Genetic interaction between Lef1 and Alx4 is required for early embryonic development
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ABSTRACT Lymphoid Enhancer Factor-1 (Lef1) facilitates the assembly of transcriptional regulatory complexes and mediates nuclear responses to Wnt signals. We determined previously that the mesenchymally restricted, paired-like homeodomain protein Aristaless-like 4 (Alx4) interacts with Lef1 and together alters promoter activity of candidate genes. In order to define their overlapping functions, mice deficient for both Lef1 and Alx4 activity (Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD) were produced. Whereas embryos lacking either Lef1 or Alx4 activity remain viable up to or after birth, early embryonic lethality results when both factors were absent. No viable Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD embryos were recovered beyond 9.5 dpc. Between E8.5 and E10, viable Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD embryos were developmentally delayed 0.5 days relative to littermates of all other genotypes. Principle among the alterations seen in Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD animals was defective vasculature in both embryonic and extra-embryonic tissues. In the yolk sac, while the vascular network is present, it were greatly diminished and large vitelline vessels were largely absent. Platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM) staining revealed that the major vessels in the head of compound mutant embryos were absent, while the other vessels were finer than those seen in normal littermates. Pools of blood and pericardial effusion were also apparent in Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD animals, further indicative of a defective vasculature. These data confirm genetically the interaction between Lef1 and Alx4 and further reveal unknown, overlapping roles for these transcription factors in embryonic vasculogenesis.
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Introduction

Organogenesis depends on the precise temporal and spatial coordination of signaling networks. The sequential and reciprocal exchange of signals occurring between different cell fields results in alteration of gene expression leading to the generation of distinct, terminally differentiated cells. Specific transcription factors provide the link between signal input and signal generation in reciprocal signaling cascades by mediating the response to inductive signals and regulating the expression of downstream signaling factors. Lymphoid Enhancer Factor (Lef1) (Travis et al., 1991), a member of the Lef1/Tcf subfamily of high mobility group (HMG) domain-containing proteins (Giese et al., 1991), regulates gene expression in response to Wnt signals and integrates a number of signaling cascades required for the development of embryonic and postnatal tissues (de Lau and Clevers, 2001). During murine embryogenesis, Lef1 is required for the formation of tissues that depend on inductive interactions between epithelial and mesenchymal tissues (van Genderen et al., 1994). Targeted inactivation of Lef1, which results in perinatal death, blocks the development of multiple ectodermal appendages, such as teeth, hair follicles, whisker follicles and mammary glands, at rudimentary stages. Impaired hippocampus development and generation of dentate gyrus granule cells are also evident in these Lef1-deficient animals (Galceran et al., 2000). Lef1 is a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein whose activity is modulated via cooperative interactions with non-DNA-binding cofactors (Carlsson et al., 1993; Giese and Grosschedl, 1993; Giese et al., 1995). In response to the binding of Wnt proteins to Frizzled (Fzd) receptors, the β-catenin protein becomes stabilized, translocates to the nucleus and binds directly to the N-terminus of Lef1 (for review, see the Wnt Homepage; http:///stanford.edu/~rnusse/wntwindow.html). This complex, in association with additional proteins, Bcl9 and Pygopus, activates
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transcriptional repression activity upon this Lef1-mediated complex (Cavallo et al., 1998; Levanon et al., 1998; Roose et al., 1998). Lef1 also functions as an architectural component in the assembly of other multiprotein enhancer complexes, such as ALY (Bruhn et al., 1997), Smad4 (Labbe et al., 2000), c-myb and Pax5 (Jin et al., 2002). Thus, while most often described in the context of the Wnt-signaling, Lef1 facilitates the integration of multiple signal transduction pathways by virtue of its ability to complex factors other than β-catenin.

We demonstrated previously that the paired-like homeodomain-containing protein, Aristaless-like 4 (Alx4), could interact with Lef1 and synergize in the regulation of the N-CAM promoter (Boras and Hamel, 2002). Consistent with this observation is the overlapping expression of Lef1 and Alx4 in embryos (Hudson et al., 1998) in the mesenchymal compartment of a number of tissues whose development depends on Lef1 (van Genderen et al., 1994). At 9.5 dpc, Alx4 is expressed in dorsal mesoderm adjacent to the neural tube, in the mesoderm of the first branchial arch and in mesenchymal cells of the facial region (Qu et al., 1997a; Qu et al., 1997b). Later, Alx4 expression is observed specifically in mesenchymal condensations of developing hair follicles, whisker follicles and teeth as well as in cells surrounding developing cranio-facial skeletal structures (Qu et al., 1997a; Qu et al., 1997b; Hudson et al., 1998; Qu et al., 1999). Mice homozygous for the DNA-binding-defective allele of Alx4, Strong’s luxoid (lstD) (Forsthoefel et al., 1966; Forsthoefel, 1968; Qu et al., 1998), exhibit temporary dorsal alopecia, polydactyly on all limbs, altered cranio-facial development and, in 95% of cases, a failure in body wall closure (Qu et al., 1997b; Qu et al., 1998). We demonstrated that a proline-rich region in the N-terminal domain of Alx4 is responsible for mediating complex formation with Lef1 through its HMGbox DNA-binding domain (Boras and Hamel, 2002). Lef1 and Alx4 bind simultaneously to a DNA sequence from the N-CAM promoter containing adjacent DNA-binding consensus sequences (Giese et al., 1991; Travis et al., 1991; Giese et al., 1992; Wilson et al., 1993; Wilson and Desplan, 1995) for Lef1 and paired-like homeodomains. These two factors also coordinately regulate N-CAM promoter activity through these two sites.

In order to characterize the role of the interaction between Lef1 and Alx4, a genetic approach was employed in which mice lacking both Alx4 and Lef1 activity were generated. We demonstrate here that, unlike the knockout and mutant animals individually lacking Lef1 or Alx4 function and which survive to term, simultaneous loss of Alx4 and Lef1 activity results in embryonic lethality prior to 10 dpc. This lethality is associated with defective vasculogenesis. These data demonstrate a genetic interaction between Alx4 and Lef1 revealing previously unknown, overlapping functions during early mouse development.

Results

**Lef1 and Alx4 deficiency results in embryonic death at midgestation**

We demonstrated previously the overlapping expression during embryonic development of Lef1 and Alx4 (Hudson et al., 1998) and their ability to form co-complexes which regulate promoter activity of target genes (Boras and Hamel, 2002). In order to further characterize the overlapping functions of Lef1 and Alx4, the genetic interaction between them was studied using mice deficient for both Alx4 and Lef1.

C57Bl/6 mice heterozygous for a null Lef1 allele (Lef1<sup>+</sup>) (van Genderen et al., 1994) and simultaneously heterozygous for the DNA-binding-deficient lstD allele of Alx4 (Alx4<sup>+</sup>lstD) (Qu et al., 1998) were crossed generating compound homozygous animals. Since compound homozygous Lef1<sup>−/−</sup>/Alx4<sup>+</sup>lstD animals were never observed at term (KBG, unpublished), embryos were analyzed from heterozygous intercrossings at various gestational stages. Viable Lef1<sup>−/−</sup>/Alx4<sup>+</sup>lstD embryos were obtained at the expected Mendelian frequencies until 9.5 dpc (Table 1). No viable Lef1<sup>−/−</sup>/Alx4<sup>+</sup>lstD embryos were observed beyond 10 dpc. Occasional compound homozygous mutant embryos were recovered at 11.5 dpc and 12.5 dpc. However, these embryos were invariably partially resorbed and clearly not viable. A number of empty deciduas were also recovered between 11 dpc and 15.5 dpc, due likely to resorption of mutant embryos at earlier stages of gestation. Embryos with all other genotypes (Lef1<sup>−/−</sup>/Alx4<sup>+</sup>lstD, Lef1<sup>−/−</sup>/Alx4<sup>+</sup>lstD, Alx4<sup>+</sup>lstD and Lef1<sup>−/−</sup>) were viable up to at least 18.5 dpc. Thus, simultaneous loss of Alx4 and Lef1 activity results in embryonic lethality prior to 10 dpc, thus revealing a genetic interaction between these transcription factors in the post-implantation embryo.

**Overlapping expression of Lef1 and Alx4 in the early embryo**

The early lethality observed for Lef1<sup>−/−</sup>/Alx4<sup>+</sup>lstD embryos was not predicted based on the previously determined expres-
Genetic interaction between Lef1 and Alx4

Among the known defects which have been described for the individual homozygous (Lef1/- and Alx4lstD/lstD) embryos (van Genderen et al., 1994; Qu et al., 1997b; Qu et al., 1998; Galceran et al., 1999). Specifically, Lef1 null animals survived only until birth while the majority of Alx4lstD/lstD embryos were recovered until 18.5 dpc. A small percentage (2%) survive beyond birth and are viable and fertile. Although the known overlapping expression patterns of Lef1 and Alx4 in several developing tissues during organogenesis have been examined (Hudson et al., 1998), given the embryonic lethality of the phenotype in mid-gestation, we analyzed the expression of mRNA for both Alx4 and Lef1 in embryonic tissues in situ hybridization (Fig. 1).

Detailed analysis of the overlapping expression of Alx4 and Lef1 in the early embryo (9.0 dpc) was determined by co-Age Wild-type Lef1 +/-, Alx4+/lstD Lef1-/- Alx4lstD/lstD Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD Lef1+/-/Alx4+/lstD Lef1-/-/Alx4+/lstD Lef1+/-/ Alx4lstD/lstD

9.0-9.5 13/99 (6) 42/99 (50) 15/99 (19) 21/99 (19) 8/99 (6)
>10 4/66 (4) 36/66 (33) 13/66 (12) 13/66 (12) 0/66 (4)

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Wild-type</th>
<th>Lef1+/-, Alx4+</th>
<th>Lstd</th>
<th>Lef1+/-/Alx4+</th>
<th>Lstd</th>
<th>Lef1-/-, Alx4+</th>
<th>Lstd</th>
<th>Alx4+</th>
<th>Lstd</th>
<th>Lef1-/-/Alx4+</th>
<th>Lstd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.0-9.5</td>
<td>42/99 (50)</td>
<td>15/99 (19)</td>
<td>21/99 (19)</td>
<td>8/99 (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>36/66 (33)</td>
<td>13/66 (12)</td>
<td>13/66 (12)</td>
<td>0/66 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phenotypes of Lef1+/-, Alx4+|Lstd and Lef1+/-/Alx4+|Lstd were as wildtype; Lef1-/- and Lef1-/-/Alx4+|Lstd were similar; Alx4+|Lstd and Lef1-/-/Alx4+|Lstd were similar.

Ratios represent actual numbers of embryos. Numbers in parentheses represent expected number of embryos.

Fig. 2. Immunofluorescent detection of expression of Lef1 and Alx4. Overlapping expression of Alx4 and Lef1 was determined by immunofluorescence on 9 dpc frozen sections. (A-C) In transverse sections, strong expression for Alx4 in the mesenchyme surrounding the telencephalic (te) vesicle is seen to overlap with Lef1 in this region. Lef1 is also expressed in Rathke’s Pouch (Ra) and the cells of the ectoderm. (D-F) Sagittal sections reveal Alx4 is expressed in a tract of cells dorsal to the otic vesicle (ot) while Lef1 is expressed in this tract as well as cells medial to the otic vesicle. Staining for Lef1 in nuclei of cells in the neural tube (nt) is also evident. (G-I) In Sagittal sections of the tail region, Lef1 is strongly expressed in the presomitic mesoderm (psm) and in somites (so). Weaker but significant expression is seen on the mesenchyme ventral to the somites as well as the ventral surface of the embryo adjacent to the dorsal aorta (da). In contrast, Alx4 expression overlaps Lef1 in mesenchymal cells adjacent to the dorsal aorta. At this level, Alx4 expression is not observed in somites. (J-L) Transverse sections illustrate that Alx4 and Lef1 expression overlap in the mesenchymal cells surrounding the umbilical vein. In mesenchymal cells outside of this region, Alx4 is not expressed while Lef1 expression is reduced. Considerably stronger Lef1 expression is observed in the somites adjacent to the neural tube. Bars in (A-F) and (J-L), 100 µm, Bars in (D-E), 50 µm.

Fig. 2. Immunofluorescent detection of expression of Lef1 and Alx4. Overlapping expression of Alx4 and Lef1 was determined by immunofluorescence on 9 dpc frozen sections. (A-C) In transverse sections, strong expression for Alx4 in the mesenchyme surrounding the telencephalic (te) vesicle is seen to overlap with Lef1 in this region. Lef1 is also expressed in Rathke’s Pouch (Ra) and the cells of the ectoderm. (D-F) Sagittal sections reveal Alx4 is expressed in a tract of cells dorsal to the otic vesicle (ot) while Lef1 is expressed in this tract as well as cells medial to the otic vesicle. Staining for Lef1 in nuclei of cells in the neural tube (nt) is also evident. (G-I) In Sagittal sections of the tail region, Lef1 is strongly expressed in the presomitic mesoderm (psm) and in somites (so). Weaker but significant expression is seen on the mesenchyme ventral to the somites as well as the ventral surface of the embryo adjacent to the dorsal aorta (da). In contrast, Alx4 expression overlaps Lef1 in mesenchymal cells adjacent to the dorsal aorta. At this level, Alx4 expression is not observed in somites. (J-L) Transverse sections illustrate that Alx4 and Lef1 expression overlap in the mesenchymal cells surrounding the umbilical vein. In mesenchymal cells outside of this region, Alx4 is not expressed while Lef1 expression is reduced. Considerably stronger Lef1 expression is observed in the somites adjacent to the neural tube. Bars in (A-F) and (J-L), 100 µm, Bars in (D-E), 50 µm.
immmunoflourescence (Fig. 2). Generally, Lef1 expression is observed in a wide pattern throughout both epithelial and mesenchymal structures. In contrast, Alx4 is restricted to specific mesenchymal cells. So, for example, expression of Alx4 in the mesenchyme surrounding the telencephalic vesicle (te) overlaps with Lef1 (Fig. 2A-C). Alx4 expression is also restricted to a potential neural crest cell migratory tract which follows a path dorsal to the otic vesicle (ot; Fig. 2D-F). Overlapping expression of Lef1 is observed in this tract. However, Lef1 expression is also present in cells between the otic vesicle and the neural tube (nt) as well as in nuclei of the neural tube and otic vesicle. In the tail region, Alx4 is highly restricted to mesenchymal cells on the ventral portion of the dorsal aorta (da; Fig. 2G) and the umbilical vein (uv; Fig. 2J). In both regions, Lef1 expression overlaps that of Alx4 (Fig. 2H-I and K-L, respectively). However, it is again evident that expression of Lef1 is considerably wider than that of Alx4. The fluorescence signal for Le f1 also appears to vary considerably in specific structures at this stage. In particular, somites (so) and the presomitic mesoderm (psm) have the strongest signals, in agreement with the message levels detected by in situ hybridization (see Fig. 1C). Weaker expression for Lef1 is observed for other epithelial structures, such as the neural tube and in mesenchymal cells. Thus, overlapping expression of Alx4 and Lef1 is observed at 9.0 dpc in a number of regions in the early embryo, this overlap restricted specifically to mesenchymal cells.

**Phenotype of Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD embryos**

As exemplified in Fig. 3B, Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD embryos were invariably dead at mid-gestation. Mutants were smaller than their viable littermates (Fig. 3A) and appeared developmentally delayed, although somites were evident. The tail region of these embryos was also misshaped, narrowing to a point and often exhibiting a “hook”, similar to phenotypes of other mutant embryos lacking both Lef1 and Tcf1, the latter a closely related protein of the Lef1/Tcf family that is co-expressed with Lef1 in the presomitic mesoderm (Galceran et al., 1999; Galceran et al., 2001). Fifty percent of non-viable Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD embryos presented with hemorrhages in the heart, head and the dorsal vessels. Extensive accumulation of fluid in the pericardial cavity, consistent with increased permeability of cardiac microvasculature, was also typically observed (Fig. 4B and data not shown).

At earlier stages (<10 dpc), viable compound mutant embryos, determined by a beating heart, were invariably developmentally delayed by 0.5 days relative to their littermates while exhibiting a variation in defects (Fig. 3C-I). For example, relative to normal 9.0 dpc littermates, some Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD embryos resembled an 8 dpc embryo where the head folds had not closed, the embryo had not “turned” nor had any somites developed (Fig. 3C). In contrast to the compound Lef1-/-/Tcf1 mice (Galceran et al., 1999), somite development does not appear to be impaired in the absence of both Lef1 and Alx4 since, as seen for the 9 dpc embryo in Fig. 3D, 4 somites were present. Older (9.5 dpc) Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD mutants also displayed ventrally extruded internal abdominal organs (Fig. 3E) and umbilical hernias were detected in a proportion of others (Fig. 3G). Viable embryos at all stages routinely exhibited abnormal pools of blood throughout the animal despite a clearly beating heart (Figures 3E, G and H). For example, pools of blood are evident in the cranial region and randomly throughout the body. As depicted in Fig. 3F, we recovered a single com-

![Fig. 3. Phenotypic heterogeneity of Lef1-/-/Alx4lstD/lstD embryos.](image-url)
Defective vasculature in \( \text{Lef}^{+/\text{-}}/\text{Alx}^{\text{lstD}/\text{lstD}} \) embryos

Since mutations affecting blood vessel formation cause embryonic lethality (Rossant and Howard, 2002), we determined the overall structure of the embryonic vasculature by whole-mount IHC detection of the murine vascular endothelial cells marker, PECAM (Fig. 6). Abnormalities of the intersomitic blood vessels or the vessels of the limb buds were not observed in 9.5 dpc compound homozygous embryos (Fig. 6A and C). However, the pattern of the head vasculature was significantly altered. Normally, the sprouting of branches from the cardinal veins form a hierarchical network of large-diameter vessels, the primitive neural plexus, in the medial region in the head (see arrows in Fig. 6B). In contrast, the major vessels in the head of compound \( \text{Lef}^{+/\text{-}}/\text{Alx}^{\text{lstD}/\text{lstD}} \) embryos were absent (Fig. 6D) and all other cephalic vessels appeared reduced in diameter. Furthermore, the vascular network appeared finer and, overall, vessels were irregular in diameter and shape. A decrease in the number of large diameter vessels was observed in the cranial region of 9.5 dpc mutant embryos (Fig. 6D). Furthermore, the cephalic vessels in the mutants were typically smaller in diameter and formed acute branches relative to other littermates.
PECAM staining detects a developed vasculature in both control and in Lef1−/−Alx4lstD/lstD (C) embryos. However, upon closer examination of the head region, the control embryo has a well-developed network of vessels (B). In the compound mutants (D), the vascular network is not as well developed as compared to controls. The vessels are smaller and there is an absence of the major vessels evident in wild type embryos.

Given the apparent vascular defects in these embryos, detailed analysis of the expression of Alx4 and Lef1 in cells associated with the developing vasculature was performed (Figs. 7,8). Both Alx4 (Fig. 7) and Lef1 (Fig. 8) are strongly expressed in mesenchymal cells surrounding the PE-CAM-positive vascular endothelial cells of the umbilical vein and dorsal aorta, respectively. However, expression of Alx4 in the nuclei of endothelial cells (arrowheads) is not detected. In the case of Lef1, very weak expression just above background levels is seen in the nuclei of endothelial cells, although this level of expression is significantly reduced relative to Lef1 expression in the cells surrounding the vascular structures. Thus, we conclude that defects in the vasculature arises, at least in part, due to defects originating from the mesenchyme.

Thus, our data establish the overlapping expression of Lef1 and Alx4 in both embryonic and extra-embryonic tissues. Furthermore, in support of our previous observation describing the physical interaction between Lef1 and Alx4 (Boras and Hamel, 2002), we observed a genetic interaction between these two factors as evidenced by the early embryonic lethality and the associated vascular defects in both the yolk sac and the developing embryo. These data reveal, therefore, a previously uncharacterized requirement for the coordinated activities of Lef1 and Alx4 during early embryonic development.

**Discussion**

Here we have characterized in mice a genetic interaction between the mediator of Wnt-signaling, Lef1 and the homeodomain factor, Alx4. While deletion of either of these genes individually results in an array of defects in organogenesis, loss of either Lef1 or Alx4 activity is not deleterious to embryo viability (van Genderen et al., 1994; Qu et al., 1997b; Qu et al., 1998; Galceran et al., 1999). All Lef1−/−-deficient mutants are born but die soon after birth, while 2% of mice deficient for Alx4 activity (Alx4lstD/lstD) are viable after birth and are fertile. The majority of Alx4lstD/lstD embryos die perinatally from gastrochisis (ventral body wall closure defect). In contrast, loss of both Lef1 and Alx4 activity unexpectedly resulted in early embryonic lethality at mid-gestation and was associated with abnormalities in vascular patterning of the cranial region. Detailed analysis of embryonic expression of Lef1 and Alx4 revealed that the overlapping functions of Lef1 and Alx4 may be important for vascular development in the head region and may effect indirectly the yolk sac. Accordingly, retarded embryonic growth was evident as early as 9 dpc with lethality occurring in 100% of embryos by 10 dpc.

Prior to 9.5 dpc, viability of murine embryos is sustained by the direct exchange of gases, nutrients and toxic metabolites with the surrounding amniotic fluid (Rossant and Cross, 2001). However, between 8.5 dpc to 11.5 dpc, viability is dependent on development of functional yolk sac circulation and its communication with the embryo. Blood vessels in the yolk sac initially form between the outer endodermal and inner mesoderm layers, angioblasts first appearing around 7.0-7.7 dpc. Until the chorioallantoic placenta is established, the yolk sac acts as a primitive placenta, its vasculature having fused with the vasculature of the embryo by 8.5 dpc. From approximately 9.5 dpc onwards, the chorioallantoic placenta replaces the yolk sac to support embryonic growth and development. Our data revealed that loss of either Lef1 or Alx4 alone had no discernable effect on vasculogenesis in the yolk sac (data not shown) while impairment in development of a robust vascular network in the yolk sac was observed for Lef1−/−/Alx4lstD/lstD embryos. While Lef1 is expressed in both endodermal and mesodermal cells of the yolk sac, Alx4 expression is absent in this extra-embryonic tissue. Both Alx4 and Lef1 are expressed in mesenchymal cells of the umbilical vessels connecting the embryo to the yolk sac vasculature. Dilation of the umbilical vessel is apparent in a subset of compound mutants. Thus, we propose that the yolk sac vascular defect may be a secondary effect related to a lack of blood flow between the embryo and yolk sac. This defect potentially arises due to defective vascularization in the connecting amnion/umbilical region and/or in the embryo proper. Similarly, activity of both Lef1 and Alx4 is required for at least some embryonic vasculogenic processes since analysis of the embryonic vascular network revealed defects in the vascular network in the head. In this case, compound homozygous embryos exhibited smaller vessels in the vascular network and an absence of the major vessels in the head.

Loss of Alx4 activity does not appear to effect development of all extra-embryonic tissues for which Lef1 activity is required. The chorioallantoic placenta, for example, develops following interaction between vascularized allantois and the chorionic plate. While this process is dependent, in part, on Lef1 (Galceran et al., 1999), loss simultaneously of Alx4 and Lef1 did not produce any apparent developmental defects, consistent with lack of Alx4 expression in the developing placenta (data not shown).

Lef1 is an important transcriptional mediator of the “canonical” Wnt-signaling pathway. In this pathway, Wnt-signaling causes stabilization of cytoplasmic β-catenin, resulting in its nuclear
Fig. 7. Transverse sections of 9.5 dpc embryo illustrating co-expression of Alx4 and PE-CAM in mesenchymal cells surrounding the umbilical vein. Alx4 expression (A) is restricted to mesenchymal cells surrounding the umbilical vein while PE-CAM expression (B) marks vascular endothelial cells. Merge of Alx4 and PE-CAM (D) reveals that Alx4 is not expressed in the nuclei of vascular cells, visualized by DAPI staining (C). Alx4, PE-CAM and DAPI are merged in (E). Bars in A-E, 15 μm. Bars in F, 100 μm.

Fig. 8. Sagittal section of 9.5 dpc embryo revealing co-expression of Lef1 and PE-CAM in mesenchymal cells adjacent to the dorsal aorta. Lef1 expression (A) is seen in to mesenchymal cells adjacent to the dorsal aorta. It is also weakly expressed in the nuclei of cells expressing PE-CAM (B) (see arrowheads). Merge of Lef1 and PE-CAM (D) reveals that Lef1 is weakly expressed in the nuclei of vascular endothelial cells, visualized by DAPI staining (C). Lef1, PE-CAM and DAPI are merged in (E). Bars in A-E, 15 μm. Bars in F, 100 μm.

relocalization to Lef1-containing transcriptional complexes (for review see (Eastman and Grosschedl, 1999)). The role of Wnt-signaling in vascular development remains largely uncharacterized. Inactivation of Frizzled 5 (Fzd5), which acts as the receptor for Wnt5a, Wnt10b and Wnt2, causes embryonic lethality due to defective vascular organization in the yolk sac and placenta (Ishikawa et al., 2001). However, these latter Wnts and Fzd5 typically signal using the Wnt/Ca++ pathway, which is distinct from the “canonical” pathway mediated through Lef1 (for example see (van Gijn et al., 2002)). In support of Lef1-dependent role in vasculogenesis are mice deficient for β-catenin which exhibit altered vascular patterning in the head, vitelline, umbilical vessels and the placenta (Cattelino et al., 2003). Defects in the formation of the placenta were also observed in mice lacking both Lef1 and Tcf1, although no defects in vasculogenesis were apparent (Galceran et al., 1999). These studies support a role where Lef1 activity may play a role in vascular patterning and that Alx4 activity impinges on these Lef1/Wnt-signaling-dependent processes.
The role of Alx4 during vasculogenesis has been suggested previously. A recent report of a family with the human skull disorder, Parietal foramina, which arises due to a mutation the ALX4 gene, has suggested a potential role in vascular development (Valente et al., 2004). Given that both Lef1 and Alx4 are expressed in the mesenchyme from which the vasculature is derived, our data supports a role for these factors in development of the vasculature in the developing embryo. It is clear, however, that the role of Alx4 in cephalic vasculature must originate in the surrounding mesenchyme. Specifically, Alx4 is not expressed in nuclei of vascular endothelial cells, including the cells in the head region (see Fig. 9). Thus, the precise nature of the combinatorial defect arising due to the loss of both Alx4 and Lef1 requires further detailed analysis in order determine the mechanisms by which these factors coordinate to regulate normal vascular development.

To conclude, we have demonstrated that the overlapping activities of Alx4 and Lef1 mediate early embryonic development and further support our previous studies demonstrating the physical interaction between Lef1 and Alx4 proteins (Hudson et al., 1998; Boras and Hamel, 2002). Our data predict further that Alx4 may be an important modulator of the canonical Wnt-signaling pathway during specific developmental processes.

Materials and Methods

Animals and genotyping

C57Bl/6 mice, heterozygous for a knockout allele of Lef1 have been described previously (van Genderen et al., 1994). The DNA-binding defective allele of Alx4, lsP2, from the inbred strain, Strong’s luxoid (Forsthoefel et al., 1966; Forsthoefel, 1968) was back crossed (>20 generations) onto C57Bl/6. Mice homozygous for the lsP2 allele phenotypically resemble mice with a complete knockout of Alx4 (Qu et al., 1997b; Qu et al., 1998). Mice heterozygous for both the null allele of Lef1 (Lef1+/−) and the lsP2 allele of Alx4 (Alx4+/lsP2) were then used to generate animals homozygous for both mutant alleles (Lef1+/−/Alx4+/lsP2). Note that the phenotype of animals homozygous for one mutant allele and heterozygous for the other (Lef1+/−/Alx4+/lsP2 and Lef1+/−/Alx4+/lsP2) gave rise to phenotypes indistinguishable from the individually homozygous (Lef1+/−/Alx4+/lsP2) and (Lef1+/−/Alx4+/lsP2) respectively) animals described previously (Forsthoefel et al., 1966; Forsthoefel, 1968; van Genderen et al., 1994; Qu et al., 1998).

Lef1 genotyping has been described previously (van Genderen et al., 1994). For genotyping of the Alx4 lsP2 allele, the forward primer in the homeodomain-containing second exon sequence was 5’CAA AGG CAA GAA GCG GCG GAA TC (C>T mutation introduced into primer which allows for TaqI digestion) and the reverse primer in second intron sequence was 5’GGT ACA TTG AGT TGT GCT GT C-3’ (94°C, 50°C, 72°C, 30 cycles). The PCR product was then digested with Taq1 and run out on a 4% agarose gel. The mutant band does not cut and runs at 317 bp while the wild type allele is cut and appears at 295 bp removing 22bp.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization and immunofluorescence

Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight at 4°C. For whole-mounts, embryos were dehydrated serially to 100% methanol, treated for 5 hours with 1:5 hydrogen peroxide in methanol and rehydrated to PBST at room temperature. Whole-mount in situ hybridizations were performed with digoxigenin-labeled antisense riboprobes as described previously (Motoyama et al., 1998). The cDNA for probes for Lef1 and Alx4 have been described (Hudson et al., 1998). For whole-mount immunohistochemistry, embryos were blocked for 1 hour in blocking reagent (Boerenger Mannheim). After overnight incubation with anti-PECAM1 (1:100, BD Biosciences) in blocking solution, embryos were washed 5 times for 1 hour each in PBST at room temperature and treated overnight with secondary antibody (peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rat, KPL) in blocking solution at 4°C. Peroxidase activity was then visualized using DAB (Vector labs). Immunohistochemical analysis of embryo sections was performed according to the instructions provided in the R&D HRP-AEC immunostaining kits, with the following amendments. Immunofluorescence was performed on frozen sections. Briefly, 9 dpc embryos were snap-frozen. Frozen sections were rehydrated with PBS for 1 hour and treated with PBS plus 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 minutes. Primary antibodies for rabbit Lef1 antisera (Dr. R Grosschedl) and mouse anti-Alx4 monoclonal antibody (Hudson et al., 1998) were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature and FITC- or Cy3-labeled secondary antibodies added for 1 hour at room temperature. Slide were mounted with VectaShield mounting media (Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA) impregnated with DAPI. Images of whole mounts were taken by using a Nikon Dxm 1200 digital camera driven by Nikon ACT-1 imaging software. Fluorescence images were taken a Nikon Eclipse 80i fluorescence microscope using a Q Imaging Fast 1394 digital camera and compiled using Simple PCI software (Nikon, Canada).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank members of the PAH lab for their helpful discussions and input on the manuscript. Excellent technical assistance was also provided by Dr. Stuart Yang. This work was funded by a cooperative Canadian Breast Cancer Research Alliance -Canadian Institutes of Health Research grant to PAH from (Grant # MOP-49614).

References

GENETIC INTERACTION BETWEEN LEF1 AND ALX4

9.995-10.08


Received: February 2006
Reviewed by Referees: April 2006
Modified by Authors and Accepted for Publication: May/June 2006
Published Online: August 2006