Egg development in parthenogenetic nematodes: variations in meiosis and axis formation

VERA LAHL, BERND SADLER and EINHARD SCHIERENBERG*

Zoologisches Institut, University of Köln, Germany

ABSTRACT In the well studied model nematode Caenorhabditis elegans entrance of the sperm induces an anterior-posterior polarity in the egg and determines the orientation of the primary embryonic axis. Subsequently, fusion of two haploid gamete nuclei results in a diploid zygote as a prerequisite for normal embryogenesis. Here we analyze the establishment of embryonic polarity and diploidy in the absence of sperm in three parthenogenetic nematode species from three different families, Diploscapter coronatus (Diploscapteridae), Acrobeloides nanus (Cephalobidae) and Plectus sp. (Plectidae). We find that they not only differ from C. elegans in these two aspects but also from each other, indicating variant solutions for the same developmental challenges and supporting the view that the parthenogenetic mode of reproduction has been acquired multiple times independently.
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Introduction

Most higher organisms follow a gonochoristic (male/female) mode of reproduction, which is thought to give at least long-term advantages because of the continuous recombination of alleles, resulting for instance in the loss of lethal mutations (Maynard-Smith, 1978) and a better resistance to parasites (Hamilton et al., 1990). However, the advantages of sex are counterbalanced by at least short-term advantages of parthenogenetic species where each individual can reproduce and where the costs of mate search, courtship, intraspecific competition, etc. can be spared.

It is generally agreed that the gonochoristic mode is original and other variants like hermaphroditism or parthenogenesis are derived forms. Parthenogenetic reproduction has been found in various animal phyla (Mittwoch, 1978), not only in low invertebrates like rotifers and plathyhelminths or in insects but also in some vertebrate species (Cole and Townsend, 1990). Recently, complete parthenogenetic development to term has been experimentally induced in mice after overcoming “parental imprinting,” which in mammals normally requires the presence of maternal and paternal genomes (Kono et al., 2004).

Parthenogenesis is frequently found in certain free-living nematode taxa. Several such species are being cultured and studied in our laboratory (Skiba and Schierenberg, 1992; Lahl et al., 2003), offering the opportunity to analyze in detail developmental peculiarities that accompany this type of reproduction. Certain parasitic nematodes have been described in which reproduction alternates between a generation with a bisexual and one with a unisexual (parthenogenetic) mode (Viney, 1999). While it is difficult to investigate the underlying mechanism in such species they demonstrate at least that both reproductive variants can be encoded by the same genome. In addition, they suggest that a switch from one mode to the other requires only a limited number of changes in the developmental program.

During oogenesis in the internally self-fertilizing hermaphrodite C. elegans, oocytes arrest during meiosis and need to be induced by a sperm-derived signal to resume their meiotic program (Miller, 2001; Hajnal and Berset, 2002) in order to become haploid and be ready for fertilization. Egg cells lose their centrioles and meiotic divisions take place without them (Albertson and Thomson, 1993). The sperm then delivers the centriole necessary to generate embryonic cleavage spindles. In C. elegans, it is also the sperm that induces formation of the primary embryonic axis, i.e. the area of its entrance into the egg defines the posterior pole (Goldstein and Hird, 1996).

Taking C. elegans as a reference, it is obvious that development of parthenogenetic nematodes must require certain modifications during oogenesis and/or early embryogenesis. These include:(i) preservation or restoration of diploidy without paternal contribution, either through absent or incomplete meiosis or via compensating postmeiotic processes; (ii) establishment of egg polarity without fertilization, i.e. either by random chance...
The aim of this study is to gain initial insights into how developmental problems going along with the absence of sperm are overcome in parthenogenetic nematodes and whether the solutions for these problems are identical or different among the studied representatives.

Results

Parthenogenesis: no sperm and only one pronucleus

Mature adult hermaphrodites of *C. elegans*, like many other nematode species, are characterized by the presence of sperm localized in the spermatheca between the oviduct and uterus (Fig. 2A). After fertilization two haploid pronuclei form (Fig. 2B), which fuse to generate a diploid zygote. For the present study we obtained evidence that *Acrobeloides nanus*, *Diploscapter coronatus* and *Plectus* sp. reproduce parthenogenetically. First, we found no males in these strains, even if kept at increased temperature (30°C), to favor chromosome loss (Sulston and Hodgkin, 1988). Second, we microscopically investigated adult gonads and eggs in our cultures for the presence of sperm and the number of pronuclei. In all cases, no sperm (Fig. 2C) and only one pronucleus (Fig. 2D) were found (n= 5-10/strain).

Meiosis and formation of polar bodies

In *C. elegans* two consecutive meiotic divisions result in the extrusion of two polar bodies (PBs) at the anterior pole, with a DNA content of 2c and 1c, respectively, and a haploid oocyte nucleus (Nigon et al., 1960). Looking at fluorescently labeled DNA, the first PB appears larger than the second (Fig. 3A). Thus, numbers of PBs and relative sizes as seen under the fluorescence microscope give an indication of the meiotic processes that occurred before.

We compared the formation of PBs in the three parthenogenetic species *A. nanus*, *D. coronatus*, and *Plectus* sp., and in addition in two other *Acrobeloides* species, one with a dioecious (SB 374) and the other with a hermaphroditic (PS1146) mode of reproduction, respectively, to the pattern found in *C. elegans* and observed noticeable differences.

In *D. coronatus* two PBs are generated, suggesting execution of a complete meiosis. However, a closer inspection of DAPI-stained specimens revealed that this is not the case. In all 1-cell and many 2-cell stages only one PB is present, always localized in the area where the first cleavage furrow forms (Fig. 3B). In a minority of 2-cell stages (Fig. 3B; insert) and in most 4-cell stages two small, equal-sized PBs, positioned side-by-side, are found (n=55). Analysis of living embryos (n=4) confirmed that this is the result of a division of the first PB.

In *A. nanus* usually only one large PB located at the side of the egg (Fig. 3C), is formed (94/98). This indicates that only one meiotic division takes place in this species. In rare cases (4/98) two small PBs in adjacent position were found (similar to Fig. 3B, insert), indicating division of the first PB. We made video recordings of living embryos to study in more detail the process of meiosis and polar body formation in *A. nanus*. In the majority of cases (6/9) this process could not be clearly resolved as the oocyte nucleus divided into the depth of focus. However, in 3 out of the 9 cases the execution of a second meiotic division, resulting in two separate nuclei, could be observed. In these
instances oocyte nucleus and second PB were found to fuse again.

We conclude, that the truncated meiosis described above for *A. nanus* and *D. coronatus* enables the egg cell nucleus to retain or regain a diploid status without the requirement for zygote formation (i.e. without fusion with a sperm).

In both *Acrobeloides* species with sperm (SB 374, n=28; PS1146, n=32) the egg cell nucleus always passes through two consecutive meiotic divisions, resulting in the generation of two PBs. During early cleavage one of them divides again (Fig. 3D). As a consequence of fertilization, two pronuclei are generated, like in *C. elegans* (Fig. 2B), which fuse to form a zygote.

Also in *Plectus* sp. (ES 601), two PBs are formed (Fig. 3E; n=68) as meiotic by-products, despite its parthenogenetic mode of reproduction. Only the first meiotic division is executed inside the mother but after eggs have been laid, meiosis is completed with the formation of a second PB.

However, here neither division of the first PB, as in *D. coronatus*, nor refusion with the oocyte nucleus, as in *A. nanus*, takes place. Our observation that the oocyte nucleus in *Plectus* sp. grows to a size equivalent to that of both pronuclei in *C. elegans* (see Fig. 2 B,D) suggests that also eggs of this species reach a diploid status prior to first cleavage. Whether this is accomplished via an additional DNA replication round during the approximately 100 min between egg-laying and first cleavage remains to be determined.

**Establishment of polarity, initiation of cleavage and orientation of the a-p axis**

In *C. elegans* the oocyte is fertilized at the pole that enters the spermatheca first and thus embryos cleaving in the uterus point with their posterior pole toward the vulva (Fig. 4A; see introduction).

Like *C. elegans* the three parthenogenetic representatives studied here express a polarity in the early embryo. With their first cleavage they generate a larger somatic cell AB and a smaller germline cell P1. All three species normally lay their eggs as soft-shelled 1-cell stages, which are severely squeezed while passing through the vulva (Fig. 5A). Only afterward do eggshells become solid. This is in contrast to *C. elegans* where embryos perform several cleavages inside the uterus before they are laid. Thus, in the former cases it cannot be readily determined whether the establishment of the embryonic anterior-posterior axis takes place within the gonadal tube of the mother or whether the laying process going along with massive egg deformation is crucial for axis specification. To investigate this question, we blocked egg-laying with a drug that allowed continuation of egg production such that several eggs accumulated in the uterus.

Under these conditions, in *A. nanus* and *D. coronatus* eggs performed the typical asymmetric cleavages inside the gonadal tube. Therefore, in these species the fixation of the a-p polarity can occur inside the mother prior to egg-laying.

In contrast, eggs of *Plectus* sp. arrest before first cleavage under these conditions. This is probably not due to the applied drug as we found a similar situation in old mothers which had lost their ability to lay eggs. DNA staining revealed that all embryos were arrested in meiosis II. When such experimentally accumulated eggs were cut out of *Plectus* females, the most mature of them located close to the vulva completed meiosis and passed through normal embryogenesis, demonstrating that the developmental block is reversible.

Not only in experimental embryos but also in untreated *Plectus* females cultured on agar plates a variable fraction of laid eggs (sometimes the majority) arrested at identical positions in meiosis II (Fig. 3F). Although normal looking with respect to size and shape they never started cleavage.

In the two species where we could readily test it, we investigated whether the orientation of the a-p axis is the same as in *C. elegans* (see above). In *A. nanus* we found that - as in *C. elegans* - 98% showed a preferred orientation in the gonadal tube (n=98), however, with opposite orientation to *C. elegans* (Fig. 4B). Only 2% pointed with their posterior pole toward the

**Fig. 3. Meiosis and formation of polar bodies (PBs).** (A) *C. elegans*, uncleaved egg prior to fusion of pronuclei; two PBs have been extruded at the anterior pole as the result of two consecutive meiotic divisions. (B) *D. coronatus*, 2-cell stage, one PB present; insert: 2-cell embryo after division of the single PB. (C) *A. nanus*, 3-cell stage, one PB present. (D) *Acrobeloides* sp. (PS1146), hermaphrodite, 2-cell stage with 3 PBs. (E) *Plectus* sp. (ES 601), 1-cell stage, arrest in meiosis II after one PB (out of focus) has been formed. Arrowheads (yellow), first PB or its descendants; arrowheads (white), second PB; bar, 10 µm; Epifluorescence visualizing DAPI-stained nuclei.
Eggs of *D. coronatus* behave differently. We found that half of them (54/107) point with their anterior pole toward the vulva but the other half with their posterior pole (Fig. 4 C,D). Here, the fixation of anterior-posterior polarity seems to be independent of an external signal and determined randomly by chance.

In *Plectus* sp. where cleavage could not be induced to take place within the mother (see above) we have not been able to relate polarity of embryos to a specific orientation of eggs in the gonadal tube. Reasons are deformations during egg laying, vigorous cytoplasmic streaming before first cleavage and variable orientation of the embryonic a-p axis relative to the only slightly oval eggshell (Lahl et al., 2003).

Looking for visible hints for such an event imprinting egg polarity in parthenogenetic nematodes, we traced the development of individual oocytes within the mother. We observed that in *A. nanus* (with fixed orientation) but not in *D. coronatus* (with variable orientation) eggs are squeezed through a narrow section in the U-shaped transition zone between the distal and the proximal section of the gonadal tube (Fig. 5B; n = 6), resulting in a temporary contact between nucleus and the cell cortex.

**Discussion**

All of the studied nematode embryos express an overt early polarity which can be easily visualized by the asymmetric first cleavage generating a larger anterior and a smaller posterior cell. However, the way of how this polarity is established appears to differ not only between *C. elegans* and the parthenogenetic species but also among the latter.

In *C. elegans* a small fraction of eggs shows an inverted polarity (Albertson, 1984; our data: 2/102), indicating a sperm entrance at the distal rather than the proximal pole of the oocyte, a situation that can also be induced experimentally (Goldstein and Hird, 1996). Analyzing uncleaved eggs that had been experimentally prevented from being laid we found that despite the absence of sperm in *A. nanus*, 98% of eggs express a defined anterior-posterior polarity (although with opposite orientation to *C. elegans*; see Fig. 4), suggesting that also in this parthenogenetic species a distinct, binary mechanism is acting with a close-to-perfect fidelity. Similar observations have been made by Goldstein et al. (1998) in a hermaphroditic *Acrobeloides* species where the position of the sperm bears no consistent relationship to embryonic polarity. They suggested that in cephalobid nematodes, independent of the mode of reproduction, a mechanism distinct from that in *C. elegans* has evolved to establish embryonic polarity.

Different is the case of *D. coronatus*, where fixation of axis polarity appears to be random. Consistent with the variations between *A. nanus* and *D. coronatus* concerning polarity formation, in the former but not in the latter we observed a massive temporary distortion of oocytes in the gonadal tube. Evidence has been presented that in certain insects distortion of unfertilized eggs in the ovipositor is sufficient to activate them and establish a primary polarity (Went and Krause, 1973). As eggs of *Plectus* sp. arrest as 1-cell stages if prevented from being laid we have not been able to study in detail establishment of polarity in this species. From looking at the pattern of intracellular rearrangements Goldstein et al. (1998) suggested for another parthenogenetic *Plectus* species that with respect to axis specification the oocyte nucleus may take over the function of the sperm nucleus in *C. elegans*.

Although suggestive, it remains to be demonstrated that parthenogenetic species make use of the same general mechanism for axis specification as found in *C. elegans*, i.e. through interaction between the nucleus-associated centrosome, which in these cases would have to come from the oocyte rather than the sperm, and the cortex (Wallenfang and Seydoux, 2000; Cowan and Hyman, 2004). If this was true, one would have to postulate a polarizing event acting before that causing the oocyte nucleus and/or centrosome to move to a peripheral position. In conjunction with meiosis we observed such a nuclear movement. However, in contrast to *C. elegans*, in our parthenogenetic species the region of polar body formation does usually not coincide with the future posterior or anterior region of the egg (see Fig. 3).

A switch in environmental conditions (e.g. inside vs. outside of the mother or host) is apparently mandatory for the initiation of cleavage in a variety of free-living (e.g. *Enopus*; Malakhov, 1994) and parasitic (e.g. *Ascaris*; Boveri, 1899) nematodes.
While it is conceivable that such a strategy is favorable for parasitic species where infection of new hosts is required, the advantage for free-living species is less obvious and may indicate a strategy where the mother senses suitable environmental conditions for egg deposition.

We found that in *Plectus* sp. egg cells inside the mother reversibly arrest before first cleavage. This indicates that at least in this parthenogenetic species a meiotic block exists like in *C. elegans*, where it is released through a sperm-mediated signal (Miller et al., 2001; Hajnal and Berset, 2002). Surprisingly, also a major proportion of laid eggs remains permanently arrested in meiosis. It remains to be analyzed which factors are responsible here for releasing the meiotic block and why such a crucial event takes place - at least under our laboratory conditions - only in part of the eggs.

In conclusion, our findings that even closely related nematode species reproduce differently and that parthenogenetic representatives express distinct variations in their effort to compromise for functions which in other species are taken over by the sperm support the view that the basic developmental program contains a considerable degree of plasticity and that parthenogenesis arose multiple times independently within the phylum Nematoda.

**Materials and Methods**

**Nematode strains**

Studies were carried out with *Caenorhabditis elegans* strain N2 (hermaphroditic reproduction), *Acrobeloides namus* (strain designation ES501; formerly named *Cephalobus* sp.; Skiba and Schierenberg, 1992); *Plectus* sp. (ES 601; Lahl et al., 2003); *Diploscapter coronatus* (PD1 0010; kindly provided by Paul de Ley, Riverside, CA). In addition, two other species, *Acrobeloides* sp. (PS 1146; hermaphroditic reproduction; kindly provided by Marie-Anne Felix, Paris, France and *Acrobeloides* sp. (SB 374; dioecious reproduction; kindly provided by Walter Sudhaus, Berlin, Germany) were tested for comparison. For phylogenetic positions of the studied nematodes, see Fig. 1. Phylogenetic nomenclature is according to De Ley and Blaxter (2002).

**Nematode culture**

Nematode strains were cultured on agar plates with the uracil-requiring strain of *E. coli* OP50 as a food source, essentially as described by Brenner (1974) except that, to keep contamination with other bacteria to a minimum, we used low-salt plates (Lahl et al., 2003). This results in a thinner than normal bacterial lawn. *Plectus* species require low-salt conditions.

**Microscopical analysis**

Living adults were mounted on microscope slides carrying thin 5% agar pads as described in Irle and Schierenberg, 2002. Preparations of living embryos on 3% agar-coated slides were performed according to Lahl et al. (2003). For fluorescent-dye staining of DNA adults and embryos were placed on polylysine-coated slides, fixed with methanol/acetone and stained with DAPI (0.1 µg/ml). In selected cases, continuous video recordings under Nomarski optics were made of oocytes inside the mother and subsequent cleavage at least up to the 4-cell stage.

**Drug treatment**

To prevent egg-laying, mothers were placed in PBS containing 0.03-0.05% NaN₃. This inhibition (originally designed to narcotize worms; Sulston and Hodgkin, 1988; modified) is reversible after transfer of animals back to agar plates. Our initial experiments revealed some species-specific variations with respect to drug sensitivity.
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