## MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE VERTEBRATE VISUAL SYSTEM

## Peter GRUSS

## Max Planck Institute of biophysical Chemistry, Goettingen, Germany

Spemann proposed that the development of the visual system requires inductive events between the optic vesicle and the overlying ectoderm. More recent evidence suggests that lens formation involves a multi-step process including competence, bias and final determination. Several genes have been discovered that are expressed in a spatial and temporal manner during these stages and consequently may be considered candidates for controlling at least some of these complicated processes.

One gene, Pax6, has been shown to be a key player in vertebrate and fly eye development. Pax6 mutant mice and men exhibit a loss of eye formation. Also, when expressed ectopically in imaginal discs of Drosophila embryos Pax6 can induce eye formation (Halder et al., 1995). We have studied Pax6 in detail and have shown that besides a role in eye and nose development Pax6 is also required for the development of forebrain structures. Specifically, loss of Pax6 function causes a failure of the establishment of several expression boundaries that specify longitudinal domains within the developing forebrain (Stoykova et al.). The establishment of some expression boundaries along the dorsal/ventral axis of the secondary procencephalon is distorted and the specification of several ventral structures and nuclei is abolished. Especially, the development of the hypothalamo-telencephalic transition zone and the ventral thalamus is distorted.

Our detailed analysis included a comparison of the expression of Pax6, Dlx1 and several other genes during embryonic mouse brain development in wildtype and in the mutant Small eye brain. The results from the analyses of normal brain development show that the restricted expression of Pax6 and Dix1 respect domains within the forebrain, consistent with the implications of the prosomeric model for the organisation of the forebrain as brought forward by Puelles and Rubenstein. Furthermore, we found an early restriction of Pax6 and DIx1 expression in two presumptive histogenetic fields and correlate with the formation of distinct forebrain structures and nuclei.

Our results will be discussed in light of changes in adhesive properties in the Sey brain that might control segregation, assembly and cell migration of progenitors of specific forebrain regions. These results suggest that Pax6 may promote changes in adhesive properties and underline the multiple roles that Pax6 plays during the development of distinct structures. Our findings also indicate in particular eye development requires in addition to Pax6 other genes regulating individual steps.

Based on existing Drosophila mutants we have screened for the presence and function of one specific gene that is involved in eye development in the fly, sine oculis. Indeed one member of this gene family we recently identified, Six3, seems to be a functional homologue of sine oculis in the mouse. Earlier on, Six3 expression is restricted to the anterior neural plate and is later found in the region of the optic recess, the hypothalamus and optic vesicles. Using a gain-offunction approach we have demonstrated that Six3 activity is sufficient to promote ectopic lens formation in fish embryos in the absence of an optic vesicle. Our results show that in addition to the lens placode, the ectoderm in the region of the otic placode is competent to respond to a lens promoting signal. These studies identify Six3 as another key player in the development of the vertebrate eye (Oliver et al., in preparation).

Another gene, Pax2, is also expressed in structures of the developing visual system. Initially, Pax2 expression is confined to the ventral optic vesicle and it is later been found mostly in the proximal regions that are destined to contribute to the optic nerve and optic chiasm (Nornes et al., 1990). We generated Pax2 mutant mice which show the requirement of Pax2 for the establishment of axonal pathways along the optic stalks and ventral diencephalon. In mutant brain, the optic tracts remain completely ipsilateral due to the agenesis of the optic chiasm. Also, Pax2 mutants show extension of the pigmented retina into the optic stalks and failure of the optic fissure to close resulting in coloboma. Therefore, Pax2 is a major regulator required for the closure of the optic fissure and also required for the correct optic nerve trajectory (Torres et al., 1996).

## References:

Halder, G. P. Callaerts, W. J. Gehring (1995). Science 267: 1788-1792. Nornes, H. O., G. R. Dressler, E. W. Knapik, U. Deutsch and P. Gruss (1990). Spatially and temporally restricted expression of *Pax2* during murineneurogenesis. Dev. 109: 797-809.

Stoykova, A., C. Walther, R. Fritsch and P.Gruss (1996). Forebrain patterning defects in *Pax6/Small eye* mutant mice. Dev., in press. Torres, M., E. Gómez-Pardo, and P. Gruss (1996). Pax2 Contributes to Inner Ear Patterning and Optic Nerve Trajectory. Dev., in press.