

Cloning and developmental expression of the *soxB2* genes, *sox14* and *sox21*, during *Xenopus laevis* embryogenesis

DOREEN D. CUNNINGHAM, ZHUO MENG, BERND FRITZSCH¹ and ELENA SILVA CASEY*

Georgetown University, Department of Biology, Washington DC and ¹Creighton University, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Omaha, NE, USA

ABSTRACT The Sox family of transcription factors is thought to regulate gene expression in a wide variety of developmental processes. Here we describe the cloning of the *X. laevis* orthologs of the SoxB2 family of transcription factors, *sox14* and *sox21. In situ* hybridization revealed that *sox14* expression is restricted to the hypothalamus, dorsal thalamus, the optic tectum, a region of the somatic motornucleus in the midbrain and hindbrain, the vestibular nuclei in the hindbrain and a discrete ventral domain in the developing spinal cord. In contrast to the limited expression domain of *sox14*, *sox21* is found throughout the developing central nervous system, including the olfactory placodes, with strongest expression at the boundary between the midbrain and hindbrain.

KEY WORDS: Sox, neurogenesis, Xenopus, neural tube

The Sox family of transcription factors belongs to the high mobility group (HMG) superfamily of proteins. Originally classified together on the basis of at least 50% identity to the HMG domain of SRY (Sox = Sry related HMG box) (Stevanovic et al., 1993), subsequent phylogenetic analysis revealed this basis too stringent (Bowles et al., 2000). Instead all Sox proteins share a common motif within the HMG domain, RPMNAFMVW, and cluster into 10 groups (A-J) (Bowles et al., 2000). While members across groups bear little resemblance outside of the HMG domain, members within groups are similar both within and outside of the HMG domain (Bowles et al., 2000). In addition to the HMG domain, the Sox group B members also share a conserved group homology domain located just C-terminal to the HMG domain (Uchikawa et al., 1999, Bowles et al., 2000). The Sox group B has been further divided into two subgroups, B1 and B2, based on homology in the C-terminal domains (Uchikawa et al., 1999). Furthermore, SoxB1 proteins have been demonstrated to activate transcription of the δ 1-crystallin enhancer whereas the SoxB2 proteins repress it (Uchikawa et al., 1999).

Sox proteins act in a wide range of developmental processes with the Group B proteins acting in the development of the nervous system. Specifically, SoxB1 proteins are generally thought to be involved in maintaining a neural stem cell or progenitor population. Functional analysis of chick Sox21 suggests that it specifically counteracts SoxB1 proteins, and as a consequence, promotes the progression of neurogenesis in the developing CNS (Sandberg *et al.*, 2005). Recent analysis knocking down the translation of Sox14 revealed disruption of hypothalamic patterning in zebrafish (Kurrasch *et al.*, 2007).

Homologues of both Sox14 and Sox21 have been identified in chick (Rex *et al.*, 1997) and mouse (Hargrave *et al.*, 2000) with Sox21 also characterized in fish (De Martino *et al.*, 1999). While *Sox21* is expressed broadly throughout the CNS in chick, mouse and zebrafish, with marked expression in the midbrain-hindbrain barrier, (Rex *et al.*, 1997, De Martino *et al.*, 1999, Uchikawa *et al.*, 1999), *Sox14* expression is limited to discrete domains in the nervous system in chick and mouse. In an effort to better understand the role and regulation of SoxB2 proteins during neurogenesis and to extend the phylogenetic analysis of the SoxB2 subgroup, we have cloned the *X. laevis* orthologs of *sox14* and *sox21* and report their spatiotemporal expression patterns.

Isolation and sequence comparison of sox14 and sox21

A *X. laevis* clone containing 160 bp of sequence with homology to the amino terminus of *sox14* was obtained in a screen for HMG containing homologs using a *X. laevis* genomic library. We used inverse PCR to clone the remainder of the coding region of a gene

Published online: 22nd April 2008. Edited by: Thomas Sargent.

0214-6282/2008/\$35.00 © UBC Press Printed in Spain

Abbreviations used in this paper: AM, abducens motornucleus; DT, dorsal thalamus; HMG, high mobility group; OM, oculomotor motornucleus; OT, optic tectum; TM, trochlear motornucleus; WISH, whole mount in situ hybridization.

^{*}Address correspondence to: Elena Silva Casey. Department of Biology, Georgetown University, 37th and O Streets NW, 705 Reiss Science Building, Washington DC, 20057, USA. Fax.: +1-202-687-4662. e-mail: emc26@georgetown.edu

Α

whose predicted amino acid sequence exhibits a high degree of conservation to orthologs of Sox14 (96% amino acids are conserved with those in *G. gallus*, 94% in *H. sapiens* and *M. musculus*, and 97% in *X. tropicalis*) as determined using ClustalW (Fig. 1).

Because vertebrate Sox group B genes do not contain introns (Bowles *et al.*, 2000), we isolated *X. laevis sox21* from genomic DNA using primers complementary to the 5' coding region and the 3' UTR of *X. tropicalis sox21*. We then employed inverse PCR to obtain the 5' coding region of *X. laevis sox21* sequence. The predicted ORF encodes a 262 amino acid protein which is highly conserved with Sox21 proteins found in other vertebrates (Fig. 1, 90% amino acid conservation with *G. gallus, H. sapiens* and *M. musculus*, and 96% with *X. tropicalis*). As expected, a high degree of conservation to the HMG DNA binding domain and the group B

homology domain was seen for both Sox14 and Sox21 (Fig.1, blue and pink lines, respectively). In the carboxyl terminal domain of Sox21, notable features of conservation are the polyalanine stretches characteristic of these proteins (marked with * in the Figure). Interestingly, while Sox21 proteins from human, mouse, chick and *X. tropicalis* have three polyalanine stretches, *X. laevis* lacks the last stretch (Fig. 1B), and analysis of Sox21 proteins from fish reveals that zebrafish and fugu have only the first polyalanine stretch (data not shown).

We generated a phylogenetic tree using parsimony analysis (PAUP* 4.0; Swofford *et al.*, 2001). We used *X. laevis* Sox2 to root the tree, although we obtained similar results when the tree was rooted with either XISox1 or XISox3 (Fig. 2). Notably, the *X. laevis* SoxB2 proteins cluster with previously identified Sox14 or Sox21

A	HMG domain	
XISox14	1 MSKP <mark>VDH I KRPMN A FMW/SRGQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLSE AEKRPY I DE AKRLRAQHMKEHPDYKYRP</mark>	RRKPKNLL 87
XtSox14	1 MSKP VDH I KRPMNAFMVWSRGQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLSEAEKRPY I DE AKRLRAQHMKEHPDYKYRP	RRKPKNLL 87
HsSox14	1 MSKPSDH I KRPMN A FMVWSRGQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLSE A EKRPY I DE AKRLRAQHMKEHPDYKYRP	RRKPKNLL 87
MmSox14	1 MSKPSDH I KRPMNAFMVWSRGQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLSEAEKRPY I DE AKRLRAQHMKEHPDYKYRP	RRKPKNLL 87
GgSox14	1 MSKPSDH I KRPMN A FMVWSRGQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLSE A EKRPY I DE AKRLRAQHMKEHPDYKYRP	RRKPKNLL 87
XISox14	88 KKDRYVFPLPYFGDHDPLKT-GLSMSATDSILGASEKARAFFPPTSTPYSLLDPSHFSSTTIQKMTEMPHTLATSTLPY	YASTLGYQN 173
XtSox14	88 KKDRYVFPLPYLGDHDPLKT-GLSMSATDSLLGASEKARAFLPPTSAPYSLLDPSQFSSTTIQKMTEMPHTLAASTLPY	ASTLGYQN 173
hsSox14	88 KKDRYVFPLPYLGDTDPLKAAGLPVGASDGLLSAPEKARAFLPPASAPYSLLDPAQFSSSAIQKMGEVPHTLATGALPY	ASTLGYQN 174
MmSox14	88 KKDRYVFPLPYLGDTDPLKAAGLPVGASDGLLSAPEKARAFLPPASAPYSLLDPAQFSSSAIQKMGEVPHTLATSALPY	ASTLGYQN 174
GgSox14	88 KKDRYVFPLPYLGETDPLKAAGLPVGATDSLLSSPEKARAFLPPTSAPYSLLDPSQFSSSAIQKMTEVPHTLATGTLPY	ASTLGYQN 174
XISox14	174 GAFGGLSCPSQHTHTHPSPTNPGYVVPCNCTAWSASNLQPPVAYILFPGMTKAGIDPYSSAHTTAM	239
XtSox14	174 GAFGGLSCPSQHTHTHPSPTNPGYVVPCNCSAWSASNLQPPVAYILFPGMTKAGLDPYSSAHTAAM	239
HsSox14	175 GAFGSLSCPSQHTHTHPSPTNPGYVVPCNCTAWSASTLQPPVAYILFPGMTKTGIDPYSSAHATAM	240
MmSox14	175 GAFGSLSCPSQHTHTHPSPTNPGYVVPCNCTAWSASTLQPPVAYILFPGMTKTGIDPYSSAHATAM	240
GgSox14	175 GAFGSLSCPSQHTHTHPSPTNPGYVVPCNCTAWSASSLQPPVAYILFPGMTKTGIDPYSSAHATAM	240

В	HMG domain	
XlSox21 XtSox21 HsSox21 MmSox21 GgSox21	1 MSKP VDH VKRPMNAFMVWSRAQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLTE AEKRPF I DE AKRLRAMHMKDHPD YKYRPRRKPKTLL 1 MAKP VDH VKRPMNAFMVWSRAQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLTE AEKRPF I DE AKRLRAMHMKEHPD YKYRPRRKPKTLL 1 MSKP VDH VKRPMNAFMVWSRAQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLTE SEKRPF I DE AKRLRAMHMKEHPD YKYRPRRKPKTLL 1 MSKP VDH VKRPMNAFMVWSRAQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLTE SEKRPF I DE AKRLRAMHMKEHPD YKYRPRRKPKTLL 1 MSKP VDH VKRPMNAFMVWSRAQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLLTE SEKRPF I DE AKRLRAMHMKEHPD YKYRPRRKPKTLL 2 MSKP VDH VKRPMNAFMVWSRAQRRKMAQENPKMHNSE I SKRLGAEWKLL SEAKRPF I DE AKRLRAMHMKEHPD YKYRPRRKPKTLL	87 87 87 87 87 87
XlSox21 XtSox21 HsSox21 MmSox21 GgSox21	88 KKDK FAFPMPYSL - TG-DHDGLKA-VSLH-GAGVLTDALLCHPEKAAAAAAAAAAAVFFQPSAAAAAAAAAAAAGGSSTNPYS 88 KKDK FAFPMPYGF-TG-DHDGLKV-AGLH-GAGALTDSLLSNPEKAAAAAAAAAAAVFFPPSAAAAAAAAAAAAGGANHPYS 88 KKDK FAFPVPYGLGGVADAEHP-ALKAGAGLHAGAGGGLVPESLLANPEKAAAAAAAAAAAAVFFPQSAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGPYS 88 KKDK FAFPVPYGLGSVADAEHP-ALKAGAGLHAGAGGGLVPESLLANPEKAAAAAAAAAAAAAXVFFPQSAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGSPYS 88 KKDK FAFPVPYGLGAVAEHEPPHGLKAAA-LHGGAAGGLGPDSLLGNPEKAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	165 165 171 171 171
XlSox21 XtSox21 HsSox21 MmSox21 GgSox21	166 LFDLSSKMAEMTHSSSS IPYTSSIGYPQSSG-GAFAGVTGGGHTHSHPSPGNPGYMIPCNCTGWPSPGLQPPLAY 166 LFDLSSKMAEITSSSSSLPYTSSIGYPQASG-GAFPGVAAAAAAAAAGGGHTHSHPSPGNPGYMIPCNCTGWPSPGLQPPLAY 172 LLDLGSKMAEISSSSSGLPYASSLGYPTA-GAGAFHGAAAAAAAAAAAAGGHTHSHPSPGNPGYMIPCNCSAWPSPGLQPPLAY 172 LLDLGSKMAEISSSSSGLPYASSLGYPTA-GAGAFHGAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGHTHSHPSPGNPGYMIPCNCSAWPSPGLQPPLAY 172 LLDLGSKMAEISSSSSGLPYASSLGYPTA-GAGAFHGAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGHTHSHPSPGNPGYMIPCNCSAWPSPGLQPPLAY	239 248 254 254 258
XISox21 XtSox21 HsSox21 MmSox21 GgSox21	240 ILFPGMGKPQLEPYPAAAYAAAL 249 ILFPGMGKPQLDPYPAAYAAAL 255 ILLPGMGKPQLDPYPAA-YAAAL 255 ILLPGMGKPQLDPYPAA-YAAAL 259 ILLPGMGKPQLDPYPAA-YAAAL	263 272 277 277 281

Fig. 1. Alignments of entire coding region of Sox14 and Sox21. Sox14 (A) and Sox21 (B) amino acid sequences were aligned using ClustalW and shaded using JalView (Clamp et al., 2004). Identical residues are shaded in dark grey and similar amino acids are shaded light grey. The high mobility group (HMG) domain is labeled and indicated with the blue bar, the group B homology domain is indicated with a pink bar and the polyalanine stretches characteristic of Sox21 are indicated by the asterisks and the yellow bar. Abbreviations used: Gg (Gallus gallus), Hs (Homo sapiens), Mm (Mus musculus), Xt (Xenopus tropicalis), and XI (Xenopus laevis).



family members. SoxB2 sequences were obtained from Genbank or from the Joint Genome Institute (JGI). Protein identification numbers derived from previously identified genes are as follows: TrSox14a (AAQ18498.1), TrSox14b (AAQ18499.1), HsSox21 (AAC95381),

GgSox21 (BAA77266.1), MmSox21 (AAN6055.1), DrSox21a (NP_571361), DrSox21B (NP_001009888.1), HsSox14 (NP_004180.1), GgSox14(NP_990092.1), MmSox14 (AAl00556), TrSox14a(AAQ18498.1), DrSox14 (AAl08034) and TrSox14b (AAQ18499.1). Sequences obtained from JGI are as follows: XtSox21 (gw1.467.21) and XtSox14 (e_gw1.344.69.1). Gg (Gallus gallus), Hs (Homo sapiens), Mm (Mus musculus), Dr (Danio rerio), Tr (Takifugu rubripes).

Fig. 3 (right). Spatio-temporal expression pattern of *sox14.* **(A)** *RT-PCR analysis from oocytes and embryos to stage 38 as indicated across the top.* ODC (lower panel) was used as the loading control. **(B-I)** In situ hybridization of sox14 at indicated stages. In all figures, anterior is to the left. **(B)** Lateral view; **(C)** dorsal view, anterior view in the inset. **(D)** Sagittal sections of stage 38, double in situ of sox14 and en2 in the inset. **(E)** Dissected brain from stage 38 embryo. **(F-I)** Transverse sections from stage 32 (I) and 38 **(F-H)** embryos. Symbols: bracket, expression in vestibular nuclei; black arrowhead, dorsal thalamus; open arrowhead, dorsal hindbrain sox14 positive cells; M, midbrain; hy, hypothalamus; and AM, abducens motornucleus; mhb, midbrain hindbrain barrier; OT, optic tectum; DT, dorsal thalamus; OM, oculomotor motornucleus; TM, trochlear motornucleus; IN, interneurons. Black bar equivalent to 100 µm.

proteins, showing closest conservation with *X. tropicalis*. In addition, the indicated bootstrap values provide strong support for the clustering. We also constructed a tree using the distance neighborjoining method (data not shown) and obtained the same results.

Sox14 spatio-temporal expression

To determine the temporal and spatial expression of *X. laevis sox14*, we performed RT-PCR and whole mount *in situ* hybridization (WISH) at a variety of embryonic stages. By RT-PCR analysis, *sox14* expression is first detectable at stage 25, peaks at stage 28 and this level of expression persists throughout all later stages examined (to st. 38, Fig. 3A). Using WISH we examined the spatial

expression pattern of *sox14* from stages 17 through stage 48. *Sox14* is expressed by stage 28 (data not shown), with expression in the midbrain and hypothalamus clearly detectable by stage 32, as well as a faint ventral expression domain caudal to the midbrain (Fig. 3B). While expression remains strongest in the presumptive midbrain, by stage 38 additional discrete expression domains became apparent (Fig. 3C, arrowheads). In sagittal sections and dissected brains from stage 38 stage embryos, it is evident that *sox14* is expressed in the hypothalamus, the midbrain and the hindbrain (Fig. 3D and E). Within the midbrain, there are two expression domains: one dorsal domain, the optic tectum (OT), and one ventral domain, likely to be within the oculomotor

Eye

n

1002 D.D. Cunningham et al.

motornucleus (OM) (Fig. 3D, E and G). Caudal to the oculomotor motornucleus are *sox14* expressing-cells likely to be the trochlear motornucleus (TM) and even further caudal are the faintly labeled cells in the region of the abducens motornucleus (AM) (Fig. 3D) (Hartenstein, 1993, Guo *et al.*, 1999, Talikka *et al.*, 2004). To confirm the colocalization of the somatic motornuclei with *sox14* expression, we performed double *in situ* hybridization with *sox14* and *en2* (inset, Fig. 3D) or *pax2* (data not shown), markers of the midbrain-hindbrain boundary. The *sox14* expression overlaps with the ocular motonucleus which is directly rostral to the MHB and the trochlear motornucleus just caudal to the MHB. In the dorsal hindbrain are single *sox14* positive cells dispersed though the vestibular nuclear complex (Fig 3D and E, bracket). Anterior to the midbrain expression is a small expression domain, likely to be the dorsal thalamus (DT) (Fig. 3C-F) (Bachy *et al.*, 2001). In transverse sections of the spinal cord, *sox14* expression was also detected in a ventral domain of the spinal cord as early as stage 32 (Fig. 3I and data not shown). We presume this expression domain in the spinal cord marked by *sox14* corresponds to the subset of interneurons marked by mouse and chick *SOX14* (Uchikawa *et al.*, 1999, Hargrave *et al.*, 2000).

Sox21 spatio-temporal expression

We examined the temporal expression pattern of sox21 during



Fig. 4. Spatio-temporal expression pattern of *sox21*. (A) *RT-PCR* analysis of embryos from oocyte to stage 36 as indicated across the top. ODC was used as the loading control. (B,C,F,J,N,P) In situ hybridization of embryos stained for sox21 at indicated stages and (F-M, O) for regional brain markers as indicated. (B,C, J-M, inset P) Anterior views. (N-P) Dorsal views. (F-I) Lateral views. (D,E) Transverse sections generated from a stage 31 embryo. Symbols: Black asterisks, midbrain-hindbrain boundary; f, forebrain; h, hindbrain; m, midbrain; hy, hypothalamus; n, notochord; op, olfactory placode; ov, otic vesicle.

Xenopus embryo development using RT-PCR. Expression was absent from the oocyte and stages prior to the midblastula transition (st. 8), first detected at stage 10 and maintained in all subsequent stages examined (to st. 36, Fig. 4A). Using whole-mount in situ hybridization, sox21 expression was first detected at stage 15 throughout the anterior neural plate (Fig. 4B). By stage 17, sox21 expression was still throughout the presumptive CNS; however, a noticeable gap in expression was observed (Fig. 4C). The region immediately posterior to this gap had a considerably higher level of expression than neighboring regions (Fig. 4C, black asterisk). This restricted pattern of expression persisted through late tailbud with expression strongly detected in the presumptive forebrain, olfactory placode, and otic vesicle by stage 33 (Fig. 4 E,P). To identify the expression domains of sox21, we compared its expression to that of other well characterized brain markers, en2, which marks the midbrain-hindbrain boundary (Hemmati-Brivanlou et al., 1991), eomes, which marks the telencephalon and a portion of the diencephalon (Bachy et al., 2002) and foxG1(formerly known as bf-1), which also marks the telencephalon (Regad et al., 2007) (Fig. 4 F-O). As labeled in Fig. 4P, we determine that sox21 is expressed throughout the central nervous system, with strong expression in the forebrain, midbrain, and MHB and reduced expression in a region of the diencephalon.

To determine the dorsoventral expression pattern in the developing brain and spinal cord, we analyzed transverse sections of stained embryos (Fig. 4D and E). At stage 31,

In summary, we have shown that X. laevis has at least two soxB2 genes, sox14 and sox21. They have patterns of expression distinct from each other throughout stages of early development. Here we show sox14 marks a subset of interneurons located in the ventral portion of the spinal cord as well as in a portion of the dorsal thalamus. Except for the hypothalamus, all other sox14 labeled cells and nuclei are directly or indirectly involved in eye movement through optokinetic and vestibular reflexes (Nieuwenhuys et al., 1998). Cell cycle exit data suggest that most of the labeled cells are postmitoic neurons that have exited the cell cycle between st. 23-30 (Hartenstein, 1993). Interestingly, the onset of expression of sox14 correlates with the onset of neuronal differentiation of the ventral midbrain and ventral interneurons of the spinal in Xenopus laevis (Hartenstein, 1993). Combined these data may suggest that Sox14 is a master regulator for eye movement centers as much as Atoh1 is governing development of proprioreceptive centers (Bermingham et al., 2001). Indubitably, experimental evidence is needed to verify this suggestion. X. laevis sox21 expression marks the olfactory placodes, forebrain, midbrainhindbrain barrier, and neural tube, with a gap of expression corresponding to the dorsal thalamus. It will be interesting to investigate the roles these genes have in neurogenesis and patterning in X. laevis.

Experimental Procedures

Cloning and sequence analysis

Sox14: Using a probe designed to be complementary to the HMG domain of Sox genes, a *X. laevis* genomic library was screened. One clone contained 1218 bp upstream of the predicted start ATG and 160 bp downstream. We used the sequence downstream of the predicted ATG to BLAST all known EST databases and found that it that was highly homologous to *sox14* genes. Using inverse PCR we obtained a 720 bp product and corresponded with the ORF for Sox14. This product was cloned into the pGEM T-easy vector (Promega) to generate pGEM-Xlsox14.Primers used for the inverse PCR were:

- F1: 5'TATGACAGTTGGAGAGGGC 3',
- R1: 5' GGGAGCATGTGGGTAGTCT 3',
- F2: 5' TATGACAGTTGGAGAGGGC 3',
- R2: 5' CATAGACCTGGAGAGTAATTG 3'.

sox21: The following primers were designed based on the sequence of *sox21* found in the *X. tropicalis* genome v4.1 (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/ Xentr4/Xentr4.home.html): F 5' ATGGCTAAACCGGTGGATC 3' R: 5' GCCAGTGCCCTTAGTCGG 3'. The amplified 789 bp product was cloned into pGEM T-easy vector (Promega) to generate pGEM-XIsox21.

We used the sequence information from pGEM-Sox21 to generate inverse PCR primers to obtain the *XISox21* sequence:

- F1: 5' CTGGGTGACACAGCAAGGCG 3';
- R1: 5' GGCAGAGATGACACATTC 3';
- F2: 5' CATGTAAACTAACAGCCTTC 3';
- R2: 5' CATCTATTCCTTATACCTCG 3'.

Protein alignment and tree construction

Amino acid sequences were aligned using ClustalX, available from ftp://ftp-igbmc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/ClustalX/. The alignment was color coded according to Blosum62 scores using JalView (Clamp *et al.*, 2004). The parsimony tree and neighbor-joining distance tree were

constructed using PAUP4.0*.

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis

RNA was extracted for RT-PCR analysis as described (Wilson and Melton, 1994). One embryo equivalent was used for each RT-PCR experiment. To assay for DNA contamination in RT-PCR experiments, an embryo was processed without reverse transcriptase and labeled as the RT minus lane in each experiment. Ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) was used as the loading control. RT-PCR primers for the ODC have been described elsewhere (Hudson *et al.*, 1997). The primers used for detection of *Xenopus soxB2* genes are:

- sox21: U, 5'-TAGTTTGACAGGGGACCATGATGGG-3';
- D, 5'-CCCCACCTGTAACCCCAGCAAA-3'; 64 °C, 25 cycles. sox14: U, 5' CTTTCCACCAACATCAACAC 3';
 - D, 5' CCAGCTTTAGTCATACCAGG 3'; 55°C, 30 cycles.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridizations were performed as described previously (Harland, 1991). Antisense RNA DIG labeled (Roche) probes were synthesized using either pGEM-XLsox14 or pGEM-XLsox21 and detected using BM purple (Roche). Double *in situ* hybridization were performed as described previously (Hollemann *et al.*, 1998), DIG labeled *sox14* and fluorescein labeled (Roche) *en2* and *pax2* probes were employed.

Acknowledgements

We thank Silvia Brunelli for the genomic clone containing a portion of Sox14 and Peter Armbruster and all members of the Casey Laboratory for discussions and comments on the manuscript. Funding for D.C. was provided by the NIH NS04918 (to E.S.C.).

References

- BACHY, I., BERTHON, J. and RETAUX, S. (2002). Defining pallial and subpallial divisions in the developing Xenopus forebrain. *Mech Dev* 117: 163-72.
- BACHY, I., VERNIER, P. and RETAUX, S. (2001). The LIM-homeodomain gene family in the developing Xenopus brain: conservation and divergences with the mouse related to the evolution of the forebrain. J Neurosci 21: 7620-9.
- BERMINGHAM, N.A., HASSAN, B.A., WANG, V.Y., FERNANDEZ, M., BANFI, S., BELLEN, H.J., FRITZSCH, B. and ZOGHBI, H.Y. (2001). Proprioceptor pathway development is dependent on Math1. *Neuron* 30: 411-22.
- BOWLES, J., SCHEPERS, G. and KOOPMAN, P. (2000). Phylogeny of the SOX family of developmental transcription factors based on sequence and structural indicators. *Dev Biol* 227: 239-55.
- CLAMP, M., CUFF, J., SEARLE, S.M. and BARTON, G.J. (2004). The Jalview Java alignment editor. *Bioinformatics* 20: 426-7.
- DE MARTINO, S.P., ERRINGTON, F., ASHWORTH, A., JOWETT, T. and AUSTIN, C.A. (1999). sox30: a novel zebrafish sox gene expressed in a restricted manner at the midbrain-hindbrain boundary during neurogenesis. *Dev Genes Evol* 209: 357-62.
- GUO, S., BRUSH, J., TERAOKA, H., GODDARD, A., WILSON, S.W., MULLINS, M.C. and ROSENTHAL, A. (1999). Development of noradrenergic neurons in the zebrafish hindbrain requires BMP, FGF8, and the homeodomain protein soulless/Phox2a. *Neuron* 24: 555-66.
- HARGRAVE, M., KARUNARATNE, A., COX, L., WOOD, S., KOOPMAN, P. and YAMADA, T. (2000). The HMG box transcription factor gene Sox14 marks a novel subset of ventral interneurons and is regulated by sonic hedgehog. *Dev Biol* 219: 142-53.
- HARLAND, R.M. (1991). In situ hybridization: an improved whole-mount method for Xenopus embryos. *Methods Cell Biol* 36: 685-95.
- HARTENSTEIN, V. (1993). Early pattern of neuronal differentiation in the Xenopus embryonic brainstem and spinal cord. *J Comp Neurol* 328: 213-31.
- HEMMATI-BRIVANLOU, A., DE LA TORRE, J.R., HOLT, C. and HARLAND, R.M. (1991). Cephalic expression and molecular characterization of Xenopus En-2. *Development* 111: 715-24.

- HOLLEMANN, T., BELLEFROID, E. and PIELER, T. (1998). The Xenopus homologue of the Drosophila gene tailless has a function in early eye development. *Development* 125: 2425-32.
- KURRASCH, D.M., CHEUNG, C.C., LEE, F.Y., TRAN, P.V., HATA, K. and INGRAHAM, H.A. (2007). The neonatal ventromedial hypothalamus transcriptome reveals novel markers with spatially distinct patterning. *J Neurosci* 27: 13624-34.
- NIEUWENHUYS, R., DONKELAAR, H.J.T. and NICHOLSON, C. (1998). The central nervous system of vertebrates. Berlin; New York: Springer.
- REGAD, T., ROTH, M., BREDENKAMP, N., ILLING, N. and PAPALOPULU, N. (2007). The neural progenitor-specifying activity of FoxG1 is antagonistically regulated by CKI and FGF. *Nat Cell Biol* 9: 531-40.
- REX, M., UWANOGHO, D.A., ORME, A., SCOTTING, P.J. and SHARPE, P.T. (1997). cSox21 exhibits a complex and dynamic pattern of transcription during embryonic development of the chick central nervous system. *Mech Dev* 66: 39-53.
- SANDBERG, M., KALLSTROM, M. and MUHR, J. (2005). Sox21 promotes the progression of vertebrate neurogenesis. *Nat Neurosci* 8: 995-1001.

- STEVANOVIC, M., LOVELL-BADGE, R., COLLIGNON, J. and GOODFELLOW, P.N. (1993). SOX3 is an X-linked gene related to SRY. *Hum Mol Genet* 2: 2013-8.
- SWOFFORD, D.L., WADDELL, P.J., HUELSENBECK, J.P., FOSTER, P.G., LEWIS, P.O. and ROGERS, J.S. (2001). Bias in phylogenetic estimation and its relevance to the choice between parsimony and likelihood methods. *Syst Biol* 50: 525-39.
- TALIKKA, M., STEFANI, G., BRIVANLOU, A.H. and ZIMMERMAN, K. (2004). Characterization of Xenopus Phox2a and Phox2b defines expression domains within the embryonic nervous system and early heart field. *Gene Expr Patterns* 4: 601-7.
- UCHIKAWA, M., KAMACHI, Y. and KONDOH, H. (1999). Two distinct subgroups of Group B Sox genes for transcriptional activators and repressors: their expression during embryonic organogenesis of the chicken. *Mech Dev*84: 103-20.
- WILSON, P.A. and MELTON, D.A. (1994). Mesodermal patterning by an inducer gradient depends on secondary cell-cell communication. *Curr Biol* 4: 676-86.

Further Related Reading, published previously in the Int. J. Dev. Biol.

See our recent Special Issue *Ear Development* edited by Bernd Fritzsch and Fernando Giraldez at: http://www.ijdb.ehu.es/web/contents.php?vol=51&issue=6-7

Loss of Sox9 function results in defective chondrocyte differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells in vitro Gunnar Hargus, Ralf Kist, Jan Kramer, Daniela Gerstel, Angela Neitz, Gerd Scherer and Jürgen Rohwedel Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2008) 52: 323-332

Spatiotemporal clustering of cell death in the avian forebrain proliferative zone Christine J. Charvet and Georg F. Striedter Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2008) 52: 345-352

Expression of protocadherin 18 in the CNS and pharyngeal arches of zebrafish embryos Fumitaka Kubota, Tohru Murakami, Yuki Tajika and Hiroshi Yorifuji Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2008) 52: 397-405

Expression of Shisa2, a modulator of both Wnt and Fgf signaling, in the chick embryo Thomas A. Hedge and Ivor Mason Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2008) 52: 81-85

A network of growth and transcription factors controls neuronal differentation and survival in the developing ear Hortensia Sánchez-Calderón, Marta Milo, Yolanda León and Isabel Varela-Nieto Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2007) 51: 557-570

Expression and regulation of Xenopus CRMP-4 in the developing nervous system Jacob Souopgui, Tiemo J. Klisch, Tomas Pieler and Kristine A. Henningfeld Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2007) 51: 339-343

XSu(H)2 is an essential factor for gene expression and morphogenesis of the Xenopus gastrula embryo Motoaki Ito, Tomohisa Katada, Seiji Miyatani and Tsutomu Kinoshita Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2007) 51: 27-36

Expression and functions of FGF ligands during early otic development Thomas Schimmang Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2007) 51: 473-481

Soluble membrane-type 3 matrix metalloprioteinase causes changes in gene expression and increased gelatinase activity during Xenopus laevis development Logan A. Walsh, Colin A. Cooper and Sashko Damjanovski Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2007) 51: 389-396

Interplay of Pax6 and SOX2 in lens development as a paradigm of genetic switch mechanisms for cell differentiation Hisato Kondoh, Masanori Uchikawa and Yusuke Kamachi Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2004) 48: 819-827

Sox9, a novel pancreatic marker in Xenopus. Young-Hoon Lee and Jean-Pierre Saint-Jeannet Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2003) 47: 459-462

Roles of Sox factors in neural determination: conserved signaling in evolution? Y Sasai Int. J. Dev. Biol. (2001) 45: 321-326

Characterization and early embryonic expression of a neural specific transcription factor xSOX3 in Xenopus laevis. R Penzel, R Oschwald, Y Chen, L Tacke and H Grunz Int. J. Dev. Biol. (1997) 41: 667-677 2006 ISI **Impact Factor = 3.577**

