ABSTRACT In 1867 Alexander Kowalevsky published an account of the development of the cephalochordate Amphiioxus lanceolatus (now known as Branchiostoma lanceolatum) (Kowalevsky, 1867). Together with his study of the development of urochordates (Kowalevsky, 1866; 1871), this introduced a new way of thinking about the relationship between the evolution and development of animals and established the basis for long-standing theories of the evolutionary origin of vertebrates. Some one hundred and fifty years later, cephalochordates and urochordates are again in the spotlight, as molecular biology and genome sequencing promise further revelations about the origin of vertebrates. The work of the 2006 Kowalevsky Medal winner, Peter Holland has played a central role in their reinstatement. Here, I profile Peter Holland’s contribution to the rebirth of Evolutionary Developmental Biology in general and the study of homeobox genes and vertebrate origins in particular.
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Peter began to study the expression of mouse homeobox genes during embryonic development (Holland and Hogan, 1988a; 1988b; Krumlauf et al., 1987) and as part of this he was instrumental in adapting to mice a technique previously used to study gene expression in Drosophila, that of in situ hybridisation (Holland et al., 1987). Even at this early stage in his career, however, his evolutionary leanings were evident. In particular, he started to consider how widespread homeobox genes might be in the animal kingdom and began to address this question via Southern blots of DNA derived from a wide diversity of phyla, including echinoderms, molluscs, ribbon worms, brachiopods and flatworms (Holland and Hogan, 1986). The results of these studies illustrated the wide phylogenetic distribution of homeobox genes and it is the evolution of this genetic diversity and associated morphological diversity that has been a central focus of Peter’s research over the following two decades.

**Gene duplication and chordate evolution**

In 1987 Peter moved to the Department of Zoology at Oxford, where he took on the role of Departmental Demonstrator and began to build his own research group. The Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) technique had just been developed and Peter set about exploiting it to examine two fundamental questions. The first was the phylogenetic relationships of the animal phyla. Understanding such phylogenetic relationships is pivotal to evolutionary biology and molecular data provided an additional source of information to morphology for phylogeny building. Using PCR, Max Telford (then a graduate student in Peter’s lab) amplified fragments of ribosomal RNA genes to help resolve such phylogenetic relationships. In particular, they focused on the chaetognaths, an enigmatic phylum of planktonic worms then considered to be deuterostomes and hence closely related to the chordates. Their results demonstrated these worms are in fact protostomes and hence only distantly related to the chordates, a finding more recently reconfirmed with much larger datasets (Telford and Holland, 1993; 1997; Matus et al., 2006). The phylogenetic relationships of animals has continued to interest Peter, with more recent studies focused on enigmatic and poorly studied taxa such as the Strepsiptera, Hemichordata and Choanoflagellates (Rokas et al., 1999; Furlong and Holland, 2002; Philippe et al., 2004).

The major thrust of Peter’s research at this time, however, concerned the timing and role of gene duplication in chordate evolution. Comparison of Drosophila and vertebrate homeobox genes was beginning to suggest that, whereas Drosophila had single representatives of many homeobox gene families such as Msx and Engrailed, vertebrates typically had two or more copies. Some twenty years before this, Susumu Ohno had suggested that tetraploidy had been instrumental in the diversification of vertebrates (Ohno, 1970). This theory predicted that, among other things, some vertebrates would possess more copies of genes in most gene families than invertebrates. Peter and his group first began to investigate this by using PCR to examine the diversity of key homeobox gene families in the genomes of various invertebrates (Holland and Williams, 1990; Holland, 1991). In particular, they began to focus on those invertebrate taxa most closely related to the vertebrates, namely the cephalochordates and the urochordates. As more gene families were examined, it became apparent that while vertebrates consistently had multiple members of each family, cephalochordates and urochordates only had one. This led Peter to propose that the origin of vertebrates coincided with extensive gene duplication and that two rounds of tetraploidy were the likely route to these extra genes (Holland et al., 1994). This hypothesis has fundamental implications for vertebrate evolution, not least when considering the human genome, as it implies our genome is descended from an ancestral polyploidy. Not only should our genome bear the traces of this in the form of extensive regions of paralogy, the theory also provides a lucid explanation for the redundancy between gene family members often encountered in mouse knockout studies (much to the frustration of the researchers concerned). Hotly debated for many years, this hypothesis has recently received confirmation from the comparison of vertebrate genomes with that of the ascidian Ciona intestinalis (Dehal and Boore, 2005) and now provides a central explanation for some of the global facets of genome organisation and gene numbers in vertebrates. During this time, Peter also began a more detailed analysis of the Hox genes in amphioxus, Branchiostoma floridae. In collaboration with Nicholas and Linda Holland of the Scripps Oceanographic Institute, who had also begun to take an interest in
amphioxus development, a series of field trips to Tampa Bay, Florida commenced with the purpose of collecting amphioxus embryos for gene expression analyses. In 1992, they published the first in situ hybridisation study of an amphioxus Hox gene, demonstrating restricted expression along the anterior-posterior axis (Fig 2; Holland et al., 1992). In 1993 he was joined by Jordi Garcia-Fernandez, who began the marathon project of walking through the amphioxus Hox cluster by successive cloning of adjacent genomic phage clones. They completed and published the mapping of the anterior ten amphioxus Hox genes in 1994, a paper that remains heavily cited today (Garcia-Fernandez and Holland, 1994). This quintessential demonstration of a single, cohesive cluster of Hox genes provided crucial evidence for the ancient nature of Hox gene clustering and furnished a clear demonstration that the multiple Hox clusters identified in vertebrate genomes were a vertebrate innovation. This period also saw the start of expression studies of other amphioxus genes, initiating the establishment of a detailed molecular anatomy of amphioxus development and subsequent redefinition of homologies between amphioxus and vertebrates. Perhaps the most prominent example of this is the study of the amphioxus Otx homologue, which helped redefine homologies between the vertebrate brain and amphioxus anterior central nervous system (Williams and Holland, 1988).

In the Spring of 1994 Peter, together with Michael Akam, Philip Ingham and Gregory Wray, organised the scientific programme of the annual meeting of the British Society for Developmental Biology on the theme of “Evolution of Developmental Mechanisms”. This was a well attended and exciting meeting that to a large extent marked the return of Evolutionary Developmental Biology to the scientific mainstream in the United Kingdom. It resulted in a widely-read supplement to the Journal Development (Akam et al., 1994) and attracted many students and postdoctoral researchers into what became a rapidly growing field.

Comparative genomics: amphioxus as a model

In 1995 Peter was appointed Professor of Zoology at the University of Reading and set about extending his study of the evolution of the Hox gene clusters to other types of homeobox genes. In 1998, his laboratory reported the identification of the ParaHox gene cluster in amphioxus (Brooke et al., 1998). This enigmatic gene cluster is a sister to the Hox cluster, having split from an ancestral ‘ProtoHox’ cluster by duplication early in animal evolution, before the expansion of the Hox cluster in the bilaterians (Fig. 3A).

Mapping of amphioxus and human Nkx homeobox genes also confirmed an ancient origin for Nkx gene clustering (Luke et al., 2003). These data were further elaborated as the progress of the human and other genome projects allowed the pan-genomic mapping of vertebrate homeobox genes. The analysis of these genes proved to be profoundly insightful. Most homeobox genes can be broadly categorised into two large classes, the Antennapedia (or ANTP-) class genes (which includes the Hox, ParaHox, Nkx and others) and the paired (or PRD-) class genes. While the PRD-class genes appear to be distributed through the genome, the majority of ANTP-class genes can be traced to a single genomic region in a distant ancestor of the vertebrates. Peter and his colleagues referred to this as the ‘Mega-homeobox’ cluster (Fig. 3B; Pollard and Holland, 2000) and its identification indicates that most ANTP-class homeobox genes originally evolved by tandem duplication from a single ancestral ANTP-class gene. This has implications for the origin and genomic organisation of homeobox genes in all animal phyla. Typifying Peter’s ability to adapt molecular techniques for evolutionary purposes, he went on to test this hypothesis by applying fluorescent in situ hybridisation of chromosomes to amphioxus, an approach now widely used in the evolutionary genomics of non-model organisms (Castro and Holland, 2003).

Oxford again: homeobox gene diversity, divergence and dispersal

In October 2002, Peter returned to the University of Oxford as the Linacre Professor of Zoology, a post previously held by (amongst others) E.R. Lankester and E.S. Goodrich, both of whom made notable contributions to our understanding of vertebrate evolution through the study of amphioxus (see for example Lankester, 1889; Goodrich, 1930). Long-running research themes such as gene duplication and divergence, genome duplication and homeobox genes have remained a focus over recent years and the ever-expanding list of sequenced animal genomes has provided a rich source of information ripe for exploitation. The completion of the human genome project has allowed identification and classification of essentially all human homeobox genes and Peter and his lab have been instrumental in this process (Booth and Holland, 2004, 2007). Similarly, the completion of several vertebrate genomes, including those of three species of ray-finned fish, has allowed more complex questions of duplication, dispersal and divergence to be examined in detail. Most ray-finned fish appear to have descended from a tetraploid ancestor, the result of an extra genome duplication to those that occurred early in vertebrate evolution. Mapping the consequences of such extra duplications shows how genome duplication can break up ancient gene clusters, illustrating some of the forces involved in shaping the genome architecture of living species (Mulley et al., 2006).

A central theme: homeobox genes and animal diversity

Peter has remained an active teacher and mentor throughout his career. He currently contributes to all three years of Under-
graduate teaching on the Biological Sciences degree at the University of Oxford. Of the numerous students, postdocs and research fellows who have worked in his lab at one time or another, many are now running their own groups in the field of Evolutionary Developmental Biology, including in no particular order Jordi Garcia-Fernàndez, Max Telford, Hiroshi Wada, Martin Cohn, David Ferrier, Tokiharu Takahashi and the author.

Throughout Peter’s research career, central themes have been the study of homeobox genes and the desire to understand the evolutionary origins of animal diversity, with a particular affection for the chordates. At its heart this reflects a genuine passion for zoology, with an appreciation for the subject’s history and the imagination to integrate old questions and new ideas with the incisive potential of new techniques. Most recently, Peter has played a central role in the amphioxus genome project. Undertaken by the Joint Genome Institute and with an early assembly recently released for public access (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Brafl1/Brafl1.home.html), this advance promises to bring amphioxus biology fully into the genomic era. I suspect Alexander Kowalevsky would have approved of the re-insertion of amphioxus and sea squirts into the scientific mainstream and it is perhaps fitting that the 2006 Kowalevsky Medal should be awarded to a scientist who has spent many years focused on those organisms that first brought Kowalevsky himself to international prominence.
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