RT-PCR or in situ hybridization. This is in slight contrast to what has been reported in mouse, where there is a low level of expression by in situ hybridization in the adult lung (Aitola et al., 2000). Consistent with previous reports in the mouse (Aitola et al., 2000, Ormestad et al., 2004), however, is the fact that both on sections and whole-mounts in adults and embryos, a long exposure time was required, suggesting that FoxF2 is expressed at low levels.

Discussion

We have shown that FoxF2 is expressed in the mesenchyme of the developing and adult gastrointestinal tract of Xenopus laevis. Unlike what has been previously reported in mouse, we see similar expression in both the anterior and posterior aspects of the embryonic and adult gastrointestinal tract. It is possible that the differential requirement between anterior and posterior is a mammalian adaptation, and that the uniquely mammalian do-
mains identified in the protein sequence participate in the regulation of this differential expression, something which remains to be tested. The highly conserved sequence between *Xenopus* and mammals suggests that the function of FoxF2 has been selected for in development. However, the amino-acid sequences of FoxF1 and FoxF2 are very dissimilar outside the forkhead domain, suggesting that rather than being redundant, these proteins have distinct and necessary functions.

The other significant finding from these studies is the vascular expression observed in the adult, and the reticular pattern noted in the embryo, pointing to a role for FoxF2 in vascular development. Although it has been shown in mouse that Foxf1 is required for vessel formation (Astorga and Carlsson, 2007), the role of FoxF2 in this process has not been elucidated.

Likewise, gallbladder expression of FoxF2 is a novel finding, not previously reported in mouse, but described for Foxf1. This is intriguing because the liver and pancreas, which also derive from the foregut, do not express Foxf2. Since one of the striking characteristics distinguishing the gallbladder from its adjacent structures is its tubular shape, this expression pattern raises the question of the contribution of FoxF genes to lumen formation. Indeed, in *Foxf1*−/− animals, lumen formation is severely impeded by excessive epithelial proliferation (Ormestad *et al.*, 2006).

From a molecular perspective, the expression of FoxF2 in the mesenchyme of *Xenopus laevis* appears very similar to BMP-4 and BMP-7 expression (Ishizuya-Oka and Shi, 2007). During embryonic development, BMP-4 is known to be upstream of Foxf1 both in vascular and visceral mesoderm development (Astorga and Carlsson, 2007, Ormestad *et al.*, 2006, Tseng *et al.*, 2004). The coincident expression of the two genes in the visceral mesenchyme suggests that this regulatory paradigm may be conserved in the adult.

Further, because *Xenopus* metamorphosis is exquisitely regulated by thyroid hormone (TH), the finding that FoxF2 expressing tissue expands vastly following metamorphosis raises the possibility that FoxF genes may be in part regulated by TH, something which has not been investigated to date. *Xenopus laevis* is an attractive model to test this hypothesis for two reasons. First, metamorphosis can be induced experimentally by adding TH to the frog water (Shi and Brown, 1993). Second, since very few mesenchymal cells express Foxf2 immediately following metamorphosis, the study of post-metamorphosis mesenchymal proliferation and differentiation may yield insight into putative, intestinal, mesenchymal stem cell regulation. Moreover, this hypothesis may be relevant to mammals since the changes observed at metamorphosis in amphibians have been compared to mammalian birth, which is also associated with a surge in thyroid hormone levels (Crockford, 2003, Tata, 1993). Indeed, mesenchymal proliferation in *Xenopus laevis* is under the control of TH (Shi and Ishizuya-Oka, 1996), and mice lacking the thyroid receptor α or β show abnormal development of the mesenchymal component of the intestine with concomitant aberrant epithelial proliferation and differentiation (Plateroti *et al.*, 1999).

In summary, we illustrate that FoxF2 expression shows similarities and differences compared to murine Foxf2. During development and adulthood, it is expressed in both the proximal and distal intestine, unlike what has been reported in mouse. Second, its expression in the vasculature and gallbladder are other novel findings. Importantly, it is expressed in a thin layer of intestinal mesenchymal cells at metamorphosis, presumably the precursors of the abundant Foxf2-expressing adult mesenchymal fibroblasts. Future studies are needed to determine the relationship between TH and FoxF2 and whether it can serve as a mesenchymal stem cell marker.

### Materials and Methods

**PCR**

FoxF2 was PCR amplified using degenerate primers for forkhead box (F: IVMAIQ, R: EFMFEEG) on cDNA obtained from adult *X. laevis* intestinal mesenchyme. The resulting bands were TOPO-TA cloned and sequenced. Using specific primers (F: VYVGRH, R: DIKCPVM) for the *X. tropicalis* sequence (BC136003), we isolated a 1100 base pair sequence including the ATG (Fig. 1) from *Xenopus laevis* cDNA. A shorter sequence (approximately 500bp) was inserted into pBluescript to make the *in situ* probe.

**Isolation of adult Xenopus organs, froglet intestine and embryos**

Adult animals and froglets were anesthetized in 0.05% benzocaine for 30 minutes according to conventional methods. After a midline incision, the intestine was isolated from the gastroesophageal junction to the rectum. The intestine was flushed using cold PBS and then fixed in 10% formalin overnight. Lung and liver was removed by clipping the vessels at the hilum. Embryos were collected as previously described (Sive, 2000).

**In situ hybridization on whole mount and sections**

In situ on whole embryos and isolated guts were performed as previously published (McLin *et al.*, 2008). In situ hybridization on sections of froglet and adult gut were performed in the following manner. First, paraffin was removed using absolute alcohol and then rinsed well and placed in RNAase free water. Next, enzymatic digestion with Ficin 1:50 was performed at room temperature for 15 minutes. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked 15 minutes at room temperature and rinsed well with distilled water followed by a rinse in RNAase free water. Sections were then dehydrated through graded alcohols and slides allowed to dry completely. 30 μl of probe diluted in hybridization solution (same as for whole mount) was applied to each slide. Incubation was performed in a humid chamber at 37 degrees Celsius overnight. On day 2, slides were rinsed in 4XSSC buffer followed by 2XSSC buffer and distilled water. No blocking step was used. After putting them try, the slides were incubated at room temperature for one hour with the anti-DIG antibody (Roche). Following additional washes, NBT/BCIP was used as a chromagen. It was allowed to develop for several hours, checking microscopically at regular intervals to determine desired end point. Sections were counterstained with either eosin or nuclear Fast Red.
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